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ABSTRACT

The many facets of consciousness divide into two distinct categories. First: the organizational state of the neural networks at any one time, which determines whether a person is conscious – awake, or unconscious – asleep. Second; the processes or traffic of electrical signals across these networks that accounts for the experiences of conscious awareness. This paper addresses the former; namely, how the state of the billions of neural networks and the trillions of additional axons, dendrites and synapses varies over the daily cycle - what physically changes when we go to sleep – what happens in our brain as we wake up. Synapses play a major role in the operation of the brain. We submit that the widths of synaptic clefts are not fixed, but are variable, and that this variable tension across the synapses is the neural correlate of consciousness. Various experiments are suggested to explore this hypothesis.
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State and Process

The many attributes of Consciousness can be usefully divided into two distinct categories: the state of the mass of the neural networks; and the processes that underlie the traffic transmitted over those networks: the organizational state of the brain as opposed to its cognitive functions.

The former determines the current experience of conscious awareness along the gradient from deep anesthesia through simple unconscious sleep to being consciously awake, alert and all the way to full concentration. The latter includes all the many familiar activities of monitoring and processing the sensations of the world. It includes all the processing associated with being able to see, hear, feel, taste and touch, and to move, speak, learn, think and create new ideas and concepts. It also includes all the neural activity generated by the emotions, feelings, attitudes, opinions and the sense of having a degree of control over behavior. Put another way, this whole subject is much easier to understand if we separate 'being conscious' from what we are 'conscious of'.

This problem of consciousness has been facing - and defeating - scientists for many generations. What happens in our brains when we wake up? It seems such a simple question, but no one has ever come up with a plausible and coherent answer. In a paradigm where everyone is stuck, a fresh set of ideas is always a step forward, and we believe that by separating the state of the neural networks from the processes of the traffic over these networks, and then concentrating on understanding the former, it will be much easier to begin to understand how all the aspects of consciousness fit into the puzzle.

This paper, therefore, addresses the former; namely, how the state of the billions of neural networks and the trillions of additional axons, dendrites and synapses varies over the daily cycle - what changes when we go to sleep – what happens when we wake up.

We all have experience of the difference between 'being conscious' – awake – and 'being unconscious’ – asleep. At one
extreme we are alert and concentrating hard. At the opposite extreme we can be deeply anaesthetized. In addition there is an underlying subconscious state that is continuously in operation. In this ‘background’ state the senses continue to monitor the outside world, even if there is no conscious experience of this activity. The evidence for this is that if someone’s name is called out, even very quietly into their sleeping ear, they awake. A shouted warning or the sounding of an alarm will wake people. It is not the volume, but the content of the message that is important. The brain must be continuously receiving and processing everything that is going on around it all the time to achieve this feat.

Similarly, although the subconscious monitors all the sensory activity in its environment, this information may not penetrate into conscious awareness even when the person is fully awake, because the relative strength of the signal is not sufficiently strong to stimulate a response.

Three States
Thus, we can observe three states. Consciousness – being awake. Unconsciousness – being asleep; and, permanently in the background, the Subconscious. Over the centuries, many observers have tried to explain this third state, but it was Freud and Jung (Watson, 2001) around a century ago, who began to identify and delineate the subconscious. We can note that some Hindus and Buddhists would argue that there is a fourth, or ‘super conscious’ state which they associate with deep meditation.

It is possible to be asleep yet be dreaming so vividly that the sense of involvement is indistinguishable from being consciously awake – during the dream, at least. It is also possible to be fully awake yet daydreaming happily so as to be in a state similar to sleep. Consciousness is often associated with being aware of all the sensations of the surrounding world, yet it is possible to wake up in, say, a dark room, with no sounds, no smells, no tastes and only the slightest touch of some bedclothes – e.g., to have no physical stimuli – yet be fully conscious, able to imagine complex scenarios of potential events and feeling very much alive and in control.

