



Comorbidities and Left Ventricular Hypertrophy (LVH) – An Exploratory Study

Dr. Anil Bhattad¹, Nitin B. Jadav² Assistant Prof. Shoumeta Damle³ P. G. Student
Department of Medicine, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences,
Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences “Deemed to be University”, Karad
Email :-anilbhattad75@gmail.com

Abstract

Hypertension has many adverse effects on multiple systems such as the heart, brain, kidney and vascular systems. While significant progress has been made in our knowledge of the biology of essential hypertension and the availability of viable treatment methods, essential hypertension continues to be a major modifiable risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD). The adverse effects of Hypertension on cardiovascular health of the individual-“Hypertensive cardiovascular disease” was studied in detail by Janeway T C in 1913.

The thickness of arteriolar walls in chronic hypertension is expected to considerably contribute to the deterioration of coronary hemodynamics associated with adaptive ventricular hypertrophy and the subsequent decrease of coronary reserves, which causes ischemia insult to the myocardium. This is the cause of arrhythmias that arise from dead ischemic cardiac tissue and cardiac failure seen as diastolic dysfunction. These compromise the cardiac function and such a compromised heart is at risk for sudden death and morbidity due to the effect on rest of the systems in the body.

238

DOI Number: 10.48047/nq.2021.19.12.NQ21215 NeuroQuantology 2021; 19(12):238-247

Introduction

The leading cause of mortality in hypertension people is heart disease. Hypertensive heart disease is the outcome of structural and functional adaptations that contribute to left ventricular hypertrophy, congestive heart failure,

atherosclerotic coronary artery disease, cardiac arrhythmias, and other conditions.

The recent categories of Hypertension based on Blood Pressure measurement are (ACC/AHA):

Standard	“>120/80 mm Hg”
Upgraded	“Systolic 120-129 and diastolic >80 mm Hg”
Stage-I	“Systolic 130-139 or diastolic 80-89”
Stage-II	“Systolic minimum 140 or diastolic min 90 mm Hg”
“Hypertensive-crisis”	“Systolic >180 and/or diastolic >120”

Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) “is controlled not just by the physical loading of pressure overload, but also different neurohormonal chemicals that exert

trophic effects separately on myocytes and nonmyocytes in the heart. Angiotensin II, aldosterone, norepinephrine, and insulin are trophic agents that directly promote

www.neuroquantology.com

eISSN1303-5150



myocyte hypertrophy and matrix deposition regardless of their impact on systemic artery pressure. These trophic substances induce the generation of growth factors and cytokines, such as transforming growth factor beta, fibroblast growth factor, and insulin growth factor, which directly drive cardiac protein synthesis and hypertrophy. Systolic hypertension is related with the development of Left Ventricular Hypertrophy (LVH). In the Framingham Heart Study, even people with borderline isolated systolic hypertension showed moderately increased left ventricular (LV) wall thickness.”

Clinical assessment of a hypertensive patient may not always tell us about the presence or absence of left ventricular hypertrophy. There two main methods that are used in the detection of hypertrophy. They are commonly employed, easily available and non-invasive; they are the electrocardiogram and echocardiography.

Electrocardiogram:

In the latter decade of the nineteenth century, doctors began using technology in addition to traditional history collection and physical examination to diagnose cardiac disease. In the first part of the 20th century, a series of remarkable discoveries and developments led to the 12-lead ECG as it exists today. The advent of chest x-rays and the electrocardiograph (electrocardiogram) gave objective data on the anatomy and function of the heart. Electrocardiography is now a crucial component of the first examination of patients with cardiac symptoms. As a diagnostic tool of first resort, interpreting electrocardiograms is often a need for medical professionals of varying levels of training and experience. In particular, it serves a crucial role as a non-invasive, cost-effective method for evaluating arrhythmias and ischemic heart disease.¹⁰

Review of Literature

In the study, 61.6% of patients are males and rest 38.4% are females. A high prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy(LVH)amongfemales i.e. 78.57% compared to 62.2 % in males. This finding is consistentwithpreviousliteraturewhereahigh herproportionofwomenhaveleftventricular hypertrophy (LVH) compared to males.¹

