



# A Review On The Behaviour Of Self Compacting Concrete

Mr. Vaibhav Shelar<sup>1\*</sup>, Dr.G. V. Mulgund<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract:

The present study is a review on self-compacting concrete (SCC) to know the behaviours its advantages and future use in conventional building techniques. The performance of fly ash and high-volume fly ash (HVFA) in SCC has been studied from different literature in terms of workability and strength. The study also focused on the used of natural and artificial fibres in SCC and its benefits. From the study its has been observed that partial replacement of cement up to 40% to 70% with Fly ash in SCC show some positive effect on concrete. Furthermore, the application of fly ash in high volume in SCC positively subsidizes in determining energy maintenance concern and elevation of SCC in developing and under developing countries.

**Keywords:** Self-Compacting Concrete (SCC), fly ash, high volume fly ash (HVFA), workability, fibres.

**DOI Number:** 10.48047/nq.2020.18.11.NQ20231

**NeuroQuantology 2020; 18(11):25-30**

## Introduction:

Self-compacting concrete (SCC), is one of the unusual kinds of concrete which can be placed and consolidated without any vibration under its own weight. This happened due to its outstanding deformability. Which can be moved without segregation or bleeding due to cohesive nature. Mainly plain concrete is brittle material with less tensile strength. Due to its complex structures, some internal micro-cracks occurring in fresh or hardened state which lead to failure in concrete (Barluenga and Olivares 2007, Aydin 2007, Ali et. Al 2013). SSC has many advantages compared to traditional concrete in terms of workability, better bonding between overfilled reinforcement and required less labour (Kashani and Ngo 2020). Primarily SSC basic ingredients are same as conventional concrete except it having high fines content at low water cement ratio and good aggregate grading including high range water reducing agent and viscosity modifying agents. The present of high fine contents increases the paste volume in SCC, which is the results of high shrinkage and creep (Ahmad and Umar 2018).

Now a days, many researchers are using

artificial and natural fibres to reduce this micro-crack (Aydin 2007, Sukumar et. Al 2008, Kim et.al 2011, Dawood and Ramli 2012a, Dawood and Ramli 2012b). Fibres are spread homogeneously in the matrix, which avoid the spread of matrix cracking. In safety and durability point of view, this addition changes large single cracks into a system of multiple smaller cracks (Brandt 2008, Pająk 2016). Fibre-reinforced SCC is an innovative building material that one of the positive additions in the advantages of SCC. This directed the accumulation of different types of fibres like polypropylene, glass, steel fibres etc in concrete mixture. According to Romualdi and Batson (1963), on 1950s and 1960s the idea of adding steel fibres is studied, where preparation of composite material from cementous material was investigated. To expand the tensile strength, compact the fragility and to enhancing the performance of SCC under several load fibres were introduced (Evans 2001). However, adding of natural and synthetic fibres show better properties during fresh stage in concrete and reduced the initial cracking, but post cracking behaviours is less compared to steel fibres (Mastali et al. 2018).

**\*Corresponding Author:** Mr. Vaibhav Shelar

**Address:**<sup>1\*</sup> Research Scholar, Shridhar University, Pilani

<sup>2</sup> Professor, St John College of Engineering & Management, Palghar

**Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.



Through addition of fibres to SCC increases its advantages, but extreme use of fibres may reduce the workability (Ismail and Hassan 2017, A. A Li et al. 2021, Yang et al 2022). Thus, optimum percentage of fibres used in SCC in terms of volume or percentage is required to avoid the excessive fibres content (Hannawi et al. 2016, Zhang et al. 2018). On this point, some researchers (Akçay and Tasdemir 2012, Rambo et al. 2014) suggested 1.5% hybrid steel fibres in SCC not show any undesirable effects on workability. Pająk and Ponikiewski (2017), concluded addition of 2% hybrid steel fibre does not fulfil the condition for SCC and the value is less than 0.8. In this regard it is very much important to develop a suitable quantity of hybrid steel for the better development of the characteristics of SCC.