We appear not to have conscious control over our transition from one state of consciousness to another. It is possible to lie in bed unable to go to sleep for hours on end, but a sharp blow to the head can make someone instantly unconscious. It is possible to fight off drowsiness or sleep for limited periods to cope with some emergency situation, but eventually sleep overtakes everyone. Sustained emotional activity, which uses up a lot of energy, invariably causes sleep.

Separation of State from Process
All these examples, and many others, reinforce the argument that being conscious or unconscious is separate from whatever mental activities are, or are not, going on at any one moment. In short, whenever someone is ‘conscious’ they experience a steady flow of thoughts, ideas, concerns, intentions, reactions and feelings as they monitor and relate to the environment or give free rein to their imaginations. Equally, people can discipline themselves to slow down all that mental activity, shut out the outside world and meditate. If one can shut out all mental activity one is usually asleep. It is very easy to slip from imagination, a conscious process, to dreaming, an unconscious one. If we can isolate all the processes of consciousness that generate the mass of electrical signals over the neural networks of the brain we are left with the ‘state’ of those networks.

One of the key human skills is to review previous experiences and attempt to extrapolate what is happening around us to predict what might happen in the future. This ability to forecast events appears to be unique to the human race. All other living things including the universe itself are self-organizing systems – the word ‘selfsormens’ is beginning to be used – they react, and can only react to events. To be able to conceive the future course of events enables us to prepare for eventualities, thus we are no longer entirely at the whim of chance. Equipped with this skill we are molding the environment to our advantage and even beginning to modify the planet on which we live. Some people use the word ‘imagination’ to describe this skill. It involves thinking and creativity. All other neural activity is about processing information in one form or another of actual events that have, or are occurring: the neural networks connect up the sense organs and muscles to
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perform some response. Imagination is about thinking about circumstances and activities that have not occurred: the neural networks are activating other neural structures – memories – in the abstract, and the result may only be other neural activity. We can only think, be creative, imagine and predict while we are conscious. In fact, we can go further, and say that these skills are only possible because we have learned to consciously initiate them.

Neural activity requires energy. Therefore, we can say that consciousness is closely tied up with the volume of energy available to the brain. Initiating prediction, preparation, thinking and creativity all involve pumping energy into defined areas of the neural networks.

More has been discovered about the neural networks in the last few decades than in all previous recorded history. A remarkable amount is known about the neurons, their nuclei and the axons and dendrite filaments that connect them to the senses, the muscles, the glands and all the other organs of the body, thereby linking and coordinating every organ in the body with every other. We know that there are more glia cells than neurons, although we are not fully aware of their myriad functions. Among a number of power generating systems, mitochondria in the nuclei create energy from the nutrients circulating in the blood stream. Action potentials are generated along the axons and dendrites. The whole brain is bathed in a myriad of chemicals; some that bind to the axons and dendrites to modify specific networks, and others that broadcast general messages.

**Synapses**

One aspect that has attracted particular attention is the way in which all neurons are connected to each other. Neurons do not physically touch; instead, they communicate across tightly controlled gaps between their respective axons and dendrites. These junctions, known as synapses from the Greek word 'to clasp', are surprisingly sophisticated. There is a narrow gap, or cleft, between the transmitting and receiving terminals. In principle, when an action potential message flowing along an axon reaches a synapse, it causes various neurotransmitters to be excreted. These 'swim' across the synaptic cleft and bind to the receiving dendrite, initiating a corresponding action potential which enables the message to continue its journey. Synapses can pass messages, amplify messages and negate messages. The pharmaceutical industry has been very active in research on the complex operation of synapses and many preparations like valium and prozac operate on the chemicals that are believed to operate in and around the synapses. These drugs may variously stimulate the production of neurotransmitters, inhibit them, and operate on hormones that affect transmissions along the axons and dendrites, or seek to affect excess neurotransmitters that in normal circumstances would be retrieved by the axon terminals that over supplied them. Chemical warfare agents, such as sarin, are particularly lethal because they interfere with synaptic links.