A study by **Gerds Eet al** reported that “in the study population with 62% males and 38% females, prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) (according to LVMI) was80%in females and 70% in males inhypertension patients, seen both at thebeginning and at end point of their study.⁸⁵ However, they had defined leftventricular hypertrophy (LVH) by indexing LV mass to Height^{2.7}, unlike ourstudy where we indexed Left ventricular mass (LVM) with Body surface area(BSA)”.²

Tingleff J et al reported that in a study population with 56% malesand44%females, they found as light higher prevalence of Left ventricular hypertrophy(LVH) among hypertensive females (26%)thanhypertensivemales (25%).³

In contrast a study by **Ogah et al**, “males were 53.7% andfemales were 46.3%, but found no significant difference in the presence leftventricular hypertrophy (LVH) between males (18.5%) and females (20.3%) intheir study, with females having slightly higher presence of LVH.⁴ Our studydidnotfindanystatisticallysignificant associationbetweengenderandpresence of Left ventricular hypertrophy(LVH).”**Koren M. J. et al**⁵ in theirstudy had reported“66% males and 44% females with males having 75% and femaleshaving25%Leftventricularhypertrophy.”

Diabetes Mellitus (21.91%)wasthe most common comorbidity associated with



patients of Hypertension in our study followed by Cerebrovascular accident (CVA)(12.32%), Chronic kidney disease(CKD)(4%)andCOPD(4%).**VöllerHetal** reportedcomorbiditieslikecoronaryarterydis ease,previous stroke or TIA, abnormal renal function, and diabetes in their study.Their most commonly associated comorbidity was Coronary artery disease(CAD) ranging from 37.2% to 54.7% followed by Diabetes Mellitus whichwaspresent in 22.7%-33.4% of the patients, which is similar to our study. We hadalso excluded patients of CAD inour study witha smaller study group.⁶**Ruilope L. M and Schmieder R. E** similarly reported diabetes in 24.1 % ofnormotensivesubjectsand32.9%ofhyperte nsivesubjects.⁷

“The mean Left ventricular ejection Fraction(LVEF)inourpatie ntswas60.88± 8.88% and there was found to be no significant association between LVEFand presence of Left ventricularhypertrophy (LVH). Left ventricle ejectionfraction (LVEF) was normal in 90% of patients.”**Gerdts et al** also reported“mean left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of 60 ± 9% and majority patientswith normal Left ventricle ejection fraction LVEF (70%)”.⁸**Ogah et al**⁹ in theirstudy population had a “mean left ventricular ejection fraction of 58.9 ± 13.4%,and in contrast to our study, showed a negative correlation with left ventricularmass (LVM) which they had used to define LVH”. **Pegueroet al**¹⁰ reported a mean LVEFof57±10%in hypertensivepatientsoftheirstudy.

The mean left ventricular mass index (LVMI) in males was 121.6±32.34 g/m²and mean left ventricular mass index (LVMI) in females was 111.83±22.02g/m², but there was no statistical difference between the LVMI of males andfemales. The mean LVMI was seen to be higher in males. **Cuspidi et al**¹¹studied “the prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) of various

studiesand reported that mean LV mass index ranged from 96to 135g/m².In most ofthestudies thatwerescrutinised,theyreport edthatmaleshadhigherprevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) but there was no statisticalsignificance”. In contrast to our findings, **Karakan S and Inan B**¹² report that“female patients in their study had higher left ventricularmass index(LVMI)than male patients, the mean LVMI in females was 94.8 ± 13.1g/m² and inmales was 89.2 ± 14.6 g/m², but in contrast to our study left ventricular masswas indexed to height^{2.7}”. **Martinez et al**¹³ reported that the mean LVMI inmales was 121.5± 30.6 g/m²and it was 106.1 ± 27.7 g/m² in the females intheir study and found that LVMI was higherin males than in females.Incontrast,astudyby**Ogahetal**found nosignificantdifferencebetweentheleftventr icularmassindex(LVMI)ofmenandwomenint heirstudypopulation. Though the study had the same framework comparable to ourstudy the difference was probably owing to racial and regional differences inthestudypopulation.¹⁴

