



Vulnerability Of Fake News In The Human Mind And Cognition

Prof. Aparna Vajpayee^{1*}, Dr Uma Sheokand², Prof. Parag Sanghani³

Abstract

Background of the Research

Since the prevalence of incorrect information and online fake news is a troubling by-product of the digital age, psychologists need to better understand the cognitive processes that lead people to believe and accept the erroneous information they see online. It affects human cognition by changing a range of cognitive processes involved in that. That in turn have an impact on the metacognitive capacities to observe and evaluate the outside world and consciously or subconsciously mould perception, idea development, attitudes, and thinking in different manner.

Methodology of the research

The study was organized to study the malleability of information on human thought and cognition. The secondary data was gathered from a variety of research fields that addressed the influence of information's malleability on the human mind in many aspects of information processing and its acceptability in the human mind. The main goal of the study was to investigate how fake information affects the human mind.

Findings of the Research

The findings of the research indicate that social media greatly influences how data is processed in the human mind and shapes the way individuals think and behave. This information is usually misconstrued since the human mind is not an accurate recorder of knowledge. As a result, there is a significant likelihood of misunderstandings and incorrect perceptions.

Limitation of the Research

Assessment of how social media influences mental abilities was conducted using the findings from several studies. Investigating each of these aspects via primary experimental research will be more beneficial.

Article Type: Review article of secondary research.

1406

Key World: Fake News, Misinformation, False information, Malleability of Information, Vulnerability of Fake News, Human mind and Fake news, Social Media Propaganda, Human Acceptance of fake news.

DOI Number: 10.48047/nq.2022.20.22.NQ10119

NeuroQuantology 2022; 20(22):1406-1413

Introduction

According to a person's mood, aspirations, and the kind of information they come across, the human mind always adds or subtracts information. Conceptual frameworks and artificial intelligence include unique relationship (Vajpayee, 2019) despite the fact that human mind is not a factual information processor like machine learning. We doubt the

information, the emotions connected to it, repeated interactions with it, and its episodic nature can all influence how information is saved in mind. The knowledge base of information can indeed be distorted or altered, whether purposefully or inadvertently, through motives, moods, emotions, likeliness, or sheer improbability,

***Corresponding Author:-** Prof. Aparna Vajpayee

Address:^{1*} Professor & Associate Dean, School of Liberal Arts and Management, PP Savani University NH 8, GETCO, Near Biltech, Dhamdod, Kosamba, Surat, 394125 Gujarat, India. E-mail: aparnavajpayee@gmail.com Mobile: 9123647912 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4616-8194>

²School of Liberal Arts and Management PP Savani University NH 8, GETCO, Near Biltech, Dhamdod, Kosamba, Surat, 394125 Gujarat, India E-mail: umasheokand15@gmail.com, Mobile: 8877808909

³Provost PP Savani University PP Savani University NH 8, GETCO, Near Biltech, Dhamdod, Kosamba, Surat, 394125 Gujarat, India E-mail: parag@pps.u.c.in Mobile: 9898484821

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

and through social media. The type of media has an influence in this process because



information is frequently presented in ways that make it appear more sensational and dramatic than it actually is. can alter the composition of the information and cause it to be perceived differently than it otherwise would.

As the role of social media is increasingly overriding in human life, the probability of getting encountered with fake news is also snowballing. Some people intentionally spread wrong information irrespective of personal or group benefits. Henceforth spreading of false information or misinformation have increase in last two decades as with the help of social media it is identically debauched with large community reach. According to Dictionary .com, Misinformation is defined as false information which is shared to spread fake news irrespective of its purpose to mislead. The spread of fake news and inaccurate information online is a worrying by-product of the digital age, and psychologists must better understand the cognitive processes that lead individuals to believe and live with the false information they encounter online. It affects human cognition with numerous characteristics of cognitive and metacognitive capabilities to see and analyse the world and shape the perception, concept formation, attitudes and thinking consciously or unconsciously. Therefore, in the present research paper an effort has been made to identify how a fake information affect human mind and cognition.

