



# Some Fixed Point Results in Tvs- Cone Metric Space using Contractive Conditions

Mamta Patel<sup>1</sup>, Sanjay Sharma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Delhi Public School, Durg, (C.G.)-490020, India;

<sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, Bhilai Institute of Technology, Durg, (C.G.)-491001, India.

E-mail id's: <sup>1</sup>mamtapatel@bitdurg.ac.in; <sup>2</sup>[ssharma\\_bit@yahoo.co.in](mailto:ssharma_bit@yahoo.co.in)

## Abstract:

In this article, we establish common fixed point results for four mappings in Tvs-conometric space with contractive conditions and we build up fixed point result for integral type mappings in Tvs-cone metric space as an application. The inspiration driving this paper is to develop a theory of the results demonstrated by A.K. Dubey et al. [4] for T-contraction mappings. The result here summarizes and expands a portion of the notable outcomes present in the writing (see [1], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9]).

**Keywords:** Complete metric space, Tvs-Cone Metric Space, Cauchy Sequence, Fixed Point, Continuous Mapping.

**DOI Number:** 10.48047/nq.2022.20.22.NQ10262

**NeuroQuantology 2022; 20(22): 2704-2718**

Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

## 1. Introduction:

The generalization of classical metric space was introduced by Huang and Zhang [11] by replacing an ordered Banach Space for the real numbers set, and proved some fixed point results with contractive mappings on cone metric spaces fulfilling different contractive conditions. Subsequently, numerous authors concentrated on cone metric spaces and obtained some fixed point theorems for cone metric spaces which was an extension of Banach's contraction mapping principle into conometric spaces. Du [9] has presented the idea of Tvs-conometric space as

an improved version of cone metric spaces [16]. Followed by the outcome, Fadailet al. [10] proved the unique fixed results utilizing c-distance in the conometric spaces. S.K. Tiwari et al. [18] proved fixed point results for generalized contractive mappings in cone metric spaces. A.K. Dubey et al. [4] proved fixed point results in Tvs-cone metric space with distance.

The purpose of this paper is to prove common fixed point theorems for four mappings with different contractive conditions in Tvs-conometric space. We provide an application to a system of integral equations to validate our results.

2704



2. **Preliminaries:** The accompanying definitions and notations will be needed in continuation. In this paper, we have signified set of real numbers by  $\mathbb{R}$ , a Banach Space by  $E$ .

**Definition 2.1 ([4]):** Let  $E$  be a real Banach space and  $P \subset E$ .  $\theta$  being the zero element in  $E$ , then  $P$  is called a cone iff:

- a)  $P$  is closed, non-empty,  $P \neq \{\theta\}$ .
- b) For all non-negative real numbers  $a, b$  and  $y, z \in P$  then  $ay + bz \in P$ .
- c)  $y \in P, -y \in P \Rightarrow y = \theta$  iff  $P \cap (-P) = \theta$ .

Given that the cone  $P$  is a subset of  $E$ , a partial ordering  $\leq$ , defined by  $y \leq z$

iff  $z - y \in P$  on  $E$  in which  $y \ll z$  stands for  $z - y \in P$ .  $P$  is solid if  $\text{int}P \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $y, z \in E$ , then there is a least number  $K > 0$  such that,

$$\theta \leq y \leq z \Rightarrow \|y\| \leq K \|z\|$$

Then, at that point, cone  $P$  is called normal and number  $K$  which fulfills the given condition is known as a normal constant.

**Definition 2.2 ([3,4,5]):** Suppose a vector valued mapping  $d_\alpha : X_1 \times X_1 \rightarrow E$ , where  $X_1$  be a non-empty set satisfies

- a) For every  $y, z \in X_1$ ,  $d_\alpha(y, z) \geq 0$  and  $d_\alpha(y, z) = 0$  iff  $y = z$ ,
- b) For every  $y, z \in X_1$ ,  $d_\alpha(y, z) = d_\alpha(z, y)$ ,
- c) For every  $y, z, w \in X_1$ ,  $d_\alpha(y, z) \leq d_\alpha(y, w) + d_\alpha(w, z)$ .

Then  $d_\alpha$  is said to be a tvs-cone metric on  $X_1$ , and the pair  $(X_1, d_\alpha)$  is tvs-cone metric space.

