



# Spectrum Of The Cardiac Manifestations Among Hemodialysis Patients In A Tertiary Care Hospital

Authors: <sup>1</sup>Dr. Farooq Ahmed. <sup>2</sup>Dr Batool Butt. <sup>3</sup>Dr MunazzaNazir. <sup>4</sup>DrWaqar. <sup>5</sup>Dr Ali Shahid. <sup>6</sup>Dr.Hareem Butt. <sup>7</sup>Dr.ArzuJadoon. <sup>8</sup>Dr.ShoukatHussain. <sup>9</sup>Dr. Sarosh Khan Jadoon.<sup>10</sup> Prof Dr. Raja Ijaz

1. Assistant Professor ,Nephrology AJKMCAIMS Hospital Muzaffarabad [dr.farooq847@gmail.com](mailto:dr.farooq847@gmail.com)
2. Assistant Professor Nephrology Fouji Foundation Hospital Rawalpindi [batoolbutt7@gmail.com](mailto:batoolbutt7@gmail.com)
3. Associate Professor Medicine AJKMC SKBZ/CMH Muzaffarabad [adnanmunazza@gmail.com](mailto:adnanmunazza@gmail.com)
4. Assistant Professor Cardiology AJKMC AIMS Hospital Muzaffarabad [caprico19194@gmail.com](mailto:caprico19194@gmail.com)
5. Post Graduate Resident Medicine AIMS Hospital Muzaffarabad [drmohammadalishahid@gmail.com](mailto:drmohammadalishahid@gmail.com)
6. Post graduate Resident Medicine Fouji Foundation Hospital Rawalpindi [hareembutt20@gmail.com](mailto:hareembutt20@gmail.com)
7. Medicine Resident Ziauddin University Hospital [drarzu2000@gmail.com](mailto:drarzu2000@gmail.com)
8. MBBS FCPS, Medical Specialist, SKBZ/CMH Muzaffarabad, [bukharishoukat33@gmail.com](mailto:bukharishoukat33@gmail.com)
9. Resident Surgeon SKBZ/CMH, [saroshkhanjadoon@outlook.com](mailto:saroshkhanjadoon@outlook.com)
10. Professor of Surgery AJKMC HOD General Surgery SKBZ /CMH, [drijazraja@yahoo.com](mailto:drijazraja@yahoo.com)

## ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine Cardiac Conditions in CKD patients reported in Nephrology Department AIMS

Method: The cross sectional study was conducted at Nephrology Department Abbas Institute of Medical Sciences (AIMS) .Total 102 cases were analyzed who came from different are as suspected CVD abnormalities in Nephrology Department AIMS for Dialysis in Dialysis Unit.

Conclusion: CKD is an independent risk factor for CVD and majority of patients expire due to CVD than progress to ESRD. This risk worsens as the severity of renal dysfunction worsens. Identification of patients with early CKD is crucial as prevention works better than cure. Apart from the traditional risk factors, novel risk factors peculiar to CKD results in early and rapid progression of CVD. An array of invasive and non-invasive tests is available for diagnosis of CVD but the utility of each of them in patients with CKD is still uncertain. Treatment benefits with reperfusion therapy extend to patients with renal dysfunction but the degree of protection and risks involved needs further evaluation. Most of the recommendations are based on single-center data or post hoc analyses. Further randomized control trials are warranted to assess the various modalities for evaluation and management of CVDs in CKD

DOI Number: 10.48047/NQ.2023.21.2.NQ23017

Neuroquantology 2023; 21(2):157-167

**INTRODUCTION:** Richard Bright first proposed a renal etiology for cardiovascular disease (CVD) in 1836. Numerous epidemiological studies that compared patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) to the general population and found that they had more severe and frequent CVD. In fact, those with early stages of CKD are more likely to die from CVDs than from end-stage renal disease, and the condition itself is seen as being an analogue to coronary artery disease (CAD) (ESRD). [1] Although

the severity of this danger has been well acknowledged, people with renal impairment have been conveniently left out of the trials. Therefore, there is a shortage of evidence-based management of CVD in CKD. This has major treatment consequences since prevention methods. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality would also be avoided as CKD progressed. The idea that CKD and CVD can both cause and exacerbate one another resulted in the development of cardio renal