Objective of the Study

Social media has both advantages and disadvantages for news consumption. On the one hand, individuals use social media to search out and consume news because it is free, simple to use, and transmits information quickly. On the other hand, it makes it easier for "fake news," or low-quality news that contains intentionally false or misleading information, to spread widely. Fake news's potential to spread widely has the potential to be exceedingly damaging to both individuals and society. Furthermore, throughout this study, we have tried to figure out the psychological consequences of fake news on people's thoughts and behaviours, in addition to the way it influences our minds and thought processes in numerous other ways.

Reliance on Emotions

The numerous findings demonstrate that

emotion is a causative factor in people's propensity and human being have tendency to mistakenly believe false information as true. Research show that people fall for fake news, in part, because they rely too heavily on emotion, not because they think in a motivated or identity-protective manner, in contrast to the widely accepted motivated cognition account (Zollo et al 2015, Valentino, et al, 2008, Watson et al,1988, Weeks ,2015). There is a substantial association between the self-reported use of emotion and the type of news headline (false, real), suggesting that a greater reliance on emotion makes it harder for people to tell the difference between the two as often fake information is richer with its technical preparation. Our correlational studies also revealed that the usage of emotion had no bearing on assessments of the truthfulness of the news (Martel, Pennycook, & Rand, (2020). Thus, people live with the information as a fact without checking the details as they don't carry doubt as information can be wrong (Silverman, et al 2018).

If people are more likely to believe fake news as a consequence of emotional involvement, nondeliberative thinking. Most of the social media platforms' have a tendency to encourage emotional thinking over rational thinking which is a crucial factor in accepting the fake news as real news. That is playing a significant role for success in spreading fake news like wildfire. In fact, sentiment analysis of fake news items reveals that it frequently uses more emotionally unpleasant words (Zollo et al. 2015; Horne and Adali 2017) as negative emotionally loaded information stays in brain for long time due to its traumatic effect on brain. Even content that is truthful but emotionally resonant and booming may lead to people being predisposed to think with emotion rather than reason. As human being is having a tendency to live more with emotions the reasons and logics(Zollo et al, 2015). Further applied research into how social media platforms can show news stories and content that is not related to the news but is emotionally provocative may offer guidance on how to minimise generating emotional thinking in people online, thus reducing overall susceptibility to fake news.

What theoretical purpose could emotion have? According to this theory, which we'll call the



motivated cognition explanation, analytical reasoning, not emotional responses, is what mainly contributes to the spread of false information (Kahan 2017). This theory holds that humans deploy their capacity for reasoning more like solicitors than like scientists, relying on it more to uphold their identities and defend their convictions than to seek the truth (Kahan 2013). People who rely more on reason are better able to convince themselves that untrue stories that suit their ideology are true because partisanship hijacks our ability for reason. This theory is supported by data showing that people with stronger analytical thinking abilities have more political division over climate change (Kahan, et al 2017). Because partisanship tries to destroy our power of reason, people who rely more on reason are better positioned to convince themselves that false stories that support their ideology are true. According to research (Kahan et al. 2012; Drummond and Fischhoff 2017; Kahan et al. 2017; Ballarini and Sloman 2017; Kahan and Peters 2017), people who engage in more analytical thinking are more deeply divided politically when it comes to issues like gun control, climate change, and selective exposure to political information (Knobloch-Westerwick et al. 2017).

Episodic Nature of Information

Researcher have drawn the attention that any information in the form of episode remain in brain for long time as compare to semantic information. Any information shared in the form of episode is having relatively long-lasting impact in mind and the it's malleability of human mind to live with fake news. The possibility is high to believe and live with episodic information. Participants were instructed to only submit facts they considered to be accurate. Reminders improved memory and belief precision. Both when subjects remembered incorrect information and when they remembered that corrections had been made, these advantages were higher. These results show one scenario where misinformation reminders can short-term attenuate the damaging consequences of fake-news exposure (Waldheim, et al 2020).

Rich False Memory

Researchers came up with a number of methods in the middle of the 1990s for implanting complete experiences, or what have

been referred to as "rich false memories." One study implanted false memories of being lost for a long period in a mall at age 6 and saved by an elderly person using situations created by patients' relatives (Loftus 1993; Loftus and Pickrell, 1995, Loftus et al, 2005). Other experiments (Hyman Jr. et al. 1995), animal attack victims (Portal et al. 2000), and subjects who had to be saved by a lifeguard from drowning were all given false memories of having an accident at a family wedding when they were younger using techniques identical to these (Heaps and Nash, 2005).