2705

**Definition 2.3 ([2,4,5]):** Let  $E$  be a real Banach space,  $(X_1, d_\alpha)$  be a tvs-cone metric space over  $E$  and  $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $X_1$ . Then

- a) For all  $c \in E$  with  $\theta \ll c$ ,  $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $X_1$ , then there exists a natural number  $N$  such that  $d_\alpha(y_n, y) \ll c \forall n \geq N$ . We represent this by  $y_n \rightarrow y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- b) For all  $c \in E$  with  $\theta \ll c$ ,  $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy Sequence if there is a natural number  $N$  such that  $d_\alpha(y_n, y_m) \ll c \forall n, m \geq N$ .
- c) If every Cauchy sequence in  $X_1$  is convergent, then  $(X_1, d_\alpha)$  is a complete Tvs-cone metric space.

**Lemma 2.4 ([2,4,5,16]):**



1) Let  $E$  be a real Banach space  $P$  be a cone and  $\alpha \leq \lambda b$ , where  $b \in P$  and  $\theta \leq \lambda < 1$ , then  $b = \theta$ .

2) If  $c \in \text{int } P$ ,  $\theta \leq b_n$  and  $b_n \rightarrow \theta$ , then there exists a positive integer  $N$  such a way that  $b_n \ll c \forall n \geq N$ .

### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 3(a):** Let  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be continuous mappings on a tvs-valued cone metric space  $(X_1, d_\alpha)$ , where  $A(X_1) \subseteq S(X_1)$  and  $B(X_1) \subseteq T(X_1)$  and suppose there exists mappings  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3 : X_1 \rightarrow [0, 1)$  satisfying the following conditions:

a)  $2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3)u < 1$  for  $y \in X_1$ .

b) i)  $d_\alpha(Ay, Bz) \leq \kappa_1(y)[d_\alpha(Sy, Tz) + d_\alpha(Ay, Sy)] + \kappa_2(y)[d_\alpha(Sy, Tz) + d_\alpha(Bz, Tz)] + \kappa_3(y)[d_\alpha(Sy, Tz) + d_\alpha(Sy, Bz) + d_\alpha(Ay, Tz)]$  and

ii)  $d_\alpha(Bz, Ay) \leq \kappa_1(z)[d_\alpha(Tz, Sy) + d_\alpha(Sy, Ay)] + \kappa_2(z)[d_\alpha(Tz, Sy) + d_\alpha(Tz, Bz)] + \kappa_3(z)[d_\alpha(Tz, Sy) + d_\alpha(Bz, Sy) + d_\alpha(Tz, Ay)]$  2706

for all  $y, z \in X_1$ , then the mappings  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  has a unique common fixed point  $y^* \in X$ .

**Proof.** Choose any random  $y_n \in X_1$ . Considering the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  such that

$$\text{Set } Ay_{2n} = Sy_{2n+1} = z_{2n+1},$$

$$By_{2n+1} = Ty_{2n+2} = z_{2n+2} \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

Then by putting  $y = y_{2n+1}$  and  $z = y_{2n+2}$  in b (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq d_\alpha(Ay_{2n}, By_{2n+1}) \\ & \leq \kappa_1(y_{2n})[d_\alpha(Sy_{2n}, Ty_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(Ay_{2n}, Sy_{2n})] + \kappa_2(y_{2n})[d_\alpha(Sy_{2n}, Ty_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(By_{2n+1}, Ty_{2n+1})] + \\ & \kappa_3(y_{2n})[d_\alpha(Sy_{2n}, Ty_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(Sy_{2n}, By_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(Ay_{2n}, Ty_{2n+1})] \\ & \leq \kappa_1(y_{2n})[d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})] + \kappa_2(y_{2n})[d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})] + \kappa_3(y_{2n})[d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \\ & + d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})] \\ & \leq \frac{2\kappa_1(y_{2n}) + \kappa_2(y_{2n}) + \frac{3}{2}\kappa_3(y_{2n})}{1 - \kappa_2(y_{2n}) - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_3(y_{2n})} d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})^2 \end{aligned}$$



Continuing in this way

$$d_{\alpha}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq \frac{2\kappa_1(y_0) + \kappa_2(y_0) + \frac{3}{2}\kappa_3(y_0)}{1 - \kappa_2(y_0) - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_3(y_0)}$$

