

### Cytotoxicity of Green biosynthesized selenium nanoparticle on PC3 and WRL 68

Hawraa Jawad Kadhim<sup>1</sup> and Wijdan Ridha Taj-Aldin<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>College of Science for women, Department of Biology, University of Babylon, Iraq <sup>2</sup>College of Science, Department of Biology, University of Babylon, Iraq

corresponding author Email: mobalqaswar@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

Because of its importance in numerous physiological process , SeNPs research has gotten greater interest in recent years. In comparison to selenium, selenium nanoparticles have a high level of absorption in nutritional supplements . SeNP has been synthesized using a variety of chemical and biological techniques. Because of its anti-oxidant, anti-bacterial, anti-diabetic, and anti-cancer properties, selenium nanoparticles have biological and pharmacological applications . This article reviews biosynthesis of SeNPs using Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> and *Bacillus clausii* under aerobic conditions .The structural and morphological properties of SeNPs were determined by UV\_Vis spectroscopy and the absorption peak was observed at ( 260 nm) wavelength , in SEM size range between (37.58 - 75.16 nm), the average diameter of SeNPs was 19.28 in AFM . Results showed that no significant cytotoxic effect of SeNPs against PC3 cells at concentrations (25 and 50 µg/mL) . Nevertheless, SeNPs at (100, 200 and 400 µg/mL) exhibited a dose\_dependent\_decrease in PC3 cell viability with maximum inhibition rate of 51.27±2.77% of PC3 cells at 400 µg/mL.

 Keywords: SeNPs , Bacillus clausii , UV- Vis spectroscopy , SEM , AFM , PC3 and WRL 68

 DOI Number: 10.14704/nq.2022.20.12.NQ77098
 NeuroQuantology 2022; 20(12): 1173-1182

#### 1- Introduction

Nanotechnology is a new field of study that combines nanotechnology and biotechnology to give nano science . The diameter of the nanoparticles ranges between (1-100) nm , their chemical activity , large surface area , charge density and ability to interact with the bacterial-cell enabled them to enhance the antimicrobial activity by generating free toxic metal ions or ROS. (1, 2, 3, 4).

Nanoparticles are manufactured by physical, chemical and biological methods. In biological methods (green synthesis) plants, fungi and bacteria are used to prepare nanomaterials. One of the benefits of this method is that it is environmentally friendly, economical, and less toxic when compared to other methods. As for the physical and chemical methods used in manufacturing, they produce high radiation and toxic reducing materials, which have the ability to affect human and other living organisms (5,6,7). In biological systems, se is a necessary micronutrient . SeNPs have many nanomedicine applications due to their anti-cancer anti-microbial, and antioxidant properties, and the cytotoxicity is lower than that of silver nanoparticles (8). Polymers, dendrimers, liposomes, metal NPs (Eu, Ag, Fe, Au, Ti, Ce, Cu, Se and carbon-based others), silicon, and nanomaterials have all been employed as effective therapeutic agents and drug delivery carriers (9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14). Because of their high stability and low toxicity, SeNPs are now widely accepted and recommended for use in a variety of scientific branches (15) . SeNPs have shown a major therapeutic platforms, especially in anti-cancer therapy, including combination with well-known chemotherapeutic agents



like 5-Fluorouracil , irinotecan and doxorubicin (16,17,18, 19) . As well as with oligonucleotides like small interfering RNA , exhibiting synergistic anticancer activity and overcoming multidrug resistance (16,20,21,22) .

## 2- Material and methods

### 2.1 SeNPs biosynthesis

Extracellular biosynthesis was used due to the advantages of this procedure over the intracellular method. The advantages of extracellular biosynthesis include easier, low artifacts workflow (Youssef et al., 2014). Sodium selenite (Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>) was used as precursor for biosynthesis of selenium nanoparticles . Bacillus clausii were grown in (BHIB) at 37  $C^0$  for 24h. After that , the suspension was centrifuged for 15 minutes at 10,000 rpm, the supernatant was taken and the precipitate was removed , these supernatant was supplemented with sodium selenite solution (3 mM) . The pH was adjusted to 8 with (2M) NaoH . In shaking incubator (150-200 rpm), the resultant solutions were incubated in aerobic condition for 24-48 hours at 37 C°.