www.neuroquantology.com



syndrome as a distinct clinical entity. [2] The underlying cause of the elevated risk of cardiovascular events in CKD patients is not well understood. Such a relationship is thought to be caused by a number of reasons. Even after accounting for the several coexisting risk variables, CKD still seems to play a significant role in determining cardiovascular morbidity and death. [3] In this review, we outline the information that is currently known about the prevalence of CVD in CKD, risk factors, diagnostic approaches, and available therapeutic choices, along with potential future research directions. In chronic renal disease, cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the main cause of morbidity and mortality (CKD). In stages 3 and 4 of CKD, respectively, the risk of CVD mortality doubles and triples. Each condition makes the other more common and causes it to progress, making this link complex and bidirectional. [2,3] The cardiorenal syndrome, in which the failure of one organ causes and worsens the dysfunction of the other, serves as an example of how the heart and kidney are intimately intertwined. [4,5] The presence of CKD and accompanying comorbidities makes it more difficult to detect and manage CVD. CKD-CVD is additionally influenced by additional CKD-related risk factors and alternate pathogenesis. Patients with CKD are frequently left out of clinical studies, which have a negative impact on the generalizability of evaluation methods and the quality of the data supporting the safety and effectiveness of medications that are now available. Reviews that were updated in 2018 showed that CKD patients were still underrepresented, with 46–57% of CVD studies not including them. [8,9] Recent suggestions to encourage the inclusion of patients with CKD have taken into account the regulatory and financial incentives, changes to research design, and cooperation between cardiologists and nephrologists. If we want to get the crucial information to direct management of this vulnerable population, we need to use these tactics. [10] Indeed, a significant number of problems, adverse events, and dose adjustment worries are linked to present treatment options, especially for drugs that are eliminated through the kidneys. [1] Due to clinicians'

caution, CVD is frequently not adequately treated. [11] The purpose of this essay is to discuss the limitations and present state of knowledge on CKD-CVD. It will concentrate on valvular heart disease, heart failure, arrhythmias, and coronary artery disease (CAD) (VHD) and Cardiovascular Disease. The presence of CKD and accompanying comorbidities makes it more difficult to detect and manage CVD. CKD-CVD is additionally influenced by additional CKD-related risk factors and alternate pathogenesis. [1] Incidence of CAD increases linearly as GFR drops, and it is prevalent in CKD. A difficult prognosis is brought on by the simultaneous care of CAD in these patients. [27] Lipid-lowering drugs serve as the corner stone of CAD therapy. With the advancement of CKD, statins become less helpful, and there is no obvious benefit for dialysis patients. In mild to moderate CKD, newer medicines are effective and safe, but their effects in advanced illness remain unknown. Although further research is required, the SHARP trial and other studies have suggested that taking ezetimibe and statins simultaneously may safely reduce cardiovascular risk, especially for people with ESKD. [28,29] Changing Cardiovascular Disease Risk in Progressing Chronic Kidney Disease

**LITERATURE REVIEW:** Ischemic heart disease, congestive heart failure, arrhythmias, and peripheral vascular disease are all on the CVD spectrum in CKD. We will concentrate on ischemic heart disease for the majority of our discussions because it is the leading cause of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. It is clear from evidence from numerous epidemiological studies that persons with CKD are more likely to acquire CVD. [4] Patients with CKD die more frequently and earlier from CVD. At first, it was believed that this only applied to those with end-stage renal disease (ESRD), who had a 20–30-fold increased risk of dying from CVDs compared to the general population. Similar trends were seen in the adjusted risk of hospitalization and mortality. Similar trends in cardiovascular mortality with eGFR were found by van der Velde et al. [6] in a collaborative meta-analysis of 10 cohorts involving 266,975 participants. Albuminuria was linked to an increased risk of death



from all causes in this research. A linear relationship between blood creatinine levels and cardiovascular mortality was discovered in the Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program, with a five fold difference between the lowest and highest serum creatinine stratum. The 2014 United States Renal Data System.

The degree of renal impairment, the presence of proteinuria, and the rate at which these changes take place all affect the CVD risk in CKD. Those who experienced worsening renal impairment during the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial were at a significant risk for CVD. Rapid decline in renal function and co-existing proteinuria both raise the chance of developing CVD. Irie et al findings on the separate yet combined effects of proteinuria and eGFR on the risk of cardiovascular death in a cohort of Japanese patients were further supported by Irie et al

According to the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) study, CVD further accelerates CKD's rate of development.[9] A history of heart failure was independently linked in the CRIC trial to a 29% greater chance of developing end-stage renal disease (ESRD). Additionally, it was demonstrated that people with CVD at baseline were more likely to experience deterioration in renal function in the combined analyses of the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study and Cardiovascular Health Study. Throughout the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) research, [10].