Discussion and Results

The study showed that the mean Left ventricular mass (LVM) was 209.33 ±56.85g. The mean LVM in males and females was 226 ± 59.73g and 181.84 ±39.17g, respectively. We also found that the mean values of Left ventricular internal diameter (LVIDd), Interventricularseptalthickness(IVSd)andPos terior wall thickness (PWTd) were 4.34 ± 0.48cm, 1.26 ± 0.17cm, 1.21 ±0.19cm, respectively. Each of the above dimensions had positive correlationwith left ventricular mass and LVMI. **Cuspidiet al**¹⁵, in their study found thatthe mean Left ventricular mass was 152 ± 45g. The mean Interventricularseptal thickness (IVSd) and Posterior wall thickness(PWTd)was1.12±0.15cm and 1.02 ± 0.16cm, respectively of the highest quintiles and they hadsignificant association



with left ventricular mass. **Ogah et al** in their study found that the mean of Left ventricular internal diameter (LVIDd), Interventricular septal thickness (IVSd) and Posterior wall thickness (PWTd) was $4.86 \pm 0.95\text{cm}$, $1.07 \pm 0.28\text{cm}$ and $0.997 \pm 0.22\text{cm}$, respectively. They had a statistically significant correlation with increased LV mass, i.e. LV hypertrophy.¹⁴ In contrast, **Peguro et al**¹⁰, found no significant correlation between mean Left ventricular internal diameter (LVIDd) ($4.40 \pm 0.8\text{cm}$), Interventricular septal thickness (IVSd) ($1.48 \pm 0.35\text{cm}$) and Posterior

wall thickness (PWTd) ($1.30 \pm 0.28\text{cm}$) and Left ventricular hypertrophy. But these values were significantly higher in their hypertensive group compared to normotensive group.

Table-1 gives us the association between the patients having comorbidities and those free of them with the presence of LV hypertrophy. Left ventricular hypertrophy was found to be 20% (10) in Diabetics, 4% (2) in chronic kidney disease, 8% (4) in stroke patients and 6% (3) in patients of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

Table 1: Association between comorbidities and Left Ventricular Hypertrophy

Comorbidities	Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH)	
	Present	Absent
Diabetes Mellitus	10 (20.0%)	6 (26.1%)
CKD	2 (4.0%)	1 (4.3%)
CVA	4 (8.0%)	5 (21.7%)
COPD	3 (6.0%)	0 (0.0%)
None	31 (62.0%)	11 (47.8%)
Total	50 (100.0%)	23 (100.0%)

χ^2 statistic = 4.61, d.o.f = 4 and p = 0.33

On applying the Chi-Square test we found that there is no significant association between comorbidities of the patients. The following Table-2 gives us the association between presence and absence of treatment with the presence or absence of Left ventricular hypertrophy in the 73 patients of the study. We see that 31

patients had presence of LV hypertrophy.

patients (62%) on treatment had left ventricular hypertrophy while 11 (47.82%) did not. We see that 19 (38%) patients who were not on treatment had left ventricular hypertrophy while 12 (52%) did not.

Table 2: Association between treatment and LV hypertrophy

Treatment	Left ventricular hypertrophy	
	Present	Absent
Yes	31 (62.0%)	11 (47.82%)
No	19 (38.0%)	12 (52.17%)
Total	50 (100.0%)	23 (100.0%)

χ^2 statistic = 1.29, d.o.f = 1 and p = 0.25

On applying the Chi-Square test we found that there is no significant association between the presence of treatment and Left ventricular hypertrophy. The following table-3 shows us the distribution of patients having left

ventricular hypertrophy (LVH).

distribution of patients having left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH).
www.neuroquantology.com



ventricular hypertrophy according to both electrocardiography and echocardiography. We find that 33(45.2%) patients had LV hypertrophy both on ECG and

echocardiography. While 11 (15.1%) patients had LV hypertrophy absent on both ECG and echocardiography.