Similarly by putting  $z = y_{2n+1}$  and  $y = y_{2n+2}$  in b) ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\alpha}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq d_{\alpha}(By_{2n+1}, Ay_{2n}) \\ &\leq \kappa_1(y_{2n+1})[d_{\alpha}(Ty_{2n+1}, Sy_{2n}) + d_{\alpha}(Ay_{2n}, Sy_{2n})] + \kappa_2(y_{2n+1})[d_{\alpha}(Ty_{2n+1}, Sy_{2n}) + d_{\alpha}(Ty_{2n+1}, By_{2n+1})] + \\ &\quad \kappa_3(y_{2n+1})[d_{\alpha}(Ty_{2n+1}, Sy_{2n}) + d_{\alpha}(By_{2n+1}, Sy_{2n}) + d_{\alpha}(Ty_{2n+1}, Ay_{2n})] \\ &\leq \kappa_1(y_{2n+1})[d_{\alpha}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d_{\alpha}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] + \kappa_2(y_{2n+1})[d_{\alpha}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d_{\alpha}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})] + \kappa_3(y_{2n+1})[d_{\alpha}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + \\ &\quad d_{\alpha}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}) + d_{\alpha}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq \frac{2\kappa_1(y_{2n+1}) + \kappa_2(y_{2n+1}) + \frac{3}{2}\kappa_3(y_{2n+1})}{1 - \kappa_2(y_{2n+1}) - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_3(y_{2n+1})} d_{\alpha}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \end{aligned}$$

2707

Continuing in the same manner

$$d_{\alpha}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{2\kappa_1(y_0) + \kappa_2(y_0) + \frac{3}{2}\kappa_3(y_0)}{1 - \kappa_2(y_0) - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_3(y_0)} d_{\alpha}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

Denote  $\rho_n = d_{\alpha}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) + d_{\alpha}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})$

Adding equations (1) and (2), we get

$\rho_n \leq p\rho_{n-1}$  with

$$0 \leq p = \frac{2\kappa_1(y_0) + \kappa_2(y_0) + \frac{3}{2}\kappa_3(y_0)}{1 - \kappa_2(y_0) - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_3(y_0)} < 1$$

Since  $2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3)u < 1$  for  $y \in X_1$  for all  $x \in X$ . By induction we get

$$\rho_n \leq p^n \rho_0 \text{ and } d_{\alpha}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq \rho_n \leq p^n [d_{\alpha}(y_0, y_1) + d_{\alpha}(y_1, y_0)]$$

Suppose  $m > n \geq 1$ . Then it follows that



$$D\alpha(y_n, y_m) \leq \frac{p^n}{1-p} [d_\alpha(y_0, y_1) + d_\alpha(y_1, y_0)] = \rho_n$$

Hence, from Lemma 2.3 it is obvious that  $\{\rho_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X_1$ . Since the given set  $X_1$  is complete, then  $y_n \rightarrow y^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $A, B, S, T$  are continuous mappings then  $y_{n+1} = Sy_{n+1} = Ay_n \rightarrow Ay^*$  and since the limit of a sequence in a cone metric space is unique, we get  $Ay^* = y^*$ . i.e.  $y^*$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A$  and  $S$ . Similarly we can prove that  $y^*$  is the unique common fixed point of  $B$  and  $T$ . Hence  $y^*$  is the common fixed point of  $A, B, S, & T$ .

Suppose that  $Ax = x$ , Then (i) implies that

$$d_\alpha(\rho, \rho) = d_\alpha(A\rho, B\rho)$$

$$\leq \kappa_1(\rho_0)[d_\alpha(S\rho, T\rho) + d_\alpha(A\rho, S\rho)] + \kappa_2(\rho_0)[d_\alpha(S\rho, T\rho) +$$

$$d_\alpha(B\rho, T\rho)] + \kappa_3(\rho_0)[d_\alpha(S\rho, T\rho) + \frac{d_\alpha(S\rho, B\rho) + d_\alpha(A\rho, T\rho)}{2}] \quad 2$$

2708

$$\leq 2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3)(\rho_0)d_\alpha(\rho, \rho) < 1 \text{ for } \rho \in X_1$$

then by property  $(P_5)$  it shows that  $d_\alpha(\rho, \rho) = \vartheta$

Finally let us assume that there is another fixed point  $z^*$  of  $A, B, S, & T$  then we have

$$d_\alpha(y^*, z^*) = d_\alpha(Ay^*, Bz^*)$$

$$\leq \kappa_1(y^*)[d_\alpha(Sy^*, T\rho) + d_\alpha(Ay^*, Sz^*)] + \kappa_2(y^*)[d_\alpha(Sy^*, Tz^*) +$$

$$d_\alpha(Bz^*, Tz^*)] + \kappa_3(y^*)[d_\alpha(Sy^*, Tz^*) + \frac{d_\alpha(Sy^*, Bz^*) + d_\alpha(Ay^*, Tz^*)}{2}]$$

$$2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3)(y^*)d_\alpha(y^*, z^*) \text{ for } \rho \in X_1$$

$2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3) < 1$  and by property  $(P_5)$  shows that  $d_\alpha(y^*, z^*) = \vartheta$  and also we have  $d_\alpha(y^*, y^*) = \vartheta$  Hence by lemma (1)  $y^* = z^*$ . The fixed point is unique.