**Risk Factors for CVD in Patients with CKD:** The increased incidence of CVD in CKD is only partially accounted for by the higher prevalence of traditional risk factors in these patients. This has turned our attention to the non-traditional or 'novel' risk factors unique to CKD. The traditional risk factors for CVD such as increasing age, hypertension, Dyslipidemia, diabetes, smoking and obesity are risk factors for CKD as well and hence are common in patients with CKD. The non-traditional or 'novel' risk factors are: 'Uremia specific', or at least much more common in patients with CKD than in the general population. These include albuminuria, Anaemia, Hyperparathyroidism, metabolic bone disease, hyperhomocysteinaemia, malnutrition, and apolipoprotein isoforms

Inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, Oxidative stress. The many traditional and non-traditional risk factors frequently have an additive effect, hastening the course of CKD and atherosclerosis. [11]

In a study of 2423 patients with CKD, Weiner et al. [13] found that the risk of myocardial infarction or death was four times higher in patients with anemia and LVH than in those who had only one of these risk factors. However, the risk was only three times higher in patients with CKD who had neither of these risk factors. The two inflammation-related indicators that are most frequently measured are eC-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6. Despite the fact that CRP has been proved to be a reliable indicator of cardiovascular mortality, its clinical value is restricted.

**The role of microalbuminuria:** Microalbuminuria is a frequent finding in individuals with hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia and is a key indicator of who is at risk of developing kidney disease [18]. Trials aiming to lessen the incidence and progression of proteinuria through the blockade of the Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System (RAAS) have been successful [21]. This is because patients with diabetic nephropathy have a significantly increased incidence of mortality with the onset of proteinuria. But after nephropathy has been identified, early intervention is essential for stopping the spread of the disease because RAAS blockade does not appear to have any positive effects. A vicious loop is also created by the fact that hypertension and CKD are fundamentally related. The risk of all-cause mortality, CV mortality, acute myocardial infarction, and heart failure is higher in hypertensive subjects with elevated pulse pressure and proteinuria [22].

**Diagnosis of CVD in CKD:** Patients with CKD usually lack the traditional triad of ischemic symptoms, increased cardiac biomarkers, and ECG abnormalities, making the diagnosis of CAD difficult. The specificity for the diagnosis of CAD is decreased by the prevalence of abnormal ECGs; in one study, 46% of patients exhibited some abnormalities. [17] ECGs can be used to find bundle branch blockages and LVH. LV mass index and regional wall motion anomalies suggestive of CAD are often measured using two-



dimensional(2D)transthoracicechocardiography,which is easily accessible, affordable, but operator dependent. Due to patients' low exercise tolerance when they have CKD, exercise testing is of little use. To find myocardial scarring, cardiac MRI is quite helpful. For the detection of coronary artery stenosis more than 70%, stress cardiac magnetic resonance is helpful. Patients with CKD have decreased sensitivity for single-photon emission CT scan to detect CAD due to greater baseline adenosine levels. In comparison to traditional angiography, stress thallium scanning had a 62% sensitivity and 76% specificity for CA stenosis of greater than 75% in the Vandenberg et al. study [21].

Myocardial perfusion scintigraphy (MPS) is preferable in patients with uncontrolled hypertension and arrhythmia, whereas DSE should be employed in individuals with reversible airways disease and hypotension. **Utility of various diagnostic modalities for diagnosis of cardiovascular disease in CKD:** The use of cTn to evaluate prognosis in patients with CKD has produced encouraging findings. According to a published meta-analysis, higher cTn-T was linked to a threefold increase in all-cause mortality and cTn-I was linked to a 2.7-fold increase, rise in mortality from all causes. Regardless of the severity of renal dysfunction, an elevated cTn-T was found to be a very significant predictor of a poor short-term prognosis in the Global Use of Strategies to Open Occluded Coronary