### Significant of present study:

The combination of low and high volume of fly ash in SCC is a very important step and worldwide it has been already renovated. Mostly fly ash discarded as waste materials from industries, however thanks to researchers and government authorities who found out the benefits of use of fly ash as conventional building materials and a dependable solution for its consumptions. Thus, from past three to four decades fly ash has been used successfully mainly dumped from industries (Rutkowska et al. 2018, Khan and Ali 2019). From literature it

has been observed that till date many research on vibrated and non-vibrated concrete are made. However very limited studies have been done on high volume fly ash (HVFA) using hybrid fibres in SCC. Thus, the authors have put their efforts to investigate the effects of high-volume fly ash (HVFA) on SCC.

### Historical Background of self-compacting concrete (SCC):

In order to attain durable concrete structure by improving its quality, first self-compacting concrete (SCC) was introduced in Japan in 1986 (Corinaldesi and Moriconi 2004). It is observed that the use of SCC proposition economical benefits over conventional concrete construction which included quick construction, removed the noise during vibration and unskilled labour required for the placing and finishing of the concrete (Goodier 2003). In between 1989 to 1991 first independent research work published on SCC, which focused on the high performance and super-workable concretes and their fresh properties such as filling capacity, flowability and resistance to segregation (Yonezawa 1989, Tangtermsirikul et al. 1991). In 1990s, benefits and use of SCC spread all over the countries like Europe, France, Germany, Switzerland, Italy, Belgium, Spain etc. Fig. 1 shows the SCC bridge construction in Swedish in early of 1990s



Fig. 1: SCC Bridge Construction in Swedish (Billberg 1999).

In India used of SCC also observed in many projects like Kaiga Nuclear Power Project (Karnataka), Kota Atomic Power project (Rajasthan), Delhi Metro Project, Tarapore

Atomic Power Project, Gosikhurd Project, Purna Dam Project, Lower Wardha Project etc. (SCC Handbook 2019).

Now a days worldwide use of SCC is observed in many industries and many research is also going on to take maximum benefits from concrete structures.

**Fly ash:**

The key waste materials from thermal plants mainly produced fly ash which is the ignition of coal. Mainly in concrete fly ash used as a partial replacement of plain concrete. To reduce the carbon emissions caused in terms of CO<sub>2</sub> from cement industry and refining the workable behaviour of concrete are the major use of fly

ash (Celik et al. 2014, Siddique 2014, Kim 2015, Señas et al. 2016, Baite et al. 2016, Kurda et al. 2017, Xie et al. 2019). The major ingredients for fly ash are silicate glass and contains silica, alumina, iron and calcium and magnesium, sulphur, sodium, potassium and carbon. According to ASTM C618-12, precisely fly ash mainly considered as Class F or Class C, which is depend upon the chemical composition. Table 1 and Table 2 represent the physical and chemical properties of fly ash respectively investigate by different researchers.

**Table 1:** Physical properties of fly ash

| Authors                      | Properties       |                    |                                |
|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
|                              | Specific gravity | Surface area (/gm) | Fineness (cm <sup>2</sup> /gm) |
| Bouzoubaâ and Lachemi (2001) | 2.08             | -                  | 3060                           |
| Nehdi et al. (2003)          | 2.08             | 280                | -                              |
| Sukumar et al. (2008)        | 2.12             | -                  | 4280                           |
| Şahmaran et al. (2008)       | 2.25             | -                  | 2870                           |
| Şahmaran et al. (2009)       | 2.08             | -                  | 2890                           |
| Bingöl and Tohumcu (2013)    | 2.10             | 1.34               | -                              |
| Afiniwala et al. (2013)      | 2.16             | -                  | 2810                           |
| Nguyen et al. (2018)         | 2.17             | -                  | 2630                           |