**Theorem 3(b):** Let  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be continuous mappings on a tvs-valued cone metric space  $(X_1, d_\alpha)$ , where  $A(X_1) \subseteq S(X_1)$  and  $B(X_1) \subseteq T(X_1)$  and suppose there exists mappings  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \kappa_4: X_1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

a)  $2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3 + \kappa_4)u < 1$  for  $y \in X_1$ .

b) i)  $d_\alpha(Ay, Bz) \leq \kappa_1(y)[d_\alpha(Sy, Tz) + d_\alpha(Ay, Sy)] + \kappa_2(y)[d_\alpha(Sy, Tz) + d_\alpha(Bz, Tz)] + \kappa_3(y)[d_\alpha(Ay, Sy) + d_\alpha(Bz, Tz)] + \kappa_4(y)[d_\alpha(Bz, Tz) + \frac{d_\alpha(Sy, Bz) + d_\alpha(Ay, Tz)}{2}]$  and 2

ii)  $d_\alpha(Bz, Ay) \leq \kappa_1(z)[d_\alpha(Tz, Sy) + d_\alpha(Sy, Ay)] + \kappa_2(z)[d_\alpha(Tz, Sy) + d_\alpha(Tz, Bz)] + \kappa_3(z)[d_\alpha(Sy, Ay) + d_\alpha(Tz, Bz)] + \kappa_4(z)[d_\alpha(Tz, Bz) + \frac{d_\alpha(Bz, Sy) + d_\alpha(Tz, Ay)}{2}]$  2

for all  $y, z \in X_1$ , then the mappings  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  has a unique common fixed point  $y^* \in X$ .

**Proof.** Choose any random  $y^* \in X_1$ . Considering the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  such that

Set  $Ay_{2n} = Sy_{2n+1} = z_{2n+1}$ ,

$By_{2n+1} = Ty_{2n+2} = z_{2n+2}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

Then by putting  $y = y_{2n+1}$  and  $z = y_{2n+2}$  in b) i), we have

$d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq d_\alpha(Ay_{2n}, By_{2n+1})$



$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \kappa_1(y_{2n})[d_\alpha(Sy_{2n}, Ty_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(Ay_{2n}, Sy_{2n})] + \\ &\kappa_2(y_{2n})[d_\alpha(Sy_{2n}, Ty_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(By_{2n+1}, Ty_{2n+1})] + \kappa_3(y_{2n})[d_\alpha(Ay_{2n}, Sy_{2n}) \\ &+ d_\alpha(By_{2n+1}, Ty_{2n+1})] + \kappa_4(y_{2n})[d_\alpha(Sy_{2n}, Ty_{2n+1}) + \frac{d_\alpha(Sy_{2n}, By_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(Ay_{2n}, Ty_{2n+1})}{2}] \\ &\leq \kappa_1(y_{2n})[d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})] + \kappa_2(y_{2n})[d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + \\ &d_\alpha(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})] + \kappa_3(y_{2n})[d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})] + \kappa_4(y_{2n})[d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + \frac{d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})}{2}] \\ &\leq 2\kappa_1(y_{2n}) + \kappa_2(y_{2n}) + \kappa_3(y_{2n}) + 2\kappa_4(y_{2n})d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \quad \text{1} \\ &\quad \therefore d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \\ &\leq \frac{2\kappa_1(y_0) + \kappa_2(y_0) + \kappa_3(y_0) + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_4(y_0)}{1 - \kappa_2(y_0) - \kappa_3(y_0) - \frac{3}{4}\kappa_4(y_0)} d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + 1) \end{aligned}$$

2710

Continuing in this way

$$d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq \frac{2\kappa_1(y_0) + \kappa_2(y_0) + \kappa_3(y_0) + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_4(y_0)}{1 - \kappa_2(y_0) - \kappa_3(y_0) - \frac{3}{4}\kappa_4(y_0)} d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