**Management of CVD in CKD:** The mainstay of CVD medical care is the use of aspirin, statins, ACE inhibitors (ACEi), angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), and beta-blockers. As in the general population, optimal glycemic management and blood pressure control are crucial for CKD patients. Despite the fact that individuals with CKD frequently have CVDs, little

is known about the best way to treat this population. In patients with normal renal function, ACEi or ARBs constitute the standard medical therapy. The Fosinopril in Dialysis (FOSIDIAL) trial failed to demonstrate any additional benefit in patients receiving dialysis, whereas the study by Efrati et al. [31] revealed a 52% decrease in mortality among dialysis patients, raising questions about the beneficial effect of ACEi/ARBs in renal insufficiency. Use of ACEi/ARBs was linked to better survival in non-dialysis-dependent patients in the study by Molnar et al. a 50% increase in both major and minor bleeding risk was observed. [30] Although UFH is generally safer, the risk of bleeding with UFH also rises as renal dysfunction becomes more severe. This recommendation deviates from the ACC/AHA recommendations in the definition of atherosclerotic CVD (ACC/AHA uses a broader definition), the treatment of patients over 75 years of age, and the use of a higher CVD risk level (10-year risk of 10% vs. 7.5% in ACC/AHA) when determining statin therapy. [36] Statin therapy should be decided on an individual basis by doctors because it is unclear whether there is an eGFR limit, or the so-called "point of no return," beyond which statins stop being beneficial. Anaemia management is a crucial component of CKD care, and anaemia itself increases the cardiovascular burden. In order to benefit your heart and body in general, therapy should be adjusted to reach the desired haemoglobin range of 10 to 12 g/dL.

160

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

Study was conducted at Department of Nephrology AIMS Muzaffarabad AJ&K over 6 months.. Cross-sectional study. Total 102 samples were analyzed. sample size calculated using formula  $S = Z^2 \times P \times (1-P) / M^2 (1-P) / M^2$ . Data was analysed using SPSS software Version 25.

**DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS**

**Frequencies Statistics**

		Age	Gender
N	Valid	102	102
	Missing	0	0
Mean		2.9118	1.5000
Std. Error of Mean		.08601	.05702
Median		3.0000	1.0000



Mode	3.00	1.00
Std.Deviation	.86863	.57592
Skewness	-.659	.952
Std.Error of Skewness	.239	.239

**Frequency Table (AGE)**

	Frequency	Percent
17to28 years	10	9.8
28to35 years	12	11.8
35to60 years	58	56.9
60to80 years	21	20.6
lessthan17 years	1	1.0
Total	102	100.0

**Socioeconomic status**

Sr. No	Socioeconomic Status	No of Patients
1	POOR	26
2	FAIR	30
3	GOOD	29
4	RICH	17

**Durationbasecardiacconditions**

Sr.No	CardiacConditions	No of patients(4 hours once weekly)	NoofPatients(Twiceweekly 3.5hours)
1	PulmonaryHypertension	----	7(6.8%)
2	LVH	----	11(10.7%)
3	SystolicDysfunction	----	05(4.9%)
4	DiastolicDysfunction	2(1.9%)	31(30.3%)
5	Pericardialeffusion	----	21(20.5%)
6	MitralRegurgitation	1(0.9%)	41(40.1%)
7	AR(ArterialRegurgitation)	1(0.9%)	16(15.6%)
8	ArterialStenosis	----	04(3.9%)
9	TricuspidRegurgitation	2(1.9%)	40(39.2%)
10	DCMP(dilatedcardiomyopathy)	----	17(16.6%)
11	VegetationinR.A	----	03(2.9%)
12	Ischemic Dilatedcardiomyopathy	----	05(4.9%)
13	Vegetationinaorticvalve	----	01(0.9%)
14	SevereConcentricLVH	----	02(1.9%)
15	SWMA(segmental wall motion abnormality)	----	03(2.9%)
16	SitusSolitis	----	04(3.9%)
17	Levocardia	----	09(8.8%)
18	Biarterialenlargement	----	03(2.9%)
19	RestrictiveCardiomyopathy	----	04(3.9%)
20	Pulmonaryregurgitation(PR)	----	07(6.8%)
	TotalPatients	6	102

**INTRA DIALYTIC COMPLICATIONS BASEDCARDIACCONDITIONS**

CardiacConditions	No	of	No	of	No	No	No	No
-------------------	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----



