



BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES OF *EUPHORBIA HIRTA* L. (EUPHORBIACEAE) TREATED ARMYWORM, *SPODOPTERA LITURA* FAB. (LEPIDOPTERA: NOCTUIDAE)

P. AROCKIA MARY FERNANDEZ¹, R. SARANYA¹, R. NIRANJANI⁵, Y. S. SANTHI PON INDIRA³, GA. BAKAVATHIAPPAN⁴, M. PUSHPALATHA¹, S. LOK KIRUBAHAR, D. MANIKANDARAJA, S. LINGATHURAI^{1,2,*}

¹PG Department of Zoology, Pachaiyappa's College for Men, Kanchipuram – 631 501, Tamil Nadu

²Department of Zoology and Research Centre, Aditanar College of Arts and Science, Tiruchendur – 628 216, Tamil Nadu

³Department of Zoology, Pope's College Sawyerpuram, Thoothukudi – 628251, Tamil Nadu

⁴Department of Zoology, Saiva Bhanu Kshathirya College, Aruppukottai – 626 101, Tamil Nadu

⁵Department of Zoology, Sethupathy Government Arts College, Ramanathapuram – 623 501, Tamil Nadu

*Corresponding Author, Dr. S. Lingathurai, E-mail: lings02@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Toxic effect of crude seed extracts of *Euphorbia hirta* from Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu, India, was evaluated against the armyworm, *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) using different solvent extracts. All the four different viz., Hexane, Chloroform, ethyl acetate and water extracts were subjected to preliminary phytochemical assay. All the extracts showed insecticidal activity with dose dependent manner with four different concentrations (0.625, 1.25, 2.5 and 5%). High insecticidal activities were observed in 5 percent concentrations of chloroform extract and showed 65.33% mortality of *S.litura*. Chloroform extract showed lowest value of lethal concentration values i.e., gave LC₅₀ value 358.59 % *S. litura*. Effective and active extract (chloroform) further tested growth inhibitory activity was tested against *S. litura*. Larval weight, pupal weight and adult durations were inhibited with increasing concentrations of treatments. Further glutathione S-transferase and monooxygenase enzymes were inhibited when increasing concentrations of treatments. Our results indicate that *E. hirta* had potential for development as botanical insecticides, especially for local use.

KEYWORDS: *Euphorbia hirta*, solvent extract, *Spodoptera litura*, insecticidal activity, Growth inhibitory, detoxification enzyme

DOI Number: 10.48047/nq.2022.20.19.nq99488

NeuroQuantology 2022;20(19):5198-5203

INTRODUCTION

In recent technology, pesticide manufacture have focused research in develop plant related compound pesticide Despite all the efforts exerted in protecting crops from noxious pests all over the world, eISSN1303-5150

losses due to these causes can annually reach 10–20% (Ferry et al., 2004), still remaining a challenge to be resolved. Currently, synthetic insecticides are the most used mechanisms to control pests. However, concerns over the development of resistance, toxicity and www.neuroquantology.com



environmental pollution associated with conventional synthetic insecticides compel us to look for new compounds. Attention is being directed towards plants that can be an alternative to synthetic insecticides because they have evolved together with herbivorous insects, developing mechanisms to interact and defend themselves. In this respect, plants are able to synthesize a broad range of different chemical compounds called secondary metabolites, many of them providing new sources of natural pesticides.

Spodoptera litura infest tomatoes, cotton, millets, maize, groundnuts, potatoes, soybeans, barley, sweet potatoes, and several horticultural crops worldwide (Ramzan et al., 2019). Medicinal plant extracts have undergone testing to determine whether they have any insecticidal, antifeedant, or repellent properties against field crop pests and storage pests (Lingathurai et al., 2013 and Tharamak et al., 2020). *Euphorbia hirta* (Euphorbiaceae) is a small annual herb. The plant is commonly called pill bearing spurge and asthma herb and the stem is slender. The leaves are oppositely arranged, lanceolate and are usually greenish or reddish underneath measuring about 5cm long. The plant leaves are used to treat colic troubles, dysentery, cough, asthma, worms and vomiting. The white latex is used as eye drops to cure conjunctivitis. Paste of leaf is applied externally (twice daily) on the place of scorpion bite. Therefore present study was planned to evaluate laboratory experiments of leaf extract of *E. hirta* Linn.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fresh leaves of *Euphorbia hirta* leaf powder (500 gm) and soaked with hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate solvent and water extracts was prepared (Plate 1). Phytochemical analysis of *E. hirta* extracts was done by Horborne, 1984. The test insect *Spodoptera litura* is cultured and tested by extract of *E. hirta*. The insecticidal activity and growth inhibitory activity (Isman et al., 2001) experiments were conducted using the third instar larvae of *S. litura* (Singh & Bapatla, 2022). The effective extract was studied by