Similarly by putting  $z = y_{2n+1}$  and  $y = y_{2n+2}$  in (b) ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq d_\alpha(By_{2n+1}, Ay_{2n}) \\ &\leq \kappa_1(y_{2n+1})[d_\alpha(Ty_{2n+1}, Sy_{2n}) + d_\alpha(Ay_{2n}, Sy_{2n})] + \end{aligned}$$

$$\kappa_2(y_{2n+1})[d_\alpha(Ty_{2n+1}, Sy_{2n}) + d_\alpha(Ty_{2n+1}, By_{2n+1})] + \kappa_3(y_{2n+1})[d_\alpha(Sy_{2n}, Ay_{2n}) +$$

$$d_\alpha(Ty_{2n+1}, By_{2n+1})] + \kappa_4(y_{2n+1})[d_\alpha(Ty_{2n+1}, Sy_{2n}) + \frac{d_\alpha(By_{2n+1}, Sy_{2n}) + d_\alpha(Ty_{2n+1}, Ay_{2n})}{2}] \quad \text{2}$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \kappa_1(y_{2n+1})[d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] + \\ &\kappa_2(y_{2n+1})[d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})] + \kappa_3(y_{2n+1})[d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + \\ &d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})] + \kappa_4(y_{2n+1})[d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + \frac{d_\alpha(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})}{2}] \\ &\leq 2\kappa_1(y_{2n+1}) + \kappa_2(y_{2n+1}) + 2\kappa_4(y_{2n+1})d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \\ &d_\alpha(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{2\kappa_1(y_{2n}) + \kappa_2(y_{2n}) + \kappa_3(y_{2n}) + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_4(y_{2n})}{1 - \kappa_2(y_{2n}) - \kappa_3(y_{2n}) - \frac{3}{2}\kappa_4(y_{2n})} d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in the same manner

$$d_\alpha(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{2\kappa_1(y_0) + \kappa_2(y_0) + \kappa_3(y_0) + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_4(y_0)}{1 - \kappa_2(y_0) - \kappa_3(y_0) - \frac{3}{2}\kappa_4(y_0)} d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

2711

Denote  $\rho_n = d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})$

Adding equations (3) and (4), we get

$\rho_n \leq p\rho_{n-1}$  with

$$0 \leq p = \frac{2\kappa_1(y_0) + \kappa_2(y_0) + \kappa_3(y_0) + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_4(y_0)}{1 - \kappa_2(y_0) - \kappa_3(y_0) - \frac{3}{2}\kappa_4(y_0)} < 1$$





Since  $2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3 + \kappa_4) < 1$  for  $y \in X_1$  for all  $y \in X_1$ . By induction we get

$$\rho_n \leq \rho^n \rho_0 \text{ and } d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq \rho_n \leq \rho^n [d_\alpha(y_0, y_1) + d_\alpha(y_1, y_0)]$$

Suppose  $m > n \geq 1$ . Then it follows that

$$d_\alpha(y_n, y_m) \leq \frac{\rho^n}{1 - \rho} [d_\alpha(y_0, y_1) + d_\alpha(y_1, y_0)] = \rho_n$$

Hence, from Lemma 2.3 it is obvious that  $\{\rho_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X_1$ . Since the given set  $X_1$  is complete, then  $y_n \rightarrow y^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $A, B, S, T$  are continuous mappings then  $y_{n+1} = S y_{n+1} = A y_n A y^*$  and since the limit of a sequence in tvs-cone metric space is unique, we get  $A y^* = y^* = S y^*$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A$  and  $S$ . Similarly we can prove that  $y^*$  is unique common fixed point of  $B$  and  $T$ . Hence  $y^*$  is the common fixed point of  $A, B, S, T$ .

Suppose that  $Ax = x$ , Then b (i) implies that

$$d_\alpha(\rho, \rho) = d_\alpha(A\rho, B\rho)$$

2712

$$\leq \kappa_1(\rho_0)[d_\alpha(S\rho, T\rho) + d_\alpha(A\rho, S\rho)] + \kappa_2(\rho_0)[d_\alpha(S\rho, T\rho) + d_\alpha(B\rho, T\rho)] +$$

$$\kappa_3(\rho_0)[d_\alpha(A\rho, S\rho) + d_\alpha(B\rho, T\rho)] + \kappa_4(\rho_0)[d_\alpha(S\rho, T\rho) + \frac{d_\alpha((S\rho, B\rho) + d_\alpha(A\rho, T\rho))}{2}]$$

2

$$2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3 + \kappa_4)(\rho_0)d_\alpha(\rho, \rho) < 1 \text{ for } \rho \in X_1$$

then by property  $(P_5)$  it shows that  $d_\alpha(\rho, \rho) = \theta$



Finally let us assume that there is another fixed point  $z^*$  of  $A, B, S, & T$  then we have

$$d_\alpha(y^*, z^*) = d_\alpha(Ay^*, Bz^*)$$

$$\leq \kappa_1(y^*)[d_\alpha(Sy^*, Tz^*) + d_\alpha(Ay^*, Sz^*)] + \kappa_2(y^*)[d_\alpha(Sy^*, Tz^*)$$

$$+ d_\alpha(Bz^*, Tz^*)] + \kappa_3(y^*)[d_\alpha(Ay^*, Sy^*) + d_\alpha(Bz^*, Tz^*)] +$$

$$\kappa_4(y^*) \left[ d_\alpha(Sy^*, Tz^*) + \frac{d_\alpha(Sy^*, Bz^*) + d_\alpha(Ay^*, Tz^*)}{2} \right]$$

$$\leq 2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3 + \kappa_4)(y^*) d_\alpha(y^*, z^*) \text{ for } \rho \in X_1$$