After incubation , the changing in color was observed and the mixture was centrifuged for 10 minute at (10000 rpm , 4 c°) , the supernatant was discarded and the precipitate was taken. In order to purify selenium nanoparticles, these sediment was washed with  $ddH_2O$  , these step were repeated three times. The final suspension was dried for 18-24 hours in oven at 40 C°. The powder was skilfully collected and stored in a vial for further examination (23).

### 2.2 SeNPs characterization

## • UV-Visible Spectra analysis

The concentration of selenium nanoparticles in solution was determined using this method . Two ml of SeNPs were

scaned at a medium scan rate two nanometer/ second in the range of (200 – 800) nm and measured in a (1 cm) path-lengthquartz-cuvette (24).

## • Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

SEM was used for characterization the morphological and size of selenium nanoparticles. The sample was smeared on adhesive carbon tape that was fixed to a brass stub for the SEM. The sample was then coated with gold using a sputtering unit for 10 seconds at 10 mA of current. The gold-coated sample was placed in SEM chamber, then, images of secondary electrons /back scattered electrons were captured . The microscope operated with different magnification ranging from x15000 to x35000 and voltage 20-30 KV (25) .

• Atomic Force Microscope(AFM)

AFM was used for SeNPs characterization in University of kashan, On a slide, a drop of SeNPs was applied and a thin smear was produced. The smear was air-dried before being scanned and examined with an Atomic Force Microscope (Broker, Germany) (26).

# 2.3 Assessment the SeNPs Cytotoxicity

To study the cytotoxic of SeNPs , Prostat cancer cell line (PC3) and normal hepatic cell line (WRL 68) was prepared ,the cell were cultured in monolayers according to (27). The cytotoxic effect of SeNPs in different concentration (25 ,50 ,100, 200 and 400  $\mu$ g/ml) was performed by using MTT kit (Intron Biotech) . The grown cells were incubated using a 5% CO2 incubator for 24 h at 37°C . PC3 and WRL 68 cells were then removed by trypsinizatien . The cells were inculated in a 96-well-plate with approximately (106 cells / well) in their exponential growth phase and inoculateed



with different concentrations (25–400  $\mu$ g/mL) of SeNPs in CO2 incubator for (24, 48,72 h) after incubation to achieve the best results. The supernatant of both cells without stimuli was used as negative control. Each test was carried out in conjunction with a control containing complete medium devoid of cells as a blank; nanoparticles and MTT reagent devoid of cells were used as blanks. Following, (100  $\mu$ L) of MTT was added to each well and incubated again for (4 h). Finally, a pipet was used to add detergent reagent in a **3-Results & discussion** 

#### 3.1 SeNPs biosynthesis

Bacillus clausii used in SeNPs biosynthesis, showed up the ability of cell free supernatant in extracellular biosynthesis of volume equal to the original culture medium (100  $\mu$ L) , to entirely dissolve the resulting MTT formazan crystals . After well mixing , the optical density of resulting solution was immediately read in a microplate reader using a microplate spectrophotometer at the background absorbance of multi-well plates at (690 nm) and subtract at (570 nm) . All assays were performed in triplicate . The viability of cells was represented and compared to controls .

SeNPs after the addition of Na2SeO3 as a substrate . Colour changing from yellow to red served as indicators for the biosynthesis of SeNPs by *Bacillus clausii*, (figure 1).



Figure (1) this images displayed biosynthesis of selenium nanoparticles product process. image (A) showed the supernatant of *bacillus clausii* without Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>, image (B) showed the supernatant of *bacillus clausii* with Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> after 48 hours.