Table3: Difference between the proportion of Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) by ECG findings and left ventricular hypertrophy by echocardiography (LVMI)

Electrocardiogram findings	Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) by echocardiography		Total
	Present	Absent	
LVH Present	33(45.2%)	12(16.4%)	45(61.6%)
LVH Absent	17(23.3%)	11(15.1%)	28(38.4%)
Total	50(68.5%)	23(31.5%)	73(100.0%)

χ^2 statistic= 1.27, d.o.f= 1 and p=0.31

On applying the Chi-Square test we found that there is no significant difference between proportions of Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH). Table-4 shows us “the relation between Left Ventricular ejection fraction, Left ventricular internal diameter, Interventricular septal thickness and posterior wall thickness with Left ventricular mass and LV mass

detected by electrocardiography and transthoracic echocardiography.

index individually. The table shows us that there is a significant correlation between left ventricular internal diameter, Interventricular septal thickness and posterior wall thickness, each with left ventricular mass index (LVMI)”

Table4: Correlation between LVEF, LVIDd, IVSd, PWTd with left ventricular mass (LVM) and Left ventricular mass index (LVMI)

Variables for correlation	Correlation coefficient	p-value
LVEjection fraction and LVmass	-0.016	0.894
LV ejection fraction and LVmass index	-0.062	0.602
LVIDd and LVMass	0.475	<0.001
LVIDd and LVmass index	0.425	<0.001
IVSd and LVmass	0.710	<0.001
IVSd and LVmass index	0.710	<0.001
PWTd and LVmass	0.757	<0.001
PWTd and LV mass index	0.747	<0.001

LVIDd- left ventricular internal diameter;
 LV- left ventricle
 IVSd- interventricular septal thickness
 PWTd- posterior wall thickness
 LVEF- Left ventricular ejection fraction



The following tables show us the association between 2 groups i.e. one of patients having LV hypertrophy and the other of patients not having Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH).

The following table-5 shows us that the mean LV mass in patients with LVH and in those without LVH was 230.14 ± 53.33 g and 164.09 ± 33.46 g, respectively.

Table 5: Relation of Left ventricular mass with Left ventricle hypertrophy

LV hypertrophy	Number	/mass(g)	S.D (g)
Present	50	230.14	± 53.33
Absent	23	164.09	± 33.46

S.D-standard deviation; t-statistic = -5.45, $p < 0.0001$ i.e. highly significant

On applying independent sample t-test it is seen here that there is a significant difference in the mean values of Left ventricular mass between the two groups. The following Table-6 shows us that the mean LV mass index in group with Left ventricle hypertrophy is 130.64g/m^2 with S.D $\pm 24.63 \text{g/m}^2$ and the mean LV mass

i.e. one having Left ventricular hypertrophy and one without Left ventricular hypertrophy.

index in group with no Left ventricle hypertrophy is 90.18g/m^2 with S.D $\pm 15.48 \text{g/m}^2$.

Table 6: Relation of Left ventricular mass index with Left ventricular hypertrophy

Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH)	Number	an LVMI(g/m^2)	S. D
Present	50	130.64	± 24.63
Absent	23	90.18	± 15.48

t-statistic = -7.233, $p < 0.0001$ i.e. highly significant

On applying independent sample t-test it is seen that there is a significant difference in values of Left ventricular mass index between the mean of two groups i.e. one with Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) and the other with no left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH).

The following table shows us that, the mean left ventricle internal diameter (LVIDd) in patients with LV hypertrophy is 4.43cm with S.D ± 0.48 cm, and in patients without it is 4.14cm with S.D ± 0.42 cm.

Table 7: Relation of Left Ventricular internal diameter (LVIDd) with left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH)

Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH)	Number	h LVIDd(cm)	S. D
Present	50	4.43	± 0.48
Absent	23	4.14	± 0.42

t-statistic = -2.420, $p = 0.018$

On applying independent sample t-test it is seen that there is a significant difference in values of left ventricle internal diameter (LVIDd) between the mean of two groups i.e. one with Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) and another with no Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH).