$2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3 + \kappa_4) < 1$  and by property (P<sub>5</sub>) shows that  $d_\alpha(y^*, z^*) = \theta$  and also we have  $d_\alpha(y^*, y^*) = \theta$ . Hence by lemma 1.1)  $y^* = z^*$ . The fixed point is unique.

#### 4. Applications:

Let  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be continuous mappings on a tvs-valued cone metric space  $(X_1, d_\alpha)$ , where  $A(X_1) \subseteq S(X_1)$  and  $B(X_1) \subseteq T(X_1)$  and suppose there exists real numbers  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \kappa_4 \in [0, 1)$  satisfying the following conditions:

a)  $2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3) < 1$  for  $y \in X_1$ .

$$\text{b) (i) } \int_0^{d_\alpha(Ay, Bz)} \psi(t) dt \leq \kappa_1 \left[ \int_0^{d_\alpha(Sy, Tz) + d_\alpha(Ay, Sy)} \psi(t) dt + \kappa_2 \int_0^{d_\alpha(Sy, Tz) + d_\alpha(Bz, Tz)} \psi(t) dt + \right. \\ \left. \kappa_3 \int_0^{d_\alpha(Sy, Tz) + \frac{d_\alpha(Sy, Bz) + d_\alpha(Ay, Tz)}{2}} \psi(t) dt \right] \text{ and}$$



$$(ii) \int_0^{d_\alpha(Bz, Ay)} \psi(t) dt \leq k_1 \int_0^{d_\alpha(Tz, Sy) + d_\alpha(Sy, Ay)} \psi(t) dt + k_1 \int_0^{d_\alpha(Tz, Sy) + d_\alpha(Tz, Bz)} \psi(t) dt + k_3 \int_0^{[d_\alpha(Tz, Sy) + \frac{d_\alpha(Sy, Bz) + d_\alpha(Tz, Ay)}{2}]} \psi(t) dt$$

for all  $y, z \in X_1$ , then the mappings  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  has a unique common fixed point  $y^* \in X$ .

$$\int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})} \psi(t) dt = \int_0^{d_\alpha(Ay_{2n}, Bz_{2n})} \psi(t) dt \leq k_1 \int_0^{d_\alpha(Sy_{2n}, Ty_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(Ay_{2n}, Sy_{2n})} \psi(t) dt + k_2 \int_0^{d_\alpha(Sy_{2n}, Ty_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(By_{2n+1}, Ty_{2n+1})} \psi(t) dt + k_3 \int_0^{[d_\alpha(Sy_{2n}, Ty_{2n+1}) + \frac{d_\alpha(Sy_{2n}, By_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(Ay_{2n}, Ty_{2n+1})}{2}]} \psi(t) dt \leq k_1 \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})} \psi(t) dt + k_2 \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})} \psi(t) dt + k_3 \int_0^{[d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + \frac{d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})}{2}]} \psi(t) dt \leq 2k_1 + k_2 + \frac{3}{2} k_3 \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})} \psi(t) dt$$

2714

$$\int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})} \psi(t) dt \leq \frac{2k_1 + k_2 + \frac{3}{2} k_3}{1 - k_2 - \frac{1}{2} k_3} \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})} \psi(t) dt$$

Similarly by putting  $z = y_{2n+1}$  and  $y = y_{2n+2}$  in (b)(ii), we have

$$\int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})} \psi(t) dt = \int_0^{d_\alpha(Bz, Ay)} \psi(t) dt \leq k_1 \int_0^{d_\alpha(Ty_{2n+1}, Sy_{2n}) + d_\alpha(Sy_{2n}, Ay_{2n})} \psi(t) dt + k_2 \int_0^{d_\alpha(Ty_{2n+1}, Sy_{2n}) + d_\alpha(Ty_{2n+1}, By_{2n+1})} \psi(t) dt +$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & k_3 \int_0^{d_\alpha(Ty_{2n+1}, Sy_{2n}) + \frac{d_\alpha(By_{2n+1}, Sy_{2n}) + d_\alpha(Ty_{2n+1}, Ay_{2n})}{2}} \psi(t) dt \\
 & \leq k_1 \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})} \psi(t) dt + k_2 \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})} \psi(t) dt + \\
 & k_3 \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + \frac{d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})}{2}} \psi(t) dt \\
 & \leq 2k_1 + k_2 + \frac{3}{2}k_3 \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})} \psi(t) dt \\
 \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})} \psi(t) dt & \leq \frac{2k_1 + k_2 + \frac{3}{2}k_3}{1 - k_2 - \frac{1}{2}k_3} \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})} \psi(t) dt
 \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this way