Limitations of Human Brain and Cognitive Sophistication

The boundaries of the human brain are also at responsibility. According to the study, people frequently prefer popularity above quality when faced with a flood of renewed information and simply rely on less-than-ideal coping mechanisms to sort out the good from the bad. Thus, if we get met with fake news, we live that in place of upsetting brain for additional study and analysis. The risky confluence of reduced attention spans and sensory overload is what renders fake news so successful at spreading since. Infrequently, its nature engages the human mind beyond the semantic information of factual news. Along with this, human being has a kind of mental tendency to use cognitive shortcuts in the form of cognitive sophistication. Individuals have a mental predisposition to employ cognitive short cuts in the form of cognitive sophistication, which has a consequence on how information is selected altogether in accordance with a person's interests and attitudes (Pennycook, et al, 2022).

Self-assurance on Information Being Shared in News Channels

The report claims that fake news dependence is abnormally high, even in civilizations with 100% general literacy rates like America. 87% of people omit checking the information as it is inaccurate (Silverman, et al, 2016) to to investigate whether fact-checking is frequently disregarded by viewers. But there are still more issues to consider about. The largest issue is that there is no reliable method for fact-checking; determining whether a claim is true or false typically involves significant time and effort. Because of this, most false statements—if not all—go unreported. When a claim is at its



peak of viral propagation, it's probable that no warnings won't be issued even after it is eventually detected because the process is often slow. Additionally, warnings are typically only included with information that is obviously incorrect, not when it presents the facts in a very deceptive or distorted way (Pennycook, et al 2021).

Motivated Cognition Versus Classical Reasoning

An opposing point of view, which we way of describing to as the "classical reasoning account," contends that logic and analytical thinking frequently aid in determining the veracity of news information (Pennycook and Rand 2019a). According to this theory, people who use logic and reflection are less likely to believe bogus news to be true. And, thus, when people don't use logic and critical thinking, disinformation frequently succeeds. The classical reasoning approach is consistent with dual-process theories of judgement, which hold that good judgement is frequently (but not always) supported by analytical thinking rather than "gut reactions" (Evans 2003; Stanovich 2005). The tendency to think analytically is associated with scepticism toward beliefs that are epistemically suspect (Pennycook et al. 2015a,) such as superstitious and paranormal beliefs (Pennycook et al. 2012), conspiracy theories (Swami, (Pennycook et al. 2015a, b). Regardless of their party affiliation, persons who were more inclined to think analytically when presented with a set of reasoning challenges were less likely to mistakenly trust false news pieces.

Additionally, analytical thinking is linked to a decreased trust in fake news sources and a decrease in the sharing of links to low-quality sources on Twitter (Pennycook and Rand 2019b) (Mosleh et al. 2020). Aside from dogmatism and religious fanaticism, believing in fake news has also been linked to reflexive (as opposed to active/reflective) open-minded thinking (Bronstein et al. 2019; Pennycook and Rand 2019c). A recent experiment even revealed that encouraging people to think consciously rather than intuitively decreased self-reported likelihood of "liking" or sharing fake news on social media (Efron and Raj 2020), as did asking participants to evaluate the accuracy of each headline prior to sharing (Fazio 2020), or simply asking for a single

accuracy assessment at the start of the study (Pennycook et al.2019–2020). In fact, encouraging people to deliberate, fact-check, and edit fictional texts with false claims has been shown to lessen the impact of misinformation in contexts other than fake news. For instance, people are less influenced by false claims they come across when encouraged to deliberate, fact-check, and edit fictional texts (Rapp et al. 2014).

Effect of Literacy in fake Information Recognition

Participants were told to only submit information that they genuinely thought to be accurate. Reminders strengthened conviction accuracy and remembrance. Both when people remembered incorrect information and when they remembered that repairs had been made, these advantages were higher. These results highlight one instance where misinformation reminders could temporarily mitigate the negative impacts of fake news exposure (Putnam et al, 2017). Findings confirmed that greater news literacy was associated with greater ability to distinguish between genuine and false news headlines, greater likelihood of performing specific internal acts of authentication when exposed to false information (such as evaluating the message's content characteristics), and greater likelihood of searching online to confirm false information. Memory Gaps are unconsciously filled. The results demonstrated that high dispositional news literacy among the general population has normative benefits and can lessen the effects of internet misinformation.