**Table 2:** Chemical properties of fly ash

| Authors                   | Compound                   |  |   |                     |                       |                                  |                                  |                                    |                  |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
|                           | Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ) | Iron oxide (Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ) | Alumina (Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ) | Calcium oxide (CaO) | Magnesium oxide (MgO) | Total sulphur (SO <sub>3</sub> ) | Sodium oxide (Na <sub>2</sub> O) | Potassium oxide (K <sub>2</sub> O) | Loss on ignition |
| Nehdi et al. (2003)       | 48.90                      | 14.90  | 23.30                                     | 3.80                | 0.70                  | 0.20                             | 0.60                             | 1.70                               | 0.30             |
| Sukumar et al. (2008)     | 57.90                      | 2.69   | 33.54                                     | 0.65                | 0.49                  | 0.13                             | 0.46                             | 0.87                               | 1.05             |
| Liu (2010)                | 50.50                      | 7.20   | 25.00                                     | 3.90                | 1.90                  | 0.90                             | -                                | -                                  | 6.10             |
| Celik et al. (2014)       | 62.00                      | 4.90   | 18.90                                     | 5.98                | 1.99                  | -                                | 2.41                             | 1.14                               | 1.30             |
| Anjos et al. (2015)       | 48.61                      | 7.91   | 23.79                                     | 3.06                | 2.07                  | 0.40                             | 0.78                             | 3.78                               | 2.64             |
| Silva and De Brito (2015) | 54.70                      | 5.40   | 24.70                                     | 2.63                | 1.01                  | 1.38                             | 0.89                             | 1.12                               | 5.10             |
| Nguyen et al. (2018)      | 58.33                      | 3.49   | 26.23                                     | 5.72                | 1.26                  | -                                | 0.27                             | 0.48                               | 2.76             |

In SCC used of fly ash reduced the quantity of superplasticizer to get the desired slump, which is one of the major benefits of fly ash (Kurda et al. 2017, Jianhe et al. 2019). According to many researchers fly ash consider one of the eco-friendly and low embodied energy construction material (Kurda et al. 2017, Khodair 2017).

**High Volume Fly Ash (HVFA):**

In High volume fly ash (HVFA) concrete contains more than 50% fly ash by weight in cement. From many literatures (Bouzoubaâ and Lachemi 2001, Mahmoud et al. 2013, Afiniwala et al. 2013, Kristiawan and Aditya 2015, Anjos et al. 2015, De et al. 2019) it has been observed that the, workability of HVFA grounded by SCC mainly affected by types of aggregate, particle size, textural features and behaviours of binder. Above all, among several



parameters one of the significant one to estimate the concrete performance is compressive strength. On the other words it can say compressive strength is interlinked with the superiority of concrete. From the literature is has been showed that the combination of fly ash as replacement of plain concrete show some undesirable effects on the compressive strength of SCC during curing periods. Where as some researchers discovered that a partial replacement of plain concrete with fly ash upto 35% to 80% show suitable results in case of SCC (Nehdi et al. 2003, Sukumar et al. 2008, Liu 2010, Afiniwala et al. 2013, Mahmoud et al. 2013, Bingöl and Tohumcu 2013, Arivalagan 2013, Celik et al. 2014, Anjos et al. 2015, Silva et al. 2015). Initially due to non-reactivity of fly ash the compressive strength values are found low, but after completion of the curing periods its improved the compressive strength (Şahmaran et al. 2008, Liu 2010). Thus, it proves that proper curing is very much important to get the maximum strength after using fly ash as replacement of plain cement. As concrete is very weak in tension, thus pre-assessment of tensile strength of concrete is very important. Like compressive strength, tensile strength of SCC with HVFA is also increasing with proper curing also. The combination of fly ash improves the tensile strength in most SCC mixes due to its water reducing capacity (Naganathan et al. 2012). Moreover, 40% to 70% replacement and in HVFA based SCC mixes improve the tensile strength significantly with the increases of curing periods. Based on the literature reviews it has been observed that the value of cement addition expands the tensile strength in HVFA based SCC. Thus, partial replacement of cement with HVFA has a significant effect on both compressive as well as tensile strength also.

### Critical Comment:

Based on the literature review done on SCC it the following comments can be made:

- Mainly on vibrated and non-vibrated concrete work have been done on SCC for the conventional building making.
- Partial replacement of cement with HVFA in SCC up to 40% to 70% show better results with high curing.

- Compressive and tensile strength are not the conclusive factor for the general performance of HVFA on SCC.
- Use of SCC with hybrid fibre reinforced is not yet investigated properly with HVFA.
- The application of fly ash in high volume in SCC positively subsidizes in determining energy maintenance concern and elevation of SCC in developing and under developing countries.