From the following Table-8, it is seen that the mean Interventricular septal thickness (IVSd) in the group of patients having LV hypertrophy is 1.32 cm with S.D. \pm 0.16 cm, and it is 1.13 cm with S.D. \pm 0.13 cm in those patients not having LV hypertrophy.

Table 8: Relation of Interventricular septal thickness (IVSd) with Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH)

Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH)	Number	Mean IVSd (cm)	S. D
Present	50	1.32	\pm 0.16
Absent	23	1.13	\pm 0.13

t-statistic = -5.229, $p < 0.0001$ i.e. highly significant

On applying independent sample t-test it is seen that there is a significant difference in values of interventricular septal thickness (IVSd) between the mean of two groups i.e. one with LV hypertrophy and another with no LV hypertrophy.

From the following table we see that, the mean posterior wall thickness (PWTd) of patients with LV hypertrophy is 1.27 cm with S.D. \pm 0.19 cm and in those with no LV hypertrophy it is 1.09 cm with S.D. \pm 0.12 cm.

Table 9: Relation of posterior wall thickness (PWTd) with Left Ventricular hypertrophy (LVH)

LVH	Number	Mean PWTd (cm)	S. D
Present	50	1.27	\pm 0.19
Absent	23	1.09	\pm 0.12

t-statistic = -3.857, $p < 0.0001$ i.e. highly significant

On applying independent sample t-test it is seen that there is a significant difference in values of PWTd between the mean of two groups i.e. one with Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) and the other without left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH).

- For other parameters like height, weight and body surface area, no significant difference was found between the mean of two groups i.e. one with LVH and another with no LVH. (all $p > 0.05$)
- The mean LVM in males was 226.44 ± 59.73 and in females was 181.84 ± 39.17 . On applying independent sample t-test, this difference was found to be statistically significant. ($p = 0.001$)
- The mean LVM in males was $121.66 \pm 32.34 \text{ g/m}^2$ and in females was $111.83 \pm 22.02 \text{ g/m}^2$. This difference is not statistically significant. ($p = 0.161$)
- Mean BSA in males was $1.75 \pm 0.15 \text{ m}^2$ and females was $1.77 \pm 0.13 \text{ m}^2$. This difference is not statistically significant. ($p = 0.622$)

Summary and Conclusions

Following conclusions can be drawn from the study-

- Diabetes Mellitus (21.91%) was the most common comorbidity associated with patients of Hypertension in our study, followed by CVA (12.32%). There was no associated comorbidity in 57.53% of patients.



- The mean Left ventricular rejection fraction(LVEF)inthe studywas60.88%(S.D±8.88%)
- In the study, 42 patients (57.53%) were on treatment, but there was foundto be no significant association between treatment and presence of

Itwillbeofprodigioushelpinscreeninghypertensive patientsfor the parameters ofleftventricular hypertrophy andLeft ventricular mass which can in long term help in better management ofhypertensionandfutureoutcomes.