	patients(Hypotension)	patients(Hypertension)	ofpatients(Cramps)	ofpatients(Nausea)	ofpatients(Headache)	ofpatients(Chest-pain)
PulmonaryHypertension	01(0.9%)	1(0.9%)	3(2.9%)	3(2.9%)	1(0.9%)	2(1.9%)
LVH	04(3.9%)	2(1.9%)	3(2.9%)	1(0.9%)	3(2.9%)	2(1.9%)
SystolicDysfunction	01(0.9%)	----	1(0.9%)	1(0.9%)	3(2.9%)	1(0.9%)
DiastolicDysfunction	12(11.9%)	6(5.9%)	9(8.9%)	7(6.8%)	12(11.7%)	4(3.9%)
Pericardialeffusion	13(12.7%)	1(0.9%)	4(3.9%)	2(1.9%)	08(7.8%)	2(1.9%)
MitralRegurgitation	21(20.5%)	4(3.9%)	9(8.9%)	3(2.9%)	19(18.6%)	1(0.9%)
AR(ArterialRegurgitation)	06(5.9%)	1(0.9%)	3(2.9%)	1(0.9%)	9(8.8%)	5(4.9%)
ArterialStenosis	01(0.9%)	----	----		1(0.9%)	2(1.9%)
TricuspidRegurgitation	19(18.6%)	4(3.9%)	4(3.9%)	3(2.9%)	13(12.7%)	1(0.9%)
DCMP(dilatedcardiomyopathy)	01(0.9%)	----		2(1.9%)	1(0.9%)	----
VegetationinR.A		----	1(0.9%)	1(0.9%)	1(0.9%)	----
Ischemic Dilatedcardiomyopathy	----	----	1(0.9%)	1(0.9%)	1(0.9%)	----
Vegetationinaorticvalve	----	----	3(2.9%)	3(2.9%)	1(0.9%)	----
SevereConcentricLVH	----	----	1(0.9%)	01(0.9%)	1(0.9%)	----
SWMA(segmentalwallmotionabnormality)	02(1.9%)	----	4(3.9%)	5(4.9%)	----	----
SitusSolitis	-----	----	----	----	----	----
Levocardia	----	----		----	1(0.9%)	
Biarterialenlargement	1(0.9%)	----	----	----	1(0.9%)	1(0.9%)
RestrictiveCardiomyopathy	1(0.9%)	----	----	----	1(0.9%)	2(1.9%)
Pulmonaryregurgitation(PR)	----	----	----	----	----	1(0.9%)

**HemoglobinLevelsBasedCardiacConditions**

CardiacConditions	Hb(<8g/dL) n= 12	Hb( 8 to 10 g/dL) n= 36	Hb(10to 11.5 g/dL)n=28	Hb(>11.5 g/dL) n=26
PulmonaryHypertension	1(0.9%)	3(2.9%)		1(0.9%)
LVH	4(3.9%)	4(3.9%)	2(1.9%)	
SystolicDysfunction		5(4.9%)		
DiastolicDysfunction	5(4.9%)	19(18.6%)	5(4.9%)	4(3.9%)
Pericardialeffusion	6(5.8%)	18(17.6%)		2(1.9%)
MitralRegurgitation	6(5.8%)	30(29.4%)	5(4.9%)	3(2.9%)
AR(ArterialRegurgitation)	4(3.9%)	11(11.7%)	3(2.9%)	
ArterialStenosis		3(2.9%)		
TricuspidRegurgitation	7(6.8%)	26(25.4%)	4(3.9%)	5(4.9%)



DCMP(dilatedcardiomyopathy)		2(1.9%)		
VegetationinR.A	2(1.9%)	1(0.9%)	1(0.9%)	
Ischemic Dilatedcardiomyopathy	1(0.9%)	1(0.9%)		
Vegetation inaorticvalve				1(0.9%)
SevereConcentricLVH	2(1.9%)	2(1.9%)	2(1.9%)	
SWMA(segmentalwall motionabnormality)		3(2.9%)	3(2.9%)	
SitusSolitis	1(0.9%)	1(0.9%)	1(0.9%)	
Levocardia	1(0.9%)	1(0.9%)		
Biarterialenlargement	1(0.9%)	1(0.9%)		
RestrictiveCardiomyopathy	1(0.9%)	1(0.9%)		
Pulmonaryregurgitation(PR)	2(1.9%)	2(1.9%)		

**DISCUSSION**

Inchronicrenaldisease,cardiovascularisease(CVD)ist hemaincauseofmorbidity and mortality (CKD). In stages 3 and 4 of CKD, respectively, the risk of CVD mortality doubles and triples. Each condition makes the other more common and causes it to progress, making this link complex and bidirectional. 2,3 The cardiorenal syndrome,in which the failure of one organ causes and worsens the dysfunction of the other, servesasan example of how theheartand kidneyareintimatelyintertwined. [4,5]

The presence of CKD and accompanying comorbidities makes it more difficult to detect andmanageCVD. CKD-CVD is additionally influenced by additionalCKD-related risk factors and alternate pathogenesis. Patients with CKD are frequently left outof clinical studies, which has a negative impact on the generalizability of evaluationmethodsandthequalityofthedatasupporti ngthesafetyandeffectivenessofmedications that are now available. According to systematic studies published in 2006,56-75% of CVD trials did not include participants with CKD. Patients with advancedkidney disease had an even higher percentage of this (ESKD).[ 6,7] Reviews that wereupdated in 2018 showed that CKD patients were still underrepresented, with 46–57% ofCVD studies not including them. [8,9 ]Recent suggestions to encourage the inclusion ofpatientswithCKDhavetakenintoaccounttheregulat oryandfinancialincentives,changestoresearch design,andcooperationbetweencardiologistsand nephrologists.