In the biosynthesis of nanoparticle , The reaction solution's unique red hue was caused by the Se particles' surface plasmon vibrations being excited, providing a useful spectroscopic signature of their production . Earlier reports also showed the biosynthesis of selenium nanoparticles using several microbes . (28) biosynthesized SeNPs by using three species of non-pathogenic, *Lactobacillus* , where as synthesis of SeNps using *Bacillus* sp. MSh-1 and further antimicrobial activity has been reported by (29), The results of our study also indicate

that reduction of  $Na_2SeO_3$  by the supernatant of *Bacillus clausii* took longer time than previous reports (28).

# **3.2** characterization of selenium nanoparticles

### UV-Visible Spectra analysis

UV-Vis spectroscopy research between 200 and 800nm was used to characterized the synthesized selenium nanoparticle. At 260 nanometers, the presence of SeNPs was detected (Figure 2).





### Figure (2): This curve of SeNPs in solution was determined by UV-vis spectroscopy

Many research in the literature support these findings and demonstrating that the reducing agent *Bacillus clausii* is capable of completely converting precursor molecules into nano-sized selenium particles when spherical particles are generated with size 50-100 nm , a consistent absorption maximum in the wavelength area of around 300 cm<sup>-1</sup> is observed, depending on the experimental conditions (30,24) . Other research showed that the UV-visible spectroscopy for surface plasmon resonance was and (262 nm) and size range from (35 nm \_ 75 nm ) (24) .

• Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

To confirm the size and morphology of the SeNPs , SEM were used . Rod shaped of SeNPs with a size range between 37.58 – 75.16 nm were reported . Figure (3) showed the SEM micrograph of purified SeNPs .







The shapes and sizes of bio-genic metallic NPs can be controlled by exchanging the bio\_reduction conditions , including : organism , types of culture , incubation time and nature of medium (31) . Rodsshape of SeNPs showed similarity with result that cited by (25,32,33) . Whereas spherical shape were reported by (34,31,35). Recently, numerous microscopic techniques are commercially available , whenever (TEM) and (SEM) are the most popular microscopes for the analysis of the NPs (36).

• Atomic force microscope(AFM) AFM imaging validated the shape and surface topography of the Selenium NPs. The AFM pictures revealed that the Selenium NPs had a spherical form with a diameter of 19,28 nanometer , indicating that nanoparticles were present in the medium after incubation (Figure 4).



(Figure 4) : A - AFM image of SeNPs biosynthesized by *Bacillus clausii*, B- Size (diameter) distribution histograms of corresponding structures

The morphology and distribution of selenium nanoparticles, which adhere to one other and create a layered structure, are also confirmed by AFM images . By detecting a sharp probe for bio-effective component of SeNPs and the attractive / repulsive forces between the sample surface , AFM images were obtained (37). The image of AFM showed the shape of the surface and the size of the particles for the samples that have been identified , and it also showed a three-dimensional (3D) and two-dimensional (2D) image of the sample (38).

### 3.3 Cytotoxicity of SeNPs on PC3 and WRL68

The cytotoxic response of prostate cancer PC3 cell line and normal hepatic WRL68 cells treated with increasing concentrations of Se NPs (25, 50, 100, 200, 400  $\mu$ g/mL) was investigated using MTT assay after 24 h exposure. Results in table (1) , showed that no significant cytotoxic effect of Se NPs against PC3 cells at concentrations (25 and 50  $\mu$ g/mL) . Nevertheless, Se NPs at (100, 200 and 400  $\mu$ g/mL) exhibited a dose dependent decrease in PC3 cell viability with maximum inhibition rate of 51.27±2.77% of PC3 cells at (400  $\mu$ g/mL).