Bibliography

- 1) HammondIW,DevereuxRB,Alderman MH,LutasEM,SpitzerM,Crowley JS, et al. The Prevalence and Correlates of EchocardiographicLeftVentricularHypertrophyAmongEmployedPatientsWithUncomplicatedHypertension.JACC.1986;7(3):639–50.
- 2) de Simone G, Devereux RB, Roman MJ, Alderman MH, Laragh JH. Relation of obesity and gender to left ventricular hypertrophy in normotensive and hypertensive adults. Hypertens (Dallas, Tex 1979) [Internet]. 1994 May [cited 2019 Nov 11];23(5):600–6
- 3) Tingleff J, Munch M, Jakobsen TJ, Torp-Pedersen C, Olsen ME, Jensen KH, et al. Prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy in a hypertensive population. Eur Heart J. 1996;17(1):143–9
- 4) Ogah OS, Bamgboye AE. Correlates of left ventricular mass in hypertensive Nigerians: an echocardiographic study. Cardiovasc J Afr. 2010;21(2):79
- 5) Koren MJ, Devereux RB, Casale PN, Savage DD, Laragh JH. Relation of left ventricular mass and geometry to morbidity and mortality in uncomplicated essential hypertension. Ann Intern Med. 1991;114 (5): 345–52
- 6) Völler H, Sonntag FJ, Thiery J, Wegscheider K, Luft FC, Bestehorn K. Management of high-risk patients with hypertension and left ventricular hypertrophy in Germany: Differences between cardiac specialists in the inpatient and outpatient setting. BMC Public Health. 2006 Oct 19;6
- 7) Ruilope LM, Schmieder RE. Left Ventricular Hypertrophy and Clinical Outcomes in Hypertensive Patients. Am J Hypertens [Internet]. 2008 May 1 [cited 2019 Nov 11];21(5):500–8
- 8) Gerdts E, Okin PM, Boman K, Wachtell K, Nieminen MS, Dahlöf B, et al. Association of heart failure hospitalizations with combined electrocardiography and echocardiography criteria for left ventricular hypertrophy. Am J Hypertens. 2012 Jun;25(6):678–83.
- 9) Ogah OS, Bamgboye AE. Correlates of left ventricular mass in hypertensive Nigerians: an echocardiographic study. Cardiovasc J Afr. 2010;21(2):79
- 10) Julio G. Peguero, Saberio Lo Presti, JorgePerez, OmarIssa, Juan C. Brenes, Alfonso Tolentino, et al.Electrocardiographic Criteria for theDiagnosis of Left Ventricular Hypertrophy. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. vol.69, no.13, 2017
- 11) Cuspidi C, Sala C, Negri F, Mancia G, Morganti A. Prevalence of left-ventricular hypertrophy in hypertension: An updated review of echocardiographic studies. Vol. 26, Journal of Human Hypertension. 2012. p. 343–9



- 12) Karakan S, Inan B. The relationship between left ventricular mass index and body composition in newly diagnosed hypertensive patients. *Clin Hypertens* [Internet]. 2015 Dec 12 [cited 2019 Nov 4];21(1):23
- 13) Martinez MA, Sancho T, Armada E, Rubio JM, Antón JL, Torre A, et al. Prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy in patients with mild hypertension in primary care: impact of echocardiography on cardiovascular risk stratification. *Am J Hypertens* [Internet]. 2003 Jul [cited 2019 Nov 11];16(7):556–63
- 14) Ogah OS, Bamgboye AE. Correlates of left ventricular mass in hypertensive Nigerians: an echocardiographic study. *Cardiovasc J Afr*. 2010;21(2):79
- 15) Cesare Cuspidi, Rita Facchetti, Michele Bombelli, Carla Sala, Guido Grassi and Giuseppe Mancia. Differential Value of Left Ventricular Mass Index and Wall Thickness in Predicting Cardiovascular Prognosis: Data From the PAMELA Population. *American Journal of Hypertension* 27(8) August 2014;(1079-1086)
- 16) Cuspidi C, Meani S, Sala C, Valerio C, Negri F, Mancia G. Age related prevalence of severe left ventricular hypertrophy in essential hypertension: Echocardiographic findings from the ETODH study. *Blood Press*. 2012 Jun;21(3):139–45.
- 17) Gerds E, Okin PM, De Simone G, Cramariuc D, Wachtell K, Boman K, et al. Gender differences in left ventricular structure and function during antihypertensive treatment: The Losartan intervention endpoint reduction in hypertension study. *Hypertension*. 2008 Apr;51(4 PART 2 SUPPL.):1109–14.
- 18) de Simone G, Devereux RB, Roman MJ, Alderman MH, Laragh JH. Relation of obesity and gender to left ventricular hypertrophy in normotensive and hypertensive adults. *Hypertens* (Dallas, Tex 1979) [Internet]. 1994 May [cited 2019 Nov 11];23(5):600–6.
- 19) Tingleff J, Munch M, Jakobsen TJ, Torp-Pedersen C, Olsen ME, Jensen KH, et al. Prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy in a hypertensive population. *Eur Heart J*. 1996;17(1):143–9.
- 20) Karakan S, Inan B. The relationship between left ventricular mass index and body composition in newly diagnosed hypertensive patients. *Clin Hypertens* [Internet]. 2015 Dec 12 [cited 2019 Nov 4];21(1):23.
- 21) Völler H, Sonntag FJ, Thiery J, Wegscheider K, Luft FC, Bestehorn K. Management of high-risk patients with hypertension and left ventricular hypertrophy in Germany: Differences between cardiac specialists in the inpatient and outpatient setting. *BMJ Public Health*. 2006 Oct 19;6.
- 22) Ruilope LM, Schmieder RE. Left Ventricular Hypertrophy and Clinical Outcomes in Hypertensive Patients. *Am J Hypertens* [Internet]. 2008 May 1 [cited 2019 Nov 11];21(5):500–8.
- 23) Sokolow M, Lyon TP. The ventricular complex in left ventricular hypertrophy as obtained by unipolar precordial and limb leads. *Am Heart J*. 1949;37(2):161–86.
- 24) Cohen A, Hagan AD, Watkins J, Mitas J, Schwartzman M, Mazzoleni A, et al. Clinical correlates in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy diagnosed with echocardiography. *Am J Cardiol*. 1981;47(2):335–41.
- 25) Du Bois D, Du Bois EF. A formula to estimate the approximate surface area if height and weight be known. 1916. *Nutrition*. 1989 Sep;5(5):303–11; discussion 312.