If we want to get the crucial information to direct management of this vulnerablepopulation, we need to use these tactics. 10 Indeed, a significant number of problems,adverse events, and dose adjustment worries are linked to present treatment options,especially for drugs that are eliminated through the kidneys. 1 Due to clinicians' caution,CVD is frequently not adequately treated. 11 The purpose of this essay is to discuss thelimitations and present state of knowledge on CKD-CVD. It will concentrate on valvularheart disease, heart failure, arrhythmias, and coronary artery disease (CAD) (VHD) andCardiovascularDiseaseThepresence of CKDand accompanyingcomorbiditiesmakesitmore difficult to detect andmanageCVD. CKD-CVD is additionally influenced by additionalCKD-relatedrisk factors and alternatepathogenesis. [1]

PatientswithCKDarelargelyomittedfromclinicalresea rch,whichhasanegative impact on the generalizability of evaluation methods and the quality of the datasupporting the safety and effectiveness of medications that are now available. Accordingtosystematicstudiespublishedin2006,56-75%ofCVDtrialsdidnotincludeparticipantswithCKD.P atientswithadvancedkidneydiseasehadanevenhighe rpercentage of this (ESKD). [6,7] Reviews that were updated in 2018 showed that CKDpatients were still underrepresented, with 46–57% of CVD studies not including them.[8,9]Wehavestudied102patientsofundergoing hemodialysisindialysiscenterAIMSMZD. Inthistenurepatientsfromchildrentooldagewerekeen



ly observed & interviewed. Among them were male (about 54%), and 47 were females (46%). In our study the probability of cardiac issues in CKD-5d patients is seen to be 100%. We have concluded many reasons behind cardiac issues/conditions such as, Hypertension, Lack of awareness, Cardiac stress during HD procedure, Poor health conditions such as anemia. Other comorbid issues related to vascular access, Electrolyte imbalance due to inadequate HD because of patient and hospital factors, Poor compliance to medications because of financial issues, Hypoparathyroidism, Chronic fluid overload conditions, Hyperphosphatemia and increased  $\text{Ca} \times \text{po}_4$  product.

**CONCLUSION:** CKD is an independent risk factor for Cardio Vascular Disease (CVD) and is a cause of increased mortality in CKD. This risk worsens as the severity of renal dysfunction worsens. Identification of patients with early CKD is crucial as prevention works better than cure. Apart from the traditional risk factors, novel risk factors peculiar to CKD results in early and rapid progression of CVD. An array of invasive and non-invasive tests is available for diagnosis of CVD but the utility of each of them in patients with CKD is still uncertain. Treatment benefits with reperfusion therapy extend to patients with renal dysfunction but the degree of protection and risks involved needs further evaluation. Most of the recommendations are based on single-center data or posthoc analyses. Further randomized control trials are warranted to assess the various modalities for evaluation and management of CVDs in CKD.

**RECOMMENDATIONS:** Engage the patient in healthy activities, Counsel the patient & spread awareness, Health department should arrange awareness sessions for public, Seminars and workshops should be arranged for public. Training of dialysis technicians and doctors and doctors for preventing & identifying the cardiac stresses related to CKD, Free provision of iron supplements and erythropoietin to combat the issues of anemia leading to CVD. Provision of free medicines to control Blood pressure and maintain optimum level of calcium, phosphorous and PTH levels. Regular visits of doctors by increasing human

resources and training of dialysis technician for assessment of target weight of patients. Installation of dialysis units in remote area with sufficient facilities and human resource to decrease patient related factors leading to inadequate hemodialysis