There is another aspect of synapses that is less well understood. Not much is known about the width of synaptic clefts. It is not known what holds the two sides together, in close proximity but without touching. There is, as yet, no plausible theory about how or why this method of connecting neurons together has evolved in such a curious and immensely complex way, yet we know that Nature rarely evolves without a very good reason.

**Hypothesis**

We propose the hypothesis that the widths of synaptic clefts are not fixed, but are variable, and that this variable tension across the synapses is the root operand of the various states of consciousness.

**How might this operate?**

When someone gradually falls asleep we can observe that their muscles relax, their eyes close and breathing drops to a steady rhythm. We propose that similar variations in the amount of energy directed to the synapses causes variations in the tension across the synaptic clefts. As these energy levels drop, the synaptic gaps gradually widen. This does not completely inhibit the transmission of messages, but will slow them down and make that transmission less efficient. Below a critical point, the volume of perceived activity falls below a horizon and the person drifts into a state of unconscious sleep. After a period of rest, the energy levels in the neurons are gradually replenished, increasing the tension.
across the synapses, closing the synaptic gaps and facilitating the efficient passage of neural activity. When a critical mass is reached, the volume of perceived activity will rise above the horizon and the person becomes consciously awake.

Thus the level of tension across the neural networks determines the state of consciousness. The type and volume of traffic over those networks determines the experiences of consciousness.

How might this have evolved?
As groups of primeval cells banded together to create larger organisms, the earliest brains evolved to link together all the developing senses, muscles and organs, enabling an organism to manage its behaviour and operate as one coordinated, co-operative whole in a process known as the autonomic system. This neural activity uses up a lot of energy. However, being able to respond increasingly quickly to threatening stimuli, proved to be an extremely valuable attribute in the battle for survival. Energy is always a scarce resource, and the conservation of energy has always been a major constraint on living organisms. Life forms that could deploy increasing amounts of neural energy, even if only over short periods, prospered. Thus, the underlying autonomic systems evolved two new operating states. Neuron networks began to deploy unsustainable amounts of energy over short periods, followed by periods of relaxation to enable this energy to be replenished. How could the same neural networks operate in these two different modes?

We propose that synapses initially evolved as simple ‘circuit breakers’. However, the ability to stay connected but vary the tension holding synapses together and so vary the width of the gaps across the synaptic clefts provided as increasingly sophisticated, efficient and flexible system. In the active phase tension was increased and the synaptic cleft was minimized, enabling the neuron networks to operate at maximum efficiency even at the cost of incurring unsustainable energy usage. As the available energy dropped the tension across the synapses reduced, widening the synaptic clefts and causing the traffic across the network to fall. This reduced the energy usage to the point where the neurons could generate more energy than was being used and so replenish their stocks. The first condition developed into the state we recognize as conscious awareness – being awake and able to respond to any eventuality. The latter developed into the state of relaxation and inactivity we recognize as being unconscious – of being asleep. Because the synaptic cleft was not completely severed, the autonomic system was able to continue operating in the background ensuring the survival of the organism.

This tripartite system – the subconscious state managing the underlying, autonomic or basic ‘operating system’ – the fast reacting self-controlled conscious state – and the compensating relaxation and recharging unconscious state, proved to be so successful that it has been one of the principal drivers of evolution. As the conscious state has evolved it has demanded ever more energy, reinforcing the mechanism.

The energy generation mechanism in cold-blooded reptiles will only allow them to sustain full conscious awareness in direct sunlight. Animals that pushed the envelope of conscious awareness outside the margins of daylight prospered. They developed warm blooded strategies to store energy for use in darkness. This used more energy, in turn requiring more effective food gathering strategies such as working in groups, developing tools and communicating through language. The human brain employs the most complex mix of these strategies and uses over a quarter of all the energy that the whole body generates.