Cognitive Bais and Gestalt Effect

Cognitive biases are errors in judgement or memory that result from the inappropriate use of cognitive processes. The study of cognitive biases is important because it relates to the important psychological discussion of accurate versus inaccurate perception, and because being aware of the various mistakes we could make can help us avoid them and, as a result, improve our decision-making skills. Why does the misinformation effect happen, which may cause false memories to be formed? There are numerous theories.

It is Gestalt human aspect of human perception to reduce incomplete information by filling the gap with more, unavailable information uninte-



tionally, which frequently gives more space to bogus information to fill the memory gaps. Incomplete information causes dissonance. Therefore, when erroneous information is given, the recipient adds it to the mental narrative while retrieving ideas. Unconsciously, individuals have a tendency to fill in the gaps in existing knowledge with new, unobtainable information, which frequently facilitates the ability for erroneous information to fill in memory gaps. This means that when incorrect information is given, the recipient incorporates it into their mental storyline while remembering their thoughts.

Memory Blending and Retrieval of Information

The misinformation effect is the deterioration in memory from the past that follows from exposure to wrong information, and it was explored by psychologist Elizabeth Loftus, who is being recognised for her work on false memories (Loftus, 2006). According to Loftus and her colleagues' study, the questions that are addressed to witnesses of an incident can really influence how vividly they recall it. Psychologists refer to this phenomenon as "the misinformation effect," which basically means that if a question contains erroneous information, it may lead to memory distortion. One explanation is that the accurate information from the beginning and the misleading information provided later become confused in the person's memory. A final possibility is that the original account of the occurrence is actually replaced by the fake information.

According to researchers, false information tends to be simpler to recall since it is more current in the memory. In certain situations, the relevant information from the initial occurrence may not have ever been encoded into memory. Exposure to fake news can have a bad impact on memory and beliefs, which has led to discussion about whether to repeat misleading info while charge of making sure. It was previously assumed that spreading false information should be avoided because doing so makes it more likely that people will believe it. However, more recent research has indicated that disinformation reminders can enhance recall and belief accuracy. In two studies employing news headlines, we replicated similar benefits of reminders and compared them with both the effects of

authenticity identification (Kemp et. al, 2022).

Repeated Exposure of False information

People were more inclined to believe they had heard the hoaxes from someone else outside of the experiment if they had read about the stories in advance. These results suggest that repetition of false claims to increase their credibility may result in source monitoring inaccuracies (Polage,2012). The widespread consumption of misleading info during the 2016 US Presidential Election has brought into focus the potential of deliberately inaccurate significant beliefs. The most notable example of this is whatever is known as "fake news," which is characterized as news items that were created but presented as coming from trustworthy sources and spread on social media in an effort to fool the public for ideological and/or monetary benefit (Lazer et al., 2018). According to an analysis of the most popular news articles on Facebook in the months leading up to the election, the top fake news items actually surpassed the top real news articles in terms of shares, likes, and comments (Silverman, Strapagiel, Shaban, & Hall, 2016).

Source Bais

Source monitoring is the capability to comprehensive definition a memory's place of origin. You may have wondered if a certain event you experienced was real or if you were simply dreaming or picturing it. In that case, you would be safe. Up to 25% of undergraduate students reported being unsure about the distinction between real events and imagined ones, according to Rassin, Merkelbach, and Spaan (2001). Source monitoring errors also are more common in youngsters and the elderly than in adolescents or young adults, and those who are more prone to fantasy are more likely to make mistakes (Winograd, Peluso, & Glover, 1998) (Jacoby & Rhodes, 2006). In conclusion, developing a strong classifier for spotting fake information requires both strong labels and bounteous data from the news and sociocultural context.