detoxifying enzymes (Glutathione γ -S transferase and monooxygenase) activity (Oppenoorth et al., 1979). Field bio-efficacy and GC-MS studied were conducted with effective extract.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The extract showed a greater yield for chloroform (3.68 gm) then followed by hexane, water and ethyl acetate extracts, that yielded 2.54, 1.82 and 1.25 gm (Table 1). Hexane extract contains tannins and terpenoids. Chloroform showed alkaloids, steroids, flavonoids and terpenoids. Ethyl acetate and water extracts resulted with alkaloids, anthraquinones, phenolics and saponins, flavonoids and phenolics (Table 2). Among different solvent extracts, chloroform extract was found to be more active and potential followed by ethyl acetate, hexane and water extracts. According to Situngkir (2018), *Euphorbia hirta*, which contains tannins, saponins, flavonoids, alkaloids, and steroids compositions can control *S. litura*. He tested these secondary metabolites can be toxic to the stomach or contact poison to the insect pest.

At 5% concentration of treatment chloroform extract exhibited maximum and significant larvicidal activity towards *Spodoptera litura*. Significant and dose dependent mortality was observed in all the treatment groups. Mortality of larvae was calculated with different concentrations as variable revealed significant difference in larval mortality ($P \leq 0.05$ level) (Table 3 and 4). Moawad and Sadek (2018) reported similar results about the mortality of pests.

Growth inhibitory activity was assayed with an effective extract chloroform extract (Table 5) with increasing concentration of treatment showed decreased level of larval and pupal weights. All the treatments showed concentration dependent activity. At 5% concentration level of treatment, larval and pupal weight reduced significantly. Adult durations also decreased significantly with increased concentration of treatment.



In the present study two detoxification enzyme levels (Glutathione S-transferase and Monooxygenase) was estimated in the larvae of *S. litura* in chloroform treated (Table 6). When increasing concentration of these enzyme levels were reduced, all the treatments showed dose depended activity. Malformed insect were developed with all the treatments (Plate 2). As this plant has a high potential source of phytochemicals, it is useful to protect our crops from herbivorous insects, with interesting perspectives on ecological systems of food production.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are highly thankful to the concerned institute. The authors wish to thank Tamil Nadu State Council for Science and Technology (TNSCST), Chennai, Tamil Nadu for providing the funding sources (Student Project Scheme - Ref No: AR – 13; Letter No. TNSCST/SPS/AR/2013-2014).

REFERENCES

Horborne SB. 1984. A guide to modern techniques of plant analysis. Chapman and Hall, London. 4-80.3.
Isman MB Andrew JW and Passreiter, 2001 . *Fitoterapia*. 72: 65-68.
Oppenoorth FJ Smissaert HR Welling W van den Pas LJT Hitman KT. 1979. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 7, 34.
Ferry, N., Edwards, M., Gatehouse, J., Gatehouse, M., 2004. Plant-insect interactions: molecular approaches to insect resistance. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 15, 155–161.
Singh, D., & Bapatla, K. G. (2022). Toxicity and lethal effects of herbaceous plant crude extracts against *Spodoptera litura*. *The Journal of Basic and Applied Zoology*, 83, 8.

Moawad, S. S., & Sadek, H. E. (2018). Evaluation of two eco friendly botanical oils on cotton leaf worm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd) (Lepidoptera/Noctuidae). *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*, 63(2), 141- 144.

Arfa Safder, Zohaib Afzal, Fizza Munawar, Ahad Masood Hashmi, Rafia Bakht Ali, Nagina Amanat, 2022, Efficacy of botanicals extracts against *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) under laboratory condition, *Journal of Scientific Agriculture* 2022, 6: 32-35

Ramzan, M., Murtaza, G., Javaid, M., Iqbal, N., Raza, T., Arshad, A., & Awais, M. (2019). Comparative efficacy of newer insecticides against *Plutella xylostella* and *Spodoptera litura* on cauliflower under laboratory conditions. *Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Biosciences*, 7(5), 1-7.

Situngkir, O. B. (2018). Uji Efektifitas Beberapa Insektisida Nabati Terhadap Mortalitas Ulat Grayak (*Spodoptera litura* F.) (Lepidoptera; Noctuidae) di Laboratorium. (Skripsi) Universitas Sumatera Utara.