## REFERENCES

1. Briasoulis A, Bakris GL. Chronic kidney disease as a coronary artery disease risk equivalent. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2013;15:340 doi:10.1007/s11886-012-0340-4 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
2. Braam B, Joles JA, Danishwar AH et al.. Cardiorenal syndrome—current understanding and future perspectives. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2014;10:48–55. doi:10.1038/nrneph.2013.250 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
3. Anavekar NS, Pfeffer MA. Cardiovascular risk in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int* 2004;66:S11–15. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1755.2004.09203.x [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
4. Herzog CA, Asinger RW, Berger AK et al.. Cardiovascular disease in chronic kidney disease. A clinical update from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). *Kidney Int* 2011;80:572–86. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
5. Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D et al.. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:1296–305. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa041031 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
6. van der Velde M, Matsushita K, Coresh J et al.. Lower estimated glomerular filtration rate and higher albuminuria are associated with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. A collaborative meta-analysis of high-risk population cohorts. *Kidney Int* 2011;79:1341–52. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
7. Manjunath G, Tighiouart H, Coresh J et al.. Level of kidney function as a risk factor for cardiovascular outcomes in the elderly. *Kidney Int* 2003;63:1121–9.





- doi:10.1046/j.1523-1755.2003.00838.x  
[PubMed] [Google Scholar]
8. Irie F, Iso H, Sairenchi T et al.. The relationships of proteinuria, serum creatinine, glomerular filtration rate with cardiovascular disease mortality in Japanese general population. *Kidney Int* 2006;69:1264–71. doi:10.1038/sj.ki.5000284 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  9. Rahman M, Xie D, Feldman HI et al.. Association between chronic kidney disease progression and cardiovascular disease: results from the CRIC Study. *Am J Nephrol* 2014;40:399–407. doi:10.1159/000368915 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  10. Elsayed EF, Tighiouart H, Griffith J et al.. Cardiovascular disease and subsequent kidney disease. *Arch Intern Med* 2007;167:1130–6. doi:10.1001/archinte.167.11.1130 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  11. Gansevoort RT, Correa-Rotter R, Hemmelgarn BR et al.. Chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular risk: epidemiology, mechanisms, and prevention. *Lancet* 2013;382:339–52. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60595-4 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  12. Kendrick J, Chonchol MB. Nontraditional risk factors for cardiovascular disease in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Nat Clin Pract Nephrol* 2008;4:672–81. doi:10.1038/ncpneph0954 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  13. Weiner DE, Tighiouart H, Vlagopoulos PT et al.. Effects of anemia and left ventricular hypertrophy on cardiovascular disease in patients with chronic kidney disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005;16:1803–10. doi:10.1681/ASN.2004070597 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  14. Negri AL. Fibroblast growth factor 23: associations with cardiovascular disease and mortality in chronic kidney disease. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2014;46:9–17. doi:10.1007/s11255-012-0370-2 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  15. Gutiérrez OM, Mannstadt M, Isakova T et al.. Fibroblast growth factor 23 and mortality among patients undergoing hemodialysis. *N Engl J Med* 2008;359:584–92. doi:10.2174/1573403X10666140214122234 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  16. Cai Q, Mukku VK, Ahmad M. Coronary artery disease in patients with chronic kidney disease: a clinical update. *Curr Cardiol Rev* 2013;9:331–9. doi:10.2174/1573403X10666140214122234 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  17. Sharma R, Pellerin D, Gaze DC et al.. Dobutamine stress echocardiography and the resting but not exercise electrocardiograph predict severe coronary artery disease in renal transplant candidates. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2005;20:2207–14. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  18. Patel AD, Abo-Auda WS, Davis JM et al.. Prognostic value of myocardial perfusion imaging in predicting outcome after renal transplantation. *Am J Cardiol* 2003;92:146–51. doi:10.1016/S0002-9149(03)00529-0 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  19. De Lima JGG, Sabbaga E, Vieira MLC et al.. Coronary angiography is the best predictor of events in renal transplant candidates compared with noninvasive testing. *Hypertens* 2003;42:263–8. doi:10.1097/01.ASN.0000047560.51444.3A [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  20. Reis G, Motta MS, Barbosa MM et al.. Dobutamine stress echocardiography for noninvasive assessment and risk stratification of patients with rheumatic mitral stenosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;43:393–401. doi:10.1097/01.ASN.0000047560.51444.3A [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  21. Vandenberg BF, Rossen JD, Grover-McKay M et al.. Evaluation of diabetic patients for