Mood congruence and Information Processing



The functions of people's emotional experiences have received more attention in previous studies than using reason and logic to make accurate judgements. Different emotions have been shown to have diverse effects on judgement in general and perceptions of Political fake news in particular. Numerous studies have examined how various emotions

affect how people think and make decisions (e.g., Appraisal-Tendency Framework; Lerner and Keltner 2001; Feelings-as-information theory; Schwarz 2011). In a persuasion scenario, for instance, Bodenhausen et al. (1994) found that anger generates a heightened reliance on heuristic cues whereas melancholy encourages the reverse, decreased reliance on heuristic cues. According to studies on the relationship between emotion and credulity, people tend to be more gullible when they're in a poor mood. For instance, in a persuasion paradigm, anger evokes a larger reliance on heuristic cues while melancholy stimulates the reverse, decreased reliance on heuristic cues, thus according Bodenhausen et al. (1994). A depressed affect tends to make individuals more sceptical, while a good mood tends to make people more credulous, according to research on the relationship between emotion and credulity (Martel et al, 2020).

Schema & Confirmation Bias

Schemas help us remember information by organizing material into coherent representations. However, although schemas can improve our memories, they may also lead to cognitive biases. Using schemas may lead us to falsely remember things that never happened to us and to distort or misremember things that did. For one, schemas lead to confirmation bias, which is *the tendency to verify and confirm our existing memories rather than to challenge and disconfirm them*. The confirmation bias occurs because once we have schemas, they influence how we seek out and interpret new information. The confirmation bias leads us to remember information that fits our schemas better than we remember information that disconfirms them (Stangor & McMillan, 1992), a process that makes our stereotypes very difficult to change. And we ask questions in ways that confirm our schemas (Trope & Thompson, 1997). If we think that a

person is an extrovert, we might ask her about ways that she likes to have fun, thereby making it more likely that we will confirm our beliefs. In short, once we begin to believe in something — for instance, a stereotype about a group of people — it becomes very difficult to later convince us that these beliefs are not true; the beliefs become self-confirming.

Conclusion

In this study, we sought to identify the various negative consequences that information contamination has on human cognition. Several of which were linked to the cognitive systems limits of humans. There could be a variety of social media text modules that promote inaccurate information interpretation. The information decoder should be aware of all these facts in order to maintain the validity of documents in place of fake news. Training on the effects of dealing with fake news must be implemented in order to prevent the spread of false information among the general public. However, numerous publications highlight how these training modules are constrained by a number of false news detection system features (Raza et al 2022). In conclusion, developing a strong classifier for spotting fake information requires both strong labels and bounteous data from the news and sociocultural context.

Reference

- Bronstein, M. V., Pennycook, G., Bear, A., Rand, D. G., & Cannon, T. D. (2019). Belief in fake news is associated with delusionality, dogmatism, religious fundamentalism, and reduced analytic thinking. *Journal of applied research in memory and cognition*, 8(1), 108-117.
- Bodenhausen, G. V., Sheppard, L. A., & Kramer, G. P. (1994). Negative affect and social judgment: The differential impact of anger and sadness. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 24, 45–62.
- Drummond, C., & Fischhoff, B. (2017). Development and validation of the scientific reasoning scale. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 30(1), 26-38.
- Effron, D. A., & Raj, M. (2020). Misinformation and morality: Encountering fake-news headlines makes them seem less unethical to publish and share. *Psychological science*, 31(1), 75-87.
- Evans, J. S. B. T. (2003). In two minds: dual-process accounts of reasoning. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 7(10), 454–459.
- Fazio, L. (2020). Pausing to consider why a headline is true or false can help reduce the sharing of false news. *Harvard Kennedy School Misinformation Review*, 1(2).
- Horne B. D. Adali S. (2017) This Just In: Fake News Packs