$$\int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})} \psi(t) dt \leq \frac{2k_1 + k_2 + \frac{3}{2}k_3}{1 - k_2 - \frac{1}{2}k_3} \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})} \psi(t) dt \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \rho_n = \\
 & \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})} \psi(t) dt + \\
 & \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})} \psi(t) dt
 \end{aligned}$$

2715

Adding equations (5) and (6), we get

$\rho_n \leq p \rho_{n-1}$  with

$$0 \leq p = \frac{2k_1 + k_2 + \frac{3}{2}k_3}{1 - k_2 - \frac{1}{2}k_3} < 1$$

Since  $2(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) < 1$ . By induction we get

$$\rho_n \leq p^n \rho_0 \text{ and } \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})} \psi(t) dt \leq \rho_n \leq p^n \left[ \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_0, y_1)} \psi(t) dt + \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_1, y_0)} \psi(t) dt \right]$$



Suppose  $m > n \geq 1$ . Then it follows that

$$\int_0^{d_\alpha(y_n, y_m)} \psi(t) dt \leq \frac{p^n}{1-p} \left[ \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_0, y_1)} \psi(t) dt + \int_0^{d_\alpha(y_1, y_0)} \psi(t) dt \right] = \rho_n$$

hence from Lemma 2.3 it is obvious that  $\{\rho_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X_1$ . Since the given set  $X_1$  is complete, then  $y_n \rightarrow y^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $A, B, S, T$  are continuous mappings then  $y_{n+1} = Sy_{n+1} = Ay_n, Ay^*$  and since the limit of a sequence in tvs-cone metric space is unique, we get  $Ay^* = y^*$ .  $y^*$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A$

and  $S$ . Similarly we can prove that  $y^*$  is unique common fixed point of  $B$  and  $T$ . Hence  $y^*$  is the common fixed point of  $A, B, S, & T$ .

Suppose that  $Ax = x$ , Then b i) implies that

$$\int_0^{d_\alpha(\rho, \rho)} \psi(t) dt = \int_0^{d_\alpha(A\rho, B\rho)} \psi(t) dt$$

2716

$$\leq k_1 \int_0^{d_\alpha(S\rho, T\rho) + d_\alpha(A\rho, S\rho)} \psi(t) dt + k_2 \int_0^{d_\alpha(S\rho, T\rho) + d_\alpha(B\rho, T\rho)} \psi(t) dt + k_3 \int_0^{d_\alpha d_\alpha(S\rho, T\rho) + \frac{d_\alpha(S\rho, B\rho) + d_\alpha(A\rho, T\rho)}{2}} \psi(t) dt$$

$$\leq 2(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \int_0^{d_\alpha(\rho, \rho)} \psi(t) dt < 1 \text{ for } \rho \in X_1$$

then by property  $\int_0^{d_\alpha(\rho, \rho)} \psi(t) dt = \theta$  it shows that

Finally let us assume that there is another fixed point  $z^*$  of  $A, B, S, & T$  then we have

$$\int_0^{d_\alpha(y^*, z^*)} \psi(t) dt = \int_0^{d_\alpha(Ay^*, z^*)} \psi(t) dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq k_1 \int_0^{d_\alpha(Sy^*, T\rho) + d_\alpha(Ay^*, Sz^*)} \psi(t) dt \\ &+ k_2 \int_0^{d_\alpha(Sy^*, Tz^*) + d_\alpha(Bz^*, Tz^*)} \psi(t) dt \\ &+ \end{aligned}$$

$$k_3 \int_0^{d_\alpha(Sy^*, Tz^*) + \frac{d_\alpha(Sy^*, Bz^*) + d_\alpha(Ay^*, Tz^*)}{2}} \psi(t) dt.$$

$$\leq 2(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \int_0^{d_\alpha(y^*, z^*)} \psi(t) dt \text{ for } \rho \in X_1$$

$2(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) < 1$  and by property (P<sub>5</sub>) shows that  $\int_0^{d_\alpha(y^*, z^*)} \psi(t) dt = \theta$  and also we have  $\int_0^{d_\alpha(y^*, z^*)} \psi(t) dt = \theta$ . Hence by lemma 1(1)  $y^* = z^*$ . The fixed point is unique.