- renal and pancreas transplantation: noninvasive screening for coronary artery disease using radionuclide methods. *Transplantation* 1996;62:1230–5. doi:10.1097/00007890-199611150-00008 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
22. Rabbat CG, Treleaven DJ, Russell JD et al.. Prognostic value of myocardial perfusion studies in patients with end-stage renal disease assessed for kidney or kidney-pancreas transplantation: a meta-analysis. *J Am SocNephrol* 2003;14:431–9. doi:10.1097/01.ASN.0000047560.51444.3A [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
23. Wang LW, Masson P, Turner RM et al.. Prognostic value of cardiac tests in potential kidney transplant recipients: a systematic review. *Transplantation* 2015;99:731– 45. doi:10.1097/TP.0000000000000611 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
24. Lentine KL, Costa SP, Weir MR et al.. Cardiac disease evaluation and management among kidney and liver transplantation candidates: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association and the American College of Cardiology Foundation: endorsed by the American Society of Transplant Surgeons, American Society of Transplantation, and National Kidney Foundation. *Circulation* 2012;126: 617–63. doi:10.1161/CIR.0b013e31823eb07a [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
25. Freda BJ, Tang WHW, Van Lente F et al.. Cardiac troponins in renal insufficiency: review and clinical implications. *J Am CollCardiol* 2002;40:2065–71. doi:10.1016/S0735-1097(02)02608-6 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
26. Stacy SR, Suarez-Cuervo C, Berger Z et al.. Role of troponin in patients with chronic kidney disease and suspected acute coronary syndrome: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med* 2014;161:502–12. doi:10.7326/M14-0746 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
27. Wang AY-M, Lai K-N. Use of cardiac biomarkers in end-stage renal disease. *J Am SocNephrol* 2008;19:1643–52. doi:10.1681/ASN.2008010012 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
28. Berger AK, Duval S, Krumholz HM. Aspirin, beta-blocker, and angiotensin- converting enzyme inhibitor therapy in patients with end-stage renal disease and an acute myocardial infarction. *J Am CollCardiol* 2003;42:201–8. doi:10.1016/S0735-1097(03)00572-2 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
29. Palmer SC, Di Micco L, Razavian M et al.. Antiplatelet agents for chronic kidney disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;(2):CD008834 doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008834.pub2 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
30. Fox KAA, Antman EM, Montalescot G et al.. The impact of renal dysfunction on outcomes in the EXTRACT-TIMI 25 trial. *J Am CollCardiol* 2007;49:2249–55. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2006.12.049 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
31. Efrati S, Zaidenstein R, Dishy V et al.. ACE inhibitors and survival of hemodialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002;40:1023–9. doi:10.1016/0140-6736(92)93010-K [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
32. Takahashi A, Takase H, Toriyama T et al.. Candesartan, an angiotensin II type-1 receptor blocker, reduces cardiovascular events in patients on chronic haemodialysis -a randomized study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2006;21:2507–12. doi:10.1016/0140-6736(92)93010-K [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
33. Molnar MZ, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Lott EH et al.. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, angiotensin receptor blocker use, and mortality in patients with chronic kidney disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;63:650–8. doi:10.1016/0140- 6736(92)93010-K [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
35. Sharma P, Blackburn RC, Parke CL et al.. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers for adults with early (stage 1 to 3) non-diabetic



- chronic kidney disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011;(10):CD007751 doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007751.pub2 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
36. Badve SV, Roberts MA, Hawley CM et al.. Effects of beta-adrenergic antagonists in patients with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am CollCardiol* 2011;58:1152–61. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2011.04.041 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
37. Stone NJ, Robinson JG, Lichtenstein AH et al.. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *J Am CollCardiol* 2014;63:2889–934. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2013.11.002 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
38. Manske CL, Wang Y, Rector T et al.. Coronary revascularisation in insulin-dependent diabetic patients with chronic renal failure. *Lancet* 1992;340:998–1002. doi:10.1016/0140-6736(92)93010-K [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
39. Dragu R, Behar S, Sandach A et al.. Should primary percutaneous coronary intervention be the preferred method of reperfusion therapy for patients with renal failure and ST-elevation acute myocardial infarction? *Am J Cardiol* 2006;97:1142–5. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.11.028 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
40. Hobbach HP, Gibson CM, Giugliano RP et al.. The prognostic value of serum creatinine on admission in fibrinolytic-eligible patients with acute myocardial infarction. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2003;16:167–74. doi:10.1023/B:THRO.0000024055.13207.50 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
41. Chen YY, Wang JF, Zhang YJ et al.. Optimal strategy of coronary revascularization in chronic kidney disease patients: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Intern Med* 2013;24:354–61.
- doi:10.1016/j.ejim.2013.03.010 [PubMed]  
[Google Scholar]