Imagination and Dreaming
As people drift off to sleep their motor neuron synapses tend to lose tension and so drift apart first. Humans curl up and lie down. The next networks to lose tension tend to be associated with sensory awareness of the surroundings. This leaves the neuron to neuron links, which control our language, thinking and imagination systems. In this state it is possible to continue imagining, but when the control systems lose tension and relax this imagination slips into dreaming. Finally those networks lose tension and the whole brain is asleep, with the exception of the autonomic system.

Several observed phenomena of the sleeping state demonstrate the delicate balance between state and process. The decoupling of the motor neurons explains the
frequently reported dream sequence where people are aware of some danger but have the sensation that they cannot move out of the way. Conversely, in a minority of circumstances the motor neurons may remain active after other networks relax, which accounts for the phenomena of sleep walking. Similarly, people talk in their sleep when only the language networks have remained active. People report being conscious of, say, a radio transmitting a discussion while they are otherwise asleep and feeling frustrated that the participants do not let them join in. It appears that the body is asleep, yet the brain is continuing to run some processes.

**Prioritizing: Grabbing Attention**

This hypothesis of synaptic tension directly enabling the performance of neural networks also accounts for another attribute of consciousness. It is possible to carry out more than one task at a time and to switch attention very quickly from one subject to another. For instance, people drive cars while concentrating on a conversation with their passengers, but if a dangerous event happens on the road in front of them, the driver instantly directs full concentration to the task of driving. In escalating crises the brain can switch its resources to what appears to be the most immediate priority very swiftly by pumping energy into the target neural network. This raises the tension across the synapses of that network, closing the clefts and allowing messages in that area to transmit faster and stronger than in other areas of the brain. By this means one whole set of networks can take over from another very quickly.

Thus it can be seen that synaptic tension not only determines the conscious state of the brain but also the level of conscious awareness and activity, thereby setting the priority of all its response systems.

There is an example of this from the burgeoning technology of robotics. There is a design issue in robotics referred to as the ‘concurrency problem’. Put in simple terms, a robot may walk over a cliff, not because its sensors have not noticed the void in front, but because its processing resources are devoted to another task and cannot respond fast enough. In the biological world, survival depended upon the ability of one group of neurons to be able to grab the attention of the whole system instantly, so that they could concentrate on coping with just such an emergency.

**Theory Applications**

**Concussion**

A blow to the head actually knocks the synapses apart causing instant unconsciousness. As the brain works to regain the normal level of tension in the neural networks the clefts close up and consciousness is gradually regained. Frequent concussion will weaken this ability to repair the system.

**Variable Memory Loss**

Variable synaptic gaps may account for another well recognized phenomenon of the brain. When trying to recall some piece of information people often report a sense that they know the answer but that it is just out of reach – it is on the ‘tip of their tongue’. The reason may be that the networks representing that information are activated, but the strength of the signal is not strong enough to cross intervening synaptic clefts, and therefore it is just out of reach. People similarly report that in a crisis they can recall all sorts of ‘lost’ information, like important telephone numbers. The energy generated by such a crisis closes the synaptic clefts enabling weak signals to pass and thus the information may be recalled.

**Dementia**

It is probable that some aspects of dementia are caused by a weakening of the energy system to generate the levels of tension necessary to facilitate the passage of neural signals across synaptic clefts. As they drift apart, less and less neural activity penetrates into consciousness.

**Death and Brain Damage**

When the body dies, all electrical activity ceases. All the muscles lose their tension and so the organs cease to function. All neural activity ceases. Temporary links will disintegrate. All the synapses fall apart and the subconscious as well as consciousness ceases to exist. For these reasons it is very difficult to observe the workings of a human brain, because it significantly changes its configuration at death. It is also difficult to observe the operations of a live brain in this detail, but we can infer certain neural activities from our observations of localized brain
damage. A stroke causes a partial failure of sections of the brain. Depending on the severity of the stroke, some networks lose their tension, and therefore the ability to recall memories, either permanently or for a period of time. Through its incredible resilience, the brain often gradually restores tension in the affected networks, facilitating the transmission of traffic, and so restoring memory.