### Conclusions:

The present study mainly focused on the use of SCC using different materials like fly ash as a partial replacement of cement for sustainable development. The behaviour of SCC in terms of fly ash and HVFA has been studied. SCC with the partial replacement of plain concrete has achieves the essential conditions of workability efficiently. Compressive and tensile strength of SCC has been reduced at the initial stage of with combination of fly ash but upsurges at the final stage. The use of natural and artificial fibres and gypsum are show advantages in enhancing the strength of SCC.

In future it is required to do further study on high volume fly ash (HVFA) using hybrid fibres in SCC. Whereas, it is important to find the suitable proportion of hybrid fibres for the reinforcing of SCC for improved flow properties.

### References

- Kashani, A., & Ngo, T. (2020). Production and placement of self-compacting concrete. In *Self-Compacting Concrete: Materials, Properties and Applications* (pp. 65-81). Woodhead Publishing.
- Ahmad, S., & Umar, A. (2018). Fibre-reinforced self-compacting concrete: a review. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 377, No. 1, p. 012117). IOP Publishing.
- Barluenga, G., & Hernández-Olivares, F. (2007). Cracking control of concretes modified with short AR-glass fibers at early age. *Experimental results on standard concrete and SCC. Cement and Concrete Research*, 37(12), 1624-1638.
- Aydin, A. C. (2007). Self compactability of high-volume hybrid fiber reinforced concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 21(6), 1149-1154.
- Ali, M., Li, X., & Chouw, N. (2013). Experimental investigations on bond strength between coconut fibre and concrete. *Materials & Design*, 44, 596-605.
- Sukumar, B., Nagamani, K., & Raghavan, R. S. (2008). Evaluation of strength at early ages of self-compacting concrete with high volume fly ash. *Construction and Building Materials*, 22(7), 1394-1401.