Lingathurai S, 2013, Biological, Physiological and Biochemical effects of chosen Plants and isolated compound against *Helicoverpa armigera* Hub. and *Spodoptera litura* Fab. (Lepidoptera : Noctuidae). Ph. D., Thesis, Entomology Research Insititute, Loyola College (University of Madras), Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Tharamak, S., Yooboon, T., Pengsook, A., Ratwatthananon, A., Kumrungsee, N., Bullangpoti, V., & Pluempanupat, W. (2020). Synthesis of thymyl esters and their insecticidal activity against *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Pest Management Science*, 76(3), 928-935.



Plate 1. *Euphorbia hirta* plant



Table 1. Yield of *Euphorbia hirta* crude extracts

Solvent	Quantity of Yield (gm)
Hexane	2.54
Chloroform	3.68
Ethyl acetate	1.25
Water	1.82

5201

Table 2. Preliminary phytochemical analysis of *Euphorbia hirta* extracts

Extracts	Phytochemicals									
	Alk	Ste	Sap	Tan	Fla	Ter	Ant	Qui	Phe	Cou
Hexane	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
Chloroform	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
Ethyl acetate	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-
Water	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-

(Alk: Alkaloids, Ste: Steroids, Sap: Saponins, Tan: Tannins, Fla: Flavonoids, Ter: Terpenoids, Ant: Anthraquinones, Qui: Quinones, Phe: Phenolics and Cou: Coumarines) (+ present and - absent)

Table 3. Insecticidal activity of *Euphorbia hirta* against *Spodoptera litura* larvae

Extract	Concentration (ppm)			
	0.625	1.25	2.50	5
Hexane	0.0±0.00 _a	3.33±0.72 _b	12.00±1.77 _b	26.67±2.36 _c
Chloroform	0.0±0.00 _a	16.67±3.59 _c	30.00±3.20 _c	65.33±4.00 _e
Ethyl acetate	0.0±0.00 _a	20.00±2.19 _d	36.67±2.07 _d	48.67±2.18 _d
Water	0.0±0.00 _a	0.0±0.00 _a	0.0±0.00 _a	10.00±1.53 _b
Control	0.00±0.00 _a			

within columns, means (± SD) followed by a same letter do not differ significantly (Turkey's test, $P < 0.05$ level)

Table 4. Lethal concentrations of *Euphorbia hirta* treated larval mortality towards *Spodoptera litura*

Extracts	LC ₅₀ (%)	95% Fiducial limit		LC ₉₀ (%)	95% Fiducial limit		Chi-square
		Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper	
Hexane	929.18	669.461	1705.553	3599.41	1896.22	12687.34	0.855*
Chloroform	358.59	215.906	1687.638	1079.93	513.43	15144.79	6.037
Ethyl acetate	578.18	414.560	1030.516	5693.13	2450.37	29199.05	1.800*
Water	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

5202

* χ^2 values are significant at $P < 0.05$ level

Table 5. Growth inhibitory activity of chloroform extract of *Euphorbia hirta* treated *Spodoptera litura*

Concentrations (%)	Larval weight (mg)	Pupal weight (mg)	Adult duration (days)
0.625	127.38±2.96 _b	94.17±2.80 _b	5.65±1.02 _a
1.25	107.22±2.46 _c	78.14±2.27 _c	4.62±0.36 _{ab}
2.5	86.20±2.15 _d	59.80±1.22 _{de}	3.92±0.33 _b
5	62.49±1.58 _e	57.44±1.44 _{de}	3.64±0.18 _{bc}
Control	150.70±4.66 _a	103.24±3.28 _a	6.20±0.84 _a

within columns, means (\pm SD) followed by a same letter do not differ significantly (Turkey's test, $P < 0.05$ level)

Table 6. Detoxifying enzyme activities of chloroform extract of *Euphorbia hirta* treated *Spodoptera litura*

Concentrations (%)	Detoxifying enzyme activity	
	Glutathione S-transferase (nM/min/mg of protein)	Monoxygenase mOD/min/mg proteins
0.625	2.176±0.52 _b	8.715±1.30 _b
1.25	1.894±0.33 _c	2.937±1.12 _c
2.5	0.299±0.37 _d	0.145±0.83 _d
5	0.232±0.14 _e	0.128±0.42 _e
Control	4.380±0.42 _a	11.232± 1.61 _a

within columns, means (\pm SD) followed by a same letter do not differ significantly (Turkey's test, $P < 0.05$ level)

Plate 2. Abnormal pupae and adult after the treatment



Incomplete development of pupa



Poorly developed body, wings and antennae segments