Se NPs	PC3	WRL68	Sig.	<i>p</i> Value
	Mean Inhibition ± SD	Mean Inhibition ± SD		
	(%)	(%)		
400 μg/mL	51.27±2.77 <sup>a</sup>	36.86±3.38 <sup>a</sup>	**	<0.00001
200 μg/mL	36.3±2.12 <sup>b</sup>	25.54±0.85 <sup>b</sup>	**	<0.00001
100 μg/mL	22.38±2.41 <sup>c</sup>	9.03±3.3 <sup>c</sup>	**	< 0.00001
50 μg/mL	8.08±1.36 <sup>d</sup>	5.36±1.51 <sup>c</sup>	NS	0.5243
25 μg/mL	4.05±0.53 <sup>d</sup>	4.71±1.05 <sup>c</sup>	NS	0.9981

Table (1): Multiple comparisons of mean±SD cell inhibition between PC3 and WRL68 treated with Se NPs (25, 50, 100, 200, 400 μg/mL) for 24 h.

\*\*: p < 0.01, NS: non-significant. Different letters (a, b, c, d) consider significant (p < 0.05) in column.

Regarding WRL68 cells, the sensitivity of the cells to Se NPs treatments was less than that of PC3. Se NPs concentrations at 25, 50 and 100  $\mu$ g/mL showed no significant differences in pattern of cell inhibition. On the other hand, Se NPs at 200 and 400  $\mu$ g/mL showed significant (p < 0.05) reduction in cell viability with maximum inhibition of 36.86±3.3% at 400  $\mu$ g/mL . Multiple comparisons study , table (1)

between PC3 and WRL68 with regard to Se NPs toxicity showed significant differences (p = <0.0001) in the pattern of cell inhibition at 100, 200 and 400  $\mu$ g/mL, which obviously PC3 cells were more susceptible to Se NPs treatment than WRL68 cells. IC50 of Se NPs against PC3 and WRL86 was calculated with values of 164.4 and 175.4  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively, figure (5).



# Figure (5): Dose-response curve (IC50) for PC3 and WRL68 cells treated with Se NPs after 24 h incubation at 37ºC.

One of the most common materials used in the production of solar cells and photography is selenium, which exhibits a well-known photoelectrical property. It is also an essential component of the human body, as it can protect tissues and cells from free radicals in vivo (39). A dose-dependent assessment of the effects of Se NPs on the viability of PC3 cells revealed that the cytotoxic effects of Se NPs were detrimental. The results showed that the presence of Se NPs negatively affected the



cell viability of PC3 cells. Depending on their physiochemical characteristics, Se NPs exhibits the tendency to induce the production of reactive oxygen species in exposed cells and thus responsible for the cytotoxic effect (40).

It was indicated that the method of NPs preparation, structure and size of Se nanomaterials have high impact on the antitumoral effect of Se NPs (41). It was reported that Se NPs in combination with other nano-metals exhibited antiproliferative effect against HepG2 cell line through induction of apoptosis (20). Moreover, Sonkusre, (2020) showed that a significant decrease in the viability of prostate LNCaP cell line was observed when treated with different concentrations of Se NPs with signature feature of necrosis due to the decrease in caspases and no LDH release from the cells (42).

SeNPs were observed to be effective in inhibiting a wide range of cancer cells while having little effect on normal cells . Similarly, studies have been suggested that Se NPs have potent cytotoxicity against tumor cells, but not against normal cells, like cervical carcinoma, hepatocarcinoma and colorectal cancer (43). However, studies confirmed that Se NPs are significantly potent against many cell lines and that their cytotoxicity on prostate cancer cell lines is more convincing than other types of tumor cell lines (44, 16).

### References

1- Khezerlou, A., Alizadeh-Sani, M., Azizi-Lalabadi, M., & Ehsani, A. (2018). Nanoparticles and their antimicrobial properties against pathogens including bacteria, fungi, parasites and viruses. *Microbial pathogenesis*, **123**, 505-526.