**Synchronization**
Recent research using brain scanners has shown that large groups of networks of neurons can synchronize their electrical activity and fire at the same frequency; a process known as ‘phase locking’. By using different frequencies, these groups of networks are able to operate concurrently without interfering with one another (Begg, 2008). Whichever group of networks is receiving the most energy is in the highest tension and so seizes conscious attention. There is some evidence of energy sweeping in waves across brain areas. This might be an example of how the brain ratchets up synaptic tension.

**Implications**
We now have a plausible hypothesis to explain the physical manifestations that occur as we move through all the different states of our conscious awareness - from deep anesthesia, though sleep and waking up, to full concentration - and how our attention is directed to what appears to be the highest priority.

What this hypothesis is attempting to answer is what the Australian philosopher David Chalmers calls the ‘hard problem’: determining how physiological events in the brain translate into what we experience as consciousness, or, to put it another way, how a neural phenomenon causes a human experience (Chalmers, 1996).

**Synaptic tension is the neural correlate of consciousness**
Susan Greenfield, argues that, while the size of assemblies of neurons that are active at any one time do not create consciousness, the size of these assemblies are indices of degrees of consciousness (Greenfield, 2000). On the other hand, Christof Koch, who worked with Francis Crick, joint discoverer of the structure of DNA, and is now Professor of Cognitive and Behavioral Biology at the California Institute of Technology, suggests that it is the informational complexity of arrays of active neurons that is significant, i.e., it is quality, not quantity that determines consciousness (Koch, 2007).

There is a difference between being conscious, and being conscious of something. Thus, the size of neuron assemblies and their informational complexity affect what a person is conscious of at any one time. If, however, the networks are in resting state – unconscious – neither the size nor complexity of the neuron activity will have any impact.

What matters is the amount of energy being deployed. But again, this is at two levels. The energy pumped into the neurons to raise the tension across the synapses to increase the efficiency of the whole network determines consciousness; and the strength of the signals transmitted across these networks determines what the brain is conscious of. Both the Greenfield and Koch conjectures apply to the latter. There is a lot to be learned from this line of discussion.

**Habituation**
Many activities impinge on the conscious self only if something out of the ordinary happens to grab the attention. Each time a non-significant or routine event is repeated the neural energy needed to process its response instructions is reduced, so eventually it does not reach the critical mass needed to penetrate consciousness. We use the term ‘habituation’ for this effect, which can be observed many times each day. People report that frequently performed activities like walking, driving, washing the dishes, or getting dressed in the morning appear to be performed as though on ‘automatic pilot’.

**Self-Control**
It is possible to deliberately direct attention. In most circumstances it is possible to choose which sensory input to concentrate upon. It is possible to decide what to think about, to examine desires, thoughts and ambitions, and imagine other situations. It is possible to direct concentration selectively. It is possible to listen to just one instrument in an orchestra or concentrate on the conversation of one person in a crowded, noisy room. It is possible to zoom in on one visual image, taste, smell or feeling. Coherent streams of words can be pre-assembled to conduct conversations with...
others. Similarly, people can conduct a reasoned stream of thoughts with themselves. Conceptually, it is possible to hold a debate across the corpus collosum. These are all examples of neural activity over the networks when they are in a high tension, conscious state.

At the basic level, underpinning the whole of existence, the autonomic brain is contributing substantially to the co-ordination and smooth running of the whole body. What goes on is registered, but relatively little impinges on awareness. Only very rarely are these activities ‘conscious’ and that is generally if something goes wrong. Hardly ever is anyone aware of the body’s ongoing maintenance work to remove, mend or replace damaged or worn out tissues. The immune system is permanently active yet most people are largely oblivious of it.

Summary
Conscious awareness requires two conditions. The networks must be in a conscious state and the activity over those networks must also be sufficiently strong to attract attention. If the networks are in an unconscious state no amount of activity over the networks will attract conscious attention, but in certain circumstances subconscious activity may be sufficient to cause energy to be pumped into the networks to raise them to a conscious state; a shouted warning, for instance. If the networks are in a conscious state, considerable volumes of neural traffic may or may not attract conscious attention, depending on whether some other neural activity has grabbed attention and so is taking precedence.