### 5. Conclusion:

In this attempt, unique common fixed point results for four mappings in tvs- cone metric space is proved with conclusions. The outcomes generalizes and extends the ongoing aftereffects of Dubey A.K et.al., 5] with new contractive conditions.

### References:

1. Abusalim, S.M and Noorani, M.S.M. (2014). Generalized distance in cone metric spaces and tripled coincidence point and common tripled fixed point theorems. For East journal of athenatical Sciences, Vol-91, no.1, 65-87.
2. Cho, Y.J. Saadati, R. and Wang, S. (2011). Common fixed point theorems on generalized distance in ordered cone metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl., Vol 61,1254-1260.
3. Dubey, A.K, Verma, R. and Dubey, R.P. (2015). Cone metric spaces and Fixed point theorems of contractive mapping for c-distance. Int. J. Math and its appl., Vol-3 1), 83-88.
4. Dubey, A.K, Mishra, Urmila Singh N.K, and Pandey M.D, (2020). New fixed point results for T- contractive mapping with c-distance in cone metric spaces. Facta
5. Dubey, A.K, and Urmila Mishra. (2016). Some fixed point results single valued mapping for c-distance in Tvs-cone metric spaces. Filomat 30:11, FIL1611925D, 2915-2934.
6. Fadail, Z.M., Ahmad, A.G.B and Golubovic, Z. (2012). Fixed point theorems of single valued mapping for c-distance in cone metric spaces. Abstract and applied analysis, article ID 826815 ,1-11.
7. Fadail, Z.M., Ahmad, A.G.B and Paunovic, L. (2012). New Fixed point results of single valued mapping for c-distance in cone metric spaces. Abstract and applied analysis, article ID 639713 ,1-12.
8. Fadail, Z.M., Ahmad, A.G.B and Radenovic, S. (2013). Common Fixed point and fixed point results under c-distance in cone metric spaces. Applied mathematics and Information Science Letter, Vol-1, no.2, 47-52.
9. Fadail, Z.M., Ahmad, A.G.B. (2012). Common coupled Fixed point theorems of single -valued mapping for c-distance in cone metric spaces. Journal of Applied Mathematics, Vol-2012, article

2717



- ID901792,1-24.
10. Fadail, Z.M., Ahmad, A.G.B 2013). New coupled Coincidence point and common Fixed point results in cone metric spaces with c-distance. For East journal of Mathematical Sciences, Vol-77, no.1, 65-84.
  11. Fadail, Z.M., Ahmad, A.G.B 2012). Coupled Fixed point theorems of singlevalued mapping for c-distance in
  13. Huang L.G. and Zhang.X. 2007). Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of Contractive Mappings. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332, 1468- 1476.
  14. 14.Kada, O., Suzuki, T. and Takahashi, W. 1996). Non convex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces. Mathemat- ica Japonica, Vol-44, 381-391.
  15. 15.Md.Abbas., and Rhoades.B.E. 2009), Fixed and periodic point results in cone metric spaces,Appl.Math,Lett.,Vol-22,511-515.
  16. 16.M.Dordevic.,D.Dordevic.Z.Kadelburg,S.Rdenovic,D.Spasic.2011). Fixed point results underc-distance in tvs conometric spaces. Fixed point TheoryandApplications;http://dx.doi.org/10.1886,1687-1812-2011-29.
  17. 17.Sintunavarat, W., Cho, Y.J and Kumam, P. 2011). Common fixed point theoremsforc-distance inordered conometric spaces, Comput.andMath. Appl. Vol-62,1969-1978.
  18. 18.Tiwari, S.K., Das. Kaushik., Sahu. G.K. 2018). Cone Metric Spaces and Extension of Fixed Point Theorem for Contraction Mappings Applying c-distance IOSR Journal of Mathematics, 2278-5728, 9-11.
  19. 19.Wang, S. & Gao, B., 2011). Distance in cone metric spaces and common fixed point theorems. Applied Mathematics Letters .Vol-24, 17-1739.
  - cone metric spaces. Journal of Applied mathematics article 246516,1-20.
  12. Fernandez, J., Saelee, S., Saxena, K., Malviya, N., Kumam, P.2017),The A-coemetric space over Banach Algebra with applications, Cogent Mathematics and Statistics, 4:1282690, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23311835.2017.1282690>.