2- Nisar, P., Ali, N., Rahman, L., Ali, M., & Shinwari, Z. K. (2019). Antimicrobial activities of biologically synthesized metal nanoparticles: an insight into the mechanism of action. Journal of Biological Inorganic Chemistry, 24(7), 929-941.

3- Fardsadegh, B., & Jafarizadeh-Malmiri, H. (2019). Aloe vera leaf extract mediated green synthesis of selenium nanoparticles and assessment of their in vitro antimicrobial activity against spoilage fungi and pathogenic bacteria strains. Green Processing and Synthesis, 8(1), 399-407.

4- Alam, H., Khatoon, N., Khan, M. A., Husain, S. A., Saravanan, M., & Sardar, M. (2020). Synthesis of selenium nanoparticles using probiotic bacteria Lactobacillus acidophilus and their enhanced antimicrobial activity against resistant bacteria. Journal of Cluster Science, 31(5), 1003-1011.

5- Ingale, A. G., & Chaudhari, A. N. (2013). Biogenic synthesis of nanoparticles and potential applications: an eco-friendly approach. Journal of Nanomedicine & Nanotechnology, 4(165), 1-7.

6- Khan, M., Al-Marri, A. H., Khan, M., Shaik, M. R., Mohri, N., Adil, S. F., ... & Siddiqui, M. R. H. (2015). Green approach for the effective reduction of graphene oxide using Salvadora persica L. root (Miswak) extract. Nanoscale research letters, 10(1), 1-9.

7- Devanesan, S., AlSalhi, M. S., Vishnubalaji, R., Alfuraydi, A. A., Alajez, N. M., Alfayez, M., ... & Benelli, G. (2017). Rapid biological synthesis of silver nanoparticles using plant seed extracts and their cytotoxicity on colorectal cancer cell lines. Journal of Cluster Science, 28(1), 595-605.



8- Hosnedlova, B., Kepinska, M., Skalickova, S., Fernandez, C., Ruttkay-Nedecky, B., Peng, Q., ... & Kizek, R. (2018). Nano-selenium and its nanomedicine applications: a critical review. International journal of nanomedicine, 13, 2107 – 2128.

9- Liong, M., Lu, J., Kovochich, M., Xia, T., Ruehm, S. G., Nel, A. E., ... & Zink, J. I. (2008). Multifunctional inorganic nanoparticles for imaging, targeting, and drug delivery. ACS nano, 2(5), 889-896.

10- Brigger, I., Dubernet, C., & Couvreur, P. (2012). Nanoparticles in cancer therapy and diagnosis. Advanced drug delivery reviews, 64, 24-36.

11- Das, J., Han, J. W., Choi, Y. J., Song, H., Cho, S. G., Park, C., ... & Kim, J. H. (2016). Cationic lipid-nanoceria hybrids, a novel nonviral vector-mediated gene delivery into mammalian cells: investigation of the cellular uptake mechanism. Scientific reports, 6(1), 1-13.

12- Kumari, P., Saifi, M. A., Khurana, A.,
& Godugu, C. (2018). Cardioprotective effects of nanoceria in a murine model of cardiac remodeling. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology, 50, 198-208.

13-Sangomla, S., Saifi, M. A., Khurana, A., & Godugu, C. (2018). Nanoceria ameliorates doxorubicin induced cardiotoxicity: possible mitigation via reduction of oxidative stress and inflammation. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology, 47, 53-62.

14- Saifi, M. A., Sangomla, S., Khurana, A., & Godugu, C. (2019). Protective effect of nanoceria on cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity by amelioration of oxidative stress and pro-inflammatory mechanisms. Biological Trace Element Research, 189(1), 145-156. 15- Gunti, L., Dass, R. S., & Kalagatur, N. K. (2019). Phytofabrication of selenium nanoparticles from Emblica officinalis fruit extract and exploring its biopotential applications: antioxidant, antimicrobial, and biocompatibility. Frontiers in microbiology, 10, 931.