- a Lot in Title, Uses Simpler, Repetitive Content in Text Body, More Similar to Satire than Real News. Paper presented in The Workshops of the Eleventh International AAAI Conference on Web and social media AAAI Technical Report WS-17-17: News and Public Opinion
- Hyman Jr, I. E., Husband, T. H., & Billings, F. J. (1995). False memories of childhood experiences. *Applied cognitive psychology*, 9(3), 181-197.
- Jacoby, L. L., & Rhodes, M. G. (2006). False remembering in the aged. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 15(2), 49-53.
- Kahan, D.M. (2012). Ideology, motivated reasoning, and cognitive reflection: an experimental study. *Cultural Cognition Working Paper No. 107*.
- Kahan, D. (2013). Ideology, motivated reasoning, and cognitive reflection. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 8(4), 407-424. doi:10.1017/S1930297500005271
- Kahan, Dan, M. (2017). Misconceptions, Misinformation, and the Logic of Identity-Protective Cognition Cultural Cognition Project Working Paper Series No. 164, Yale Law School, Public Law Research Paper No. 605, Yale Law & Economics Research Paper No. 575,
- Kemp PL, Loaiza VM, Waldheim CN. Fake news reminders and veracity labels differentially benefit memory and belief accuracy for news headlines. *Sci Rep*. 2022 Dec 17;12(1):21829. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-25649-6. PMID: 36528666; PMCID: PMC9758464.
- Knobloch-Westerwick, S., & Lavis, S. M. (2017). Selecting serious or satirical, supporting or stirring news? Selective exposure to partisan versus mockery news online videos. *Journal of Communication*, 67(1), 54-81.
- Lazer, D. M., Baum, M. A., Benkler, Y., Berinsky, A. J., Greenhill, K. M., Menczer, F., ... & Zittrain, J. L. (2018). The science of fake news. *Science*, 359(6380), 1094-1096.
- Lerner, J. S., & Keltner, D. (2001). Fear, anger, and risk. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 81, 146-159.
- Loftus EF. (2005). Planting misinformation in the human mind: a 30-year investigation of the malleability of memory. *Learn Mem*. 12(4):361-6. doi:10.1101/lm.94705
- Lerner, J. S., & Keltner, D. (2001). Fear, anger, and risk. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 81(1), 146.
- Loftus, E. F., Loftus, G. R., & Messo, J. (1987). Some facts about "weapon focus." *Law and Human Behaviour*, 11(1), 55-62.
- Loftus, E. F., & Pickrell, J. E. (1995). The formation of false memories. *Psychiatric annals*, 25(12), 720-725.
- Loftus, E. F. (1996). Memory distortion and false memory creation. *Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law Online*, 24(3), 281-295.
- Loftus, E. F., & Bernstein, D. M. (2005). Rich False Memories: The Royal Road to Success. In A. F. Healy (Ed.), *Experimental cognitive psychology and its applications* (pp. 101-113). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/10895-008>
- Loftus, E. F., & Davis, D. (2006). Recovered memories. *Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol.*, 2, 469-498.
- Mosleh, M., Pennycook, G., & Rand, D. G. (2020). Self-reported willingness to share political news articles in online surveys correlates with actual sharing on Twitter. *PLOS ONE*, 15(2), e0228882. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228882>
- Pennycook, G., Cheyne, J. A., Seli, P., Koehler, D. J. & Fugelsang, J. A. (2012). Analytic cognitive style predicts religious and paranormal belief. 335-346. *Cognition*, 123 ,
- Pennycook, G., Fugelsang, J. A., & Koehler, D. J. (2015). What makes us think? A three-stage dual-process model of analytic engagement. *Cognitive Psychology*, 80 34-72.
- Pennycook, G. & Rand, D. G. (2019). Lazy, Not Biased: Susceptibility to Partisan Fake News Is Better Explained by Lack of Reasoning than by Motivated Reasoning. *Cognition*, 188, 39-50, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2018.06.011>.
- Pennycook, G., & Rand, D. G. (2021). The psychology of fake news. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 25(5), 388-402.
- Pennycook, G., Bago, B., & McPhetres, J. (2022). Science beliefs, political ideology, and cognitive sophistication. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*.
- Polage, D. C. (2012). Making up history: False memories of fake news stories. *Europe's Journal of Psychology*, 8(2), 245-250.
- Porter S., Birt A. R., Yuille J. C., Lehman D. R. (2000). Negotiating false memories: Interviewer and rememberer characteristics relate to memory distortion. *Psychological Science*, 11, 507-510.
- Putnam, A. L., Sungkhasettee, V. W., & Roediger, H. L. (2017). When Misinformation Improves Memory: The Effects of Recollecting Change. *Psychological Science*, 28(1), 36-46. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797616672268>
- Porter S., Birt A. R., Yuille J. C., Lehman D. R. (2000). Negotiating false memories: Interviewer and rememberer characteristics relate to memory distortion. *Psychological Science*, 11, 507-510.
- Rapp, D. N., Hinze, S. R., Kohlhepp, K., & Ryskin, R. A. (2014). Reducing reliance on inaccurate information. *Memory & Cognition*, 42(1), 11-26.
- Rassin, E., Merckelbach, H., & Spaan, V. (2001). When dreams become a royal road to confusion: Realistic dreams, dissociation, and fantasy proneness. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 189(7), 478-481.
- Raza, S., Ding, C. Fake news detection based on news content and social contexts: a transformer-based approach. *Int J Data Sci Anal* 13, 335-362 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41060-021-00302-z>
- Thomson, K. S., & Oppenheimer, D. M. (2016). Investigating an alternate form of the cognitive reflection test. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 11, 99-113.
- Silverman, Craig, Lauren Strapagiel, Hamza Shaban, Ellie Hall and Jeremy Singer-Vine. (2017). "Hyperpartisan Facebook Pages Are Publishing False and Misleading Information at An Alarming Rate." *Buzzfeed News*, October 20. Accessed June 27, 2017. https://www.buzzfeed.com/craigsilverman/partisan-fb-pages-analysis?utm_term=.an82QeMMJe#.wb8jdL0OqL.
- Silverman C, Strapagiel L, Shaban H, Hall E. Hyperpartisan Facebook pages are publishing false and misleading information at an alarming rate. *Buzzfeed News*. 2016 Retrieved from <https://www.buzzfeed.com/craigsilverman/partisan-fb-pages-analysis>.