- Kim, D. J., Park, S. H., Ryu, G. S., & Koh, K. T. (2011). Comparative flexural behavior of hybrid ultra-high-performance fiber reinforced concrete with different macro fibers. *Construction and Building Materials*, 25(11), 4144-4155.
- Dawood, E. T., & Ramli, M. (2012a). Mechanical properties of high strength flowing concrete with hybrid fibers. *Construction and Building Materials*, 28(1), 193-200.
- Dawood, E. T., & Ramli, M. (2012b). Durability of high strength flowing concrete with hybrid fibres. *Construction and Building Materials*, 35, 521-530.
- Brandt, A. M. (2008). Fibre reinforced cement-based (FRC) composites after over 40 years of development in building and civil engineering. *Composite structures*, 86(1-3), 3-9.
- Pająk, M. (2016). Investigation on flexural properties of hybrid fibre reinforced self-compacting concrete. *Procedia engineering*, 161, 121-126.
- M. (2016). Investigation on flexural properties of hybrid fibre reinforced self-compacting concrete. *Procedia engineering*, 161, 121-126.
- Romualdi, J. P., & Batson, G. B. (1963). Behavior of reinforced concrete beams with closely spaced reinforcement. In *Journal Proceedings* (Vol. 60, No. 6, pp. 775-790).
- Evans, A. G. (2001). Lightweight materials and structures. *MRS bulletin*, 26(10), 790-797.
- Mastali, M., Dalvand, A., Sattarifard, A. R., Abdollahnejad, Z., & Illikainen, M. J. C. P. B. E. (2018). Characterization and optimization of hardened properties of self-consolidating concrete incorporating recycled steel, industrial steel, polypropylene and hybrid fibers. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 151, 186-200.
- Ismail, M. K., & Hassan, A. A. (2017). Impact resistance and mechanical properties of self-consolidating rubberized concrete reinforced with steel fibers. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 29(1), 04016193.
- Li, K. F., Yang, C. Q., Huang, W., Zhao, Y. B., Wang, Y., Pan, Y., & Xu, F. (2021). Effects of hybrid fibers on workability, mechanical, and time-dependent properties of high strength fiber-reinforced self-consolidating concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 277, 122325.
- Yang, Q., Ru, N., He, X., & Peng, Y. (2022). Mechanical Behavior of Refined SCC with High Admixture of Hybrid Micro-and Ordinary Steel Fibers. *Sustainability*, 14(9), 5637.
- Hannawi, K., Bian, H., Prince-Agbodjan, W., & Raghavan, B. (2016). Effect of different types of fibers on the microstructure and the mechanical behavior of ultra-high-performance fiber-reinforced concretes. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 86, 214-220.
- Zhang, C., Han, S., & Hua, Y. (2018). Flexural performance of reinforced self-consolidating concrete beams containing hybrid fibers. *Construction and Building Materials*, 174, 11-23.
- Akcay, B., & Tasdemir, M. A. (2012). Mechanical behaviour and fibre dispersion of hybrid steel fibre reinforced self-compacting concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 28(1), 287-293.
- Rambo, D. A. S., de Andrade Silva, F., & Toledo Filho, R. D. (2014). Mechanical behavior of hybrid steel-fiber self-consolidating concrete: materials and structural aspects. *Materials & Design* (1980-2015), 54, 32-42.
- Pająk, M., & Ponikiewski, T. (2017). Experimental investigation on hybrid steel fibers reinforced self-compacting concrete under flexure. *Procedia engineering*, 193, 218-225.
- Rutkowska, G., Wichowski, P., Fronczyk, J., Franus, M., & Chalecki, M. (2018). Use of fly ashes from municipal sewage sludge combustion in production of ash concretes. *Construction and Building Materials*, 188, 874-883.
- Khan, M., & Ali, M. (2019). Improvement in concrete behavior with fly ash, silica-fume and coconut fibres. *Construction and Building Materials*, 203, 174-187.
- Corinaldesi, V., & Moriconi, G. (2004). Durable fiber reinforced self-compacting concrete. *Cement and concrete research*, 34(2), 249-254.
- Goodier, C. I. (2003). Development of self-compacting concrete. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Structures and Buildings*, 156(4), 405-414.
- Yonezawa, T. (1989). A Study on the Workability of High Strength Concrete Based on the L-Shape Flow Test. *Proceedings of the Japan Concrete Institute*, (1), 171-176.
- Tangtermsirikul, S., Sakamoto, J., Shindoh, T., & Matsuoka, Y. (1991). Evaluation of resistance to segregation of super workable concrete and the role of a new type of viscosity agent. *Reports of the Technical Research Institution*, 24, 369-376.
- Billberg, P. (1999). Self-compacting concrete for civil engineering structures.: The Swedish experience. *Cement och Betong Institutet*.
- WRD Handbook Chapter no. 3 in Self-Compacting Concrete (2019). Maharashtra Engineering Research Institute, Water Resource Department, Nashik-04, India.
- Celik, K., Meral, C., Mancio, M., Mehta, P. K., & Monteiro, P. J. (2014). A comparative study of self-consolidating concretes incorporating high-volume natural pozzolan or high-volume fly ash. *Construction and Building materials*, 67, 14-19.
- Siddique, R. (2014). Utilization of industrial by-products in concrete. *Procedia Engineering*, 95, 335-347.
- Kim, H. K. (2015). Utilization of sieved and ground coal bottom ash powders as a coarse binder in high-strength mortar to improve workability. *Construction and Building Materials*, 91, 57-64.
- Señas, L., Priano, C., & Marfil, S. (2016). Influence of recycled aggregates on properties of self-consolidating concretes. *Construction and Building Materials*, 113, 498-505.
- Baite, E., Messan, A., Hannawi, K., Tsohnang, F., & Prince, W. (2016). Physical and transfer properties of mortar containing coal bottom ash aggregates from Tefereyre (Niger). *Construction and Building Materials*, 125, 919-926.
- Kurda, R., de Brito, J., & Silvestre, J. D. (2017). Combined influence of recycled concrete aggregates and high contents of fly ash on concrete properties. *Construction and Building Materials*, 157, 554-572.
- Xie, J., Wang, J., Rao, R., Wang, C., & Fang, C. (2019). Effects of combined usage of GGBS and fly ash on workability and mechanical properties of alkali activated geopolymer concrete with recycled