16- Khurana, A., Tekula, S., Saifi, M. A., Venkatesh, P., & Godugu, C. (2019). Therapeutic applications of selenium nanoparticles. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy, 111, 802-812.

17- Liu, W., Li, X., Wong, Y. S., Zheng, W., Zhang, Y., Cao, W., & Chen, T. (2012). Selenium nanoparticles as a carrier of 5fluorouracil to achieve anticancer synergism. ACS nano, 6(8), 6578-6591.

18- Khalaf, S. (2022). Nanomedicine Applications of Selenium Nanoparticles: Small is Big. Records of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Sciences, 6(1), 1-9.

19- Gao, F., Yuan, Q., Gao, L., Cai, P., Zhu, H., Liu, R., ... & Gao, X. (2014). Cytotoxicity and therapeutic effect of irinotecan combined with selenium nanoparticles. Biomaterials, 35(31), 8854-8866.

20- Cui, D., Yan, C., Miao, J., Zhang, X., Chen, J., Sun, L., ... & Li, Q. (2018). Synthesis, characterization and antitumor properties of selenium nanoparticles coupling with ferulic acid. Materials Science and Engineering: C, 90, 104-112.

21-Maiyo, F., & Singh, M. (2017).Selenium nanoparticles: Potential in cancergeneanddrugdelivery. Nanomedicine, 12(9), 1075-1089.

22- Luesakul, U., Puthong, S., Neamati, N., & Muangsin, N. (2018). pH-responsive selenium nanoparticles stabilized by folatechitosan delivering doxorubicin for overcoming drug-resistant cancer cells. Carbohydrate polymers, 181, 841-850.



23- Dhanjal, S., & Cameotra, S. S. (2010). Aerobic biogenesis of selenium nanospheres by Bacillus cereus isolated from coalmine soil. Microbial cell factories, 9(1), 1-11.

24- Gangadoo, S., Stanley, D., Hughes, R. J., Moore, R. J., & Chapman, J. (2017). The synthesis and characterisation of highly stable and reproducible selenium nanoparticles. Inorganic and Nano-Metal Chemistry, 47(11), 1568-1576.

25- Ramamurthy, C. H., Sampath, K. S., Arunkumar, P., Kumar, M. S., Sujatha, V., Premkumar, K., & Thirunavukkarasu, C. (2013). Green synthesis and characterization of selenium nanoparticles and its augmented cytotoxicity with doxorubicin on cancer cells. Bioprocess and biosystems engineering, 36(8), 1131-1139.

26- Singh, N., Saha, P., Rajkumar, K., & Abraham, J. (2014). Biosynthesis of silver and selenium nanoparticles by Bacillus sp. JAPSK2 and evaluation of antimicrobial activity. Der Pharmacia Lettre, 6(6), 175-181.

27- Geraghty, R. J., Capes-Davis, A., Davis, J. M., Downward, J., Freshney, R. I., Knezevic, I., ... & Vias, M. (2014). Guidelines for the use of cell lines in biomedical research. British journal of cancer, 111(6), 1021-1046

28- Rajasree, R. S. (2015). Extracellular biosynthesis of Selenium nanoparticles using some species of Lactobacillus. Indian journal of Geo-Marine Science , 43 (5) :766-775 .

29- Shakibaie, M., Khorramizadeh, M. R., Faramarzi, M. A., Sabzevari, O., & Shahverdi, A. R. (2010). Biosynthesis and recovery of selenium nanoparticles and the effects on matrix metalloproteinase -2 expression. Biotechnology and applied biochemistry, 56(1), 7-15. 30- CAVALU, S., KAMEL, E., LASLO, V., FRITEA, L., COSTEA, T., ANTONIAC, I. V., ... & VICAS, S (2017) . Eco-friendly, Facile and Rapid Way for Synthesis of Selenium Nanoparticles (12) . Revista de chimie SRL,68(12), 2963 – 2966.