- Stangor, C., & McMillan, D. (1992). Memory for expectancy-congruent and expectancy-incongruent information: A review of the social and social developmental literatures. *Psychological Bulletin*, 111(1), 42-61.
- Stanovich, K. E. (2005). The Future of a Mistake: Will Discrepancy Measurement Continue to Make the Learning Disabilities Field a Pseudoscience? *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 28(2), 103-106.
- Schwarz N. (2011). Feelings-as-information theory. In Van Lange P., Kruglanski A., Higgins E. T. (Eds.), *Handbook of theories of social psychology* (pp. 289-308). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Silverman, C., & Singer-Vine, J. (2016). Most Americans who see fake news believe it, new survey says. BuzzFeed News. <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/TRR0DK>.
- Trope, Y., & Thompson, E. (1997). Looking for truth in all the wrong places? Asymmetric search of individuating information about stereotyped group members. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 73, 229-241.
- Vajpayee, (2019) Reconnoitering Artificial Intelligence in Knowledge Management. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE)* ISSN: 2278-3075, Volume-8 Issue-7C May 2019.
- Vajpayee, A. (2021). Exploring Numerous Facets of AI in Management. MIMANSA: International Research Conference on Innovative Strategies in Business Management and Technology in the Emerging Scenario. Sri Balaji University, Pune (SBUP).
- Valentino, N. A., Hutchings, V. L., Banks, A. J., & Davis, A. K. (2008). Is a worried citizen a good citizen? Emotions, political information seeking, and learning via the internet. *Political Psychology*, 29, 247-273.
- Waldheim, C. N., Alexander, T. R., & Peske, C. D. (2020). Reminders of Everyday Misinformation Statements Can Enhance Memory for and Beliefs in Corrections of Those Statements in the Short Term. *Psychological Science*, 31(10), 1325-1339. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797620952797>
- Watson, D., Clark, L. A., & Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: the PANAS scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54, 1063-1070.
- Weeks, B. E. (2015). Emotions, Partisanship, and Misperceptions: How Anger and Anxiety Moderate the Effect of Partisan Bias on Susceptibility to Political Misinformation. *Journal of Communication*, 65(4), 699-719. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12164>
- Winograd, E., Peluso, J. P., & Glover, T. A. (1998). Individual differences in susceptibility to memory illusions. *Applied Cognitive Psychology: The Official Journal of the Society for Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 12(7), S5-S27.
- Zollo, F., Novak, P. K., Vicario, M. D., Bessi, A., Mozetič, I., Scala, A., Caldarelli, G., & Quattrociocchi, W. (2015). Emotional Dynamics in the Age of Misinformation. *PLOS ONE*, 10(9), e0138740.