- 26) Devereux RB, Lutas EM, Casale PN, Kligfield P, Eisenberg RR, Hammond IW, et al. Standardization of M-mode echocardiographic left ventricular anatomic measurements. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1984;4(6):1222–30.
- 27) Martinez MA, Sancho T, Armada E, Rubio JM, Antón JL, Torre A, et al. Prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy in patients with mild hypertension in primary care: impact of echocardiography on cardiovascular risk stratification. *Am J Hypertens* [Internet]. 2003 Jul [cited 2019 Nov 11];16(7):556–63.
- 28) Cuspidi C, Sala C, Negri F, Mancia G, Morganti A. Prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertension: An updated review of echocardiographic studies. Vol. 26, *Journal of Human Hypertension*. 2012. p. 343–9.
- 29) Gerds E, Okin PM, Boman K, Wachtell K, Nieminen MS, Dahlöf B, et al. Association of heart failure hospitalizations with combined electrocardiography and echocardiography criteria for left ventricular hypertrophy. *Am J Hypertens*. 2012 Jun;25(6):678–83.
- 30) Ogah OS, Bamgboye AE. Correlates of left ventricular mass in hypertensive Nigerians: an echocardiographic study. *Cardiovasc J Afr*. 2010;21(2):79.
- 31) Mazzaro C do L, Costa F de A, Bombig MTN, Luna Filho B, Paola AAV de, Carvalho AC de C, et al. Ventricular mass and electrocardiographic criteria of hypertrophy: evaluation of new score. *Arq Bras Cardiol* [Internet]. 2008 Apr [cited 2019 Nov 11];90(4):227–31.
- 32) Julio G. Peguero, Saberio Lo Presti, Jorge Perez, Omar Issa, Juan C. Brenes, Alfonso Tolentino, et al. Electrocardiographic Criteria for the Diagnosis of Left Ventricular Hypertrophy. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. vol. 69, no. 13, 2017
- 33) Cesare Cuspidi, Rita Facchetti, Michele Bombelli, Carla Sala, Guido Grassi and Giuseppe Mancia. Differential Value of Left Ventricular Mass Index and Wall Thickness in Predicting Cardiovascular Prognosis: Data From the PAMELA Population. *American Journal of Hypertension* 27(8) August 2014; (1079-1086)