31- Vetchinkina, E., Loshchinina, E., Kupryashina, M., Burov, A., & Nikitina, V. (2019). Shape and size diversity of gold, silver, selenium, and silica nanoparticles prepared by green synthesis using fungi and bacteria. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 58(37), 17207-17218.

32-Wadhwani, S. A., Gorain, M., Banerjee, P., Shedbalkar, U. U., Singh, R., Kundu, G. C., & Chopade, B. A. (2017). Green synthesis of selenium nanoparticles using Acinetobacter SW30: sp. Optimization, characterization and its anticancer activity in breast cancer cells. International journal of nanomedicine, 12, 6841-6855.

33- Ashengroph, M., & Tozandehjani, S. (2022). Optimized resting cell method for green synthesis of selenium nanoparticles from a new Rhodotorula mucilaginosa strain. Process Biochemistry, 116, 197-205.

34- Pouri, S., Motamedi, H., Honary, S.,
& Kazeminezhad, I. (2018). Biological synthesis of selenium nanoparticles and evaluation of their bioavailability. Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology, 60.

35- Arunthirumeni, M., Veerammal, V., & Shivakumar, M. S. (2022). Biocontrol efficacy of mycosynthesized selenium nanoparticle using Trichoderma sp. on insect pest Spodoptera litura. Journal of Cluster Science, 33(4), 1645-1653.

36- Verma, P., & Maheshwari, S. K. (2018). Preparation of sliver and selenium nanoparticles and its characterization by dynamic light scattering and scanning



electron microscopy. Journal of microscopy and ultrastructure, 6(4), 182 - 187.

37- Khoei, N. S., Lampis, S., Zonaro, E.,
Yrjälä, K., Bernardi, P., & Vallini, G. (2017).
Insights into selenite reduction and biogenesis of elemental selenium nanoparticles by two environmental isolates of Burkholderia fungorum. New biotechnology, 34, 1-11.

38- Al-Kazaz, F. F. M., Hussain, D. H., & Khalaf, S. A. (2021). The effect of copper oxide and selenium nanoparticles on vitamin d levels in women with infertility. Plant Archives, 21(1), 86-93.

39- Alkhudhayri, A.A., Wahab, R., Siddiqui, M.A., Ahmad, J.(2020). Selenium Nanoparticles Induce Cytotoxicity and Apoptosis in Human Breast Cancer (MCF-7) and Liver (HepG2) Cell Lines. Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Letters , 12(3), 324-330.

40- Soltani, L., & Darbemamieh, M. (2021). Anti-proliferative, apoptotic potential of synthesized selenium nanoparticles against breast cancer cell line (MCF7). Nucleosides, Nucleotides & Nucleic acids, 40(9), 926-941.

41- Siddiqui, M. A., Wahab, R., Ahmad, J., Farshori, N. N., & Al-Khedhairy, A. A. (2020). Single and Multi-metal Oxide Nanoparticles Induced Cytotoxicity and ROS Generation in Human Breast Cancer (MCF-7) Cells. Journal of Inorganic and Organometallic Polymers and Materials, 30(10), 4106-4116.

42- Sonkusre, P. (2020) . Specificity of Biogenic Selenium Nanoparticles for Prostate Cancer Therapy With Reduced Risk of Toxicity: An in vitro and in vivo Study. Frontiers in oncology, 9, 1541.

43- Zhou, Y., Xu, M., Liu, Y., Bai, Y., Deng, Y., Liu, J., & Chen, L.(2016) . Green synthesis of Se/Ru alloy nanoparticles using gallic acid and evaluation of theirantiinvasive effects in HeLa cells. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 144, 118-124.

44- Ferro, C., Florindo, H.F., Santos, H.A. (2021) . Selenium Nanoparticles for Biomedical Applications: From Development and Characterization to therapeutics. Advanced healthcare materials 10(16) , 2100598.

1182