- aggregate. Composites Part B: Engineering, 164, 179-190.
- ASTM. Standard Test Specification for Coal Fly Ash and Raw or Calcined Natural Pozzolan for Use in Concrete. C618-12. West Conshohocken, PA.2017.
- Bouzoubaâ, N., &Lachemi, M. (2001). Self-compacting concrete incorporating high volumes of class F fly ash: Preliminary results. Cement and concrete research, 31(3), 413-420.
- Nehdi, M., El Chabib, H., & El Naggar, M. H. (2003). Development of cost-effective self-consolidating concrete for deep foundation applications. Concrete International, American Concrete Institute, 25(3), 49-57.
- Sukumar, B., Nagamani, K., & Raghavan, R. S. (2008). Evaluation of strength at early ages of self-compacting concrete with high volume fly ash. Construction and Building Materials, 22(7), 1394-1401.
- Şahmaran, M., Keskin, S. B., Ozerkan, G., & Yaman, I. O. (2008). Self-healing of mechanically-loaded self-consolidating concretes with high volumes of fly ash. Cement and Concrete Composites, 30(10), 872-879.
- Şahmaran, M., Yaman, İ. Ö., &Tokyay, M. (2009). Transport and mechanical properties of self-consolidating concrete with high volume fly ash. Cement and concrete composites, 31(2), 99-106.
- Bingöl, A. F., &Tohumcu, İ. (2013). Effects of different curing regimes on the compressive strength properties of self-compacting concrete incorporating fly ash and silica fume. Materials & Design, 51, 12-18.
- Khodair, Y. (2017). Self-compacting concrete using recycled asphalt pavement and recycled concrete aggregate. Journal of Building Engineering, 12, 282-287.
- Mahmoud, E., Ibrahim, A., El-Chabib, H., & Patibandla, V. C. (2013). Self-consolidating concrete incorporating high volume of fly ash, slag, and recycled asphalt pavement. International Journal of Concrete Structures and Materials, 7(2), 155-163.
- Kristiawan, S. A., & Aditya, M. T. M. (2015). Effect of high-volume fly ash on shrinkage of self-compacting concrete. Procedia Engineering, 125, 705-712.
- De, M., Foiato, M., & Luiz, R. P. (2019). Ecological, fresh state and long-term mechanical properties of high-volume fly ash high-performance self-compacting concrete. Construction and Building Materials, 203, 282-293.
- Arivalagan, S. (2013). Experimental Analysis of Self Compacting Concrete Incorporating Different Range of High-Volumes of Class F Fly Ash. Scholars Journal of Engineering and Technology, 1(3), 104-111.
- Naganathan, S., Mustapha, K. N., & Omar, H. (2012). Use of recycled concrete aggregate in controlled low-strength material (CLSM). Civil Engineering Dimension, 14(1), 13-18.
- Afiniwala, S., Patel, I., & Patel, N. (2013). Effect of High-Volume Fly ash on Rheological Properties of Self Compacting Concrete. International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, ISSN, 2250-2459.
- Nguyen, H. A., Chang, T. P., & Shih, J. Y. (2018). Effects of sulfate rich solid waste activator on engineering properties and durability of modified high volume fly ash cement-based SCC. Journal of Building Engineering, 20, 123-129.
- Liu, M. (2010). Self-compacting concrete with different levels of pulverized fuel ash. Construction and Building Materials, 24(7), 1245-1252.
- Celik, K., Meral, C., Mancio, M., Mehta, P. K., & Monteiro, P. J. (2014). A comparative study of self-consolidating concretes incorporating high-volume natural pozzolan or high-volume fly ash. Construction and Building materials, 67, 14-19.
- Anjos, M. A., Camões, A., & Jesus, C. (2015). Eco-Efficient self-compacting concrete with reduced Portland cement content and high volume of fly ash and metakaolin. In Key Engineering Materials (Vol. 634, pp. 172-181). Trans Tech Publications Ltd.
- Da Silva, P. R., & De Brito, J. (2015). Experimental study of the porosity and microstructure of self-compacting concrete (SCC) with binary and ternary mixes of fly ash and limestone filler. Construction and Building Materials, 86, 101-112.
- Jianhe, X., Junjie, W., Rui, R., Chonghao, W., & Chi, F. (2019). Effect of combined usage of GGBS and fly ash on workability and mechanical properties of alkali activated geopolymer concrete with recycled aggregate. Compos. Part B, 164, 179-190.