Whether the neural networks are in a conscious or unconscious state is determined by the strength of the tension across the synapses and therefore the widths of the synaptic clefts.

Humans have evolved some degree of control over the activities of their brains, but this is observably incomplete. In a crisis, reaction becomes automatic: if physically confronted, either the fight or flee instinct takes over. In a heated argument responses may not be fully under conscious control. People report the experience of hearing themselves come out with some riposte that in less stressful circumstances they might not have used. Under pressure, there is only partial conscious control. There is no time to think of a more reasoned response. The brain deploys the maximum energy available to execute the swiftest response by the most direct means, by-passing all its more sophisticated facilities.

However, to varying degrees and depending on the circumstances, the ability has evolved to interrupt the instinctive or conditioned responses and delay taking action until alternatives have been considered. It is possible to select and initiate what to think about, how to think about it and what action to take. Predicting the possible future course of events, what some people call ‘imagination’ is, arguably our most valuable attribute. There are over seventy different words associated with imagination in the English Language! It is only possible for people to be imaginative when they are conscious, when they can initiate actions and have control over their imaginings.

This all strongly suggests that there are a series of layers of neural networks. There are neural networks that operate the automatic functions of the body, and behaviors such as the fight or flee instinct. These are overlaid by more complex neural networks that monitor current and stored experience, and can interrupt the automatic response. Perhaps overlaying both of these, there are neural networks that monitor other neural networks and, through the process of imagination, create a whole new range of options. Recent research suggests this may be a function of the prefrontal cortex: the part of the brain that appears to have evolved most recently. Through all these, a system has evolved that enables the identification and evaluation of alternatives, over which increasing levels of control can be exercised. Consciousness is experienced if the level of tension holding the synapses together is strong enough to enable the electrical activity across the networks to grab attention.

Corroboration
The next step is to measure the widths of synaptic clefts and then see by how much these values vary between the conscious and unconscious states. This is right on the edge of current capabilities. Electron microscopy can measure the width of axons and work has started on reconstructing circuit diagrams of sections of the brain, but this involves terabytes of data and advances in image processing technology.
analysis. Multiphoton microscopy, which uses fluorescence and ultrafast lasers, might allow us to identify regular variations in the synaptic clefts. Sam Wang, at Princeton, reports that his team has been able to measure the strength of signals across synapses, but not the ability to measure the width of the clefts as yet (Wang, 2009). Perhaps confocal microscopy scanning being developed by Qinetiq PLC in the UK could be adapted to this task.

Based on work by Leon Chua at the University of California, Berkeley, Stan Williams’ team at Hewlett Packard has devised an electronic component like a resistor that has a form of memory, which they have called a ‘memristor’ (Williams, 2008). Max Di Ventra, a physicist at the University of California at San Diego, and Yuriy Pershin have built a transistor-memristor chip that appears to emulate synapses (Di Ventra, 2005). This work suggests that synapses may have evolved their physical attributes to be able to alter their response according to the frequency and strength of signal traffic. Thus synapses may contribute both to the process of the storage of information – memory - as well as determining the state of consciousness of the neural networks.

The most recent developments in Magneto Encephalography (MEG) may also help. Holding the synaptic clefts in place and increasing or reducing the tension across them may well be a function of the ambient electromagnetic field. The increasing sophistication and accuracy of MEG registration might enable us to begin to measure the magnetic field, if not across individual synapses yet, then possibly in groups of synapses.

**Conclusion**

This model provides a plausible explanation of the varying states of the neural networks. It explains what actually happens when a person wakes up and becomes conscious of being alive and aware of everything going on about them; what makes them capable of imagining different situations and having some control over their own behavior; and what happens as they drift off to sleep.

Proof of this model of the neural correlates of consciousness, will enable us to understand the manifold other aspects of consciousness that occur as a result of the mass of electrical activity that flows over these networks.
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