



Manual Dilatation of Anus with Fissurectomy versus Lateral Sphincterotomy in the Treatment of Chronic Fissure inAno -An Observational Study

Dr.Varun Rajan

Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Karpagam Faculty of Medical Sciences and Research, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Incontinence to flatus and faecal soiling are distressing complications of sphincterotomy that may occur in up to 35% of patients. Surgical techniques that preserve the anal sphincter, such as manual anal dilatation with fissurectomy may reduce the possibility of postoperative faecal incontinence. This work was carried out to study the efficacy of manual anal dilatation with fissurectomy against lateral internal sphincterotomy in the treatment of chronic fissure-in-ano.

METHODS

Based on random selection, 120 patients underwent either manual dilatation of the anus with fissurectomy or lateral sphincterotomy. Complications related to the procedures were recorded. The first visit was scheduled within one week, followed by a second visit in the subsequent week. Further follow-up was scheduled at the end of six weeks, followed by monthly visits. During each visit, enquiries were made regarding the expected complications. Patients were also examined to rule out anorectal sepsis. The results of the follow-up were tabulated and analyzed.

RESULTS

There were no reports of incontinence, flatus, or motion among the two groups. There were no observations of infection (abscess or fistula) among the two groups. There were no reports of anal stenosis among the two groups. 30 patients out of the 120 developed urinary retention. Of them, 18 belonged to the sphincterotomy group and 12 belonged to the fissurectomy group. There were no reports of recurrences among the two categories.

CONCLUSION

Manual anal dilatation with fissurectomy might be considered as an alternative procedure in the surgical management of chronic anal fissures, given the lower rate of complications but the higher chances of pain. However, its long-term results and efficacy need to be ascertained through more extensive and larger clinical trials.

KEYWORDS: Manual Dilatation, Fissurectomy, Lateral Sphincterotomy, Chronic Fissure-in-Ano.

DOI Number: [10.48047/nq.2024.22.3.NQ24022](https://doi.org/10.48047/nq.2024.22.3.NQ24022) **NeuroQuantology 2024; 22(03): 199-206**

INTRODUCTION

Anal fissures are a prevalent issue that significantly increases morbidity in otherwise healthy individuals. An extended ulcer in the

lower canal's long axis is known as an anal fissure. The midline posteriorly is the most common location for anal fissures, followed by the midline anterior. In 80% of patients,



classic anal fissures occur in the posterior midline and the remaining 20% occur in the anterior midline.^[1]Men are more likely to get the disease than youngsters or the elderly. It results in excruciating pain when faeces are passed, as well as rectal bleeding that stains tissue and smears stools.

Acute anal fissures have a short history of less than 6weeks and are characterized by drastic anal pain associated with defecation. Chronic fissures have a longer history, usually more than 6 weeks. Chronic anal fissures have a hypertrophied anal papilla internally and a sentinel tag externally between which lies the slightlyinduratedanalulceroverlyingthefibers of the internal sphincter.

Most cases of chronic anal fissures are associated with elevated resting anal pressure and decreased perfusion at the fissure site due to ongoing internal anal sphincter hypertonia and spasm, although the exact cause remains unknown. There are two types of fissures: the primary, or idiopathic form, and the secondary type. Although the primary type of fissure is the most prevalent, its precise etiology is unknown. These kinds of fissures typically occur in the midline, either anteriorly or posteriorly. If the acute stage of the primary fissure remains untreated, the tissues experience long-lasting organic alterations that ultimately result in a chronic state. These include the formation of the sentinel pile, anal papilla, fibrosis in the ulcer base, and indurations of the ulcer edges. Certain pathological disorders of the anal canal, such as Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, trauma, surgery, or infection, are the causes of the secondary forms of fissures.^[1] Only after the causing pathology is eliminated or addressed will they begin to mend. These kinds of cracks are typically found eccentrically along the anal edge.

Among benign ano-rectal diseases, anal fissure is one of the more commonly encountered conditions. Anterior lesions are more frequent in women than in men. Anal fissures are not common in patients older than 65 years, and in this age group, they must be suspected to be associated with other pathologies.The lifetime incidence is

calculated to be 11%. About 50% of patients heal chronic fissures with conservative treatment. There are three modalities available for treating fissures in Ano: conservative, medical, and surgical. The conservative line of management includes dietary and lifestyle modifications. Medical Line of Management: It is basically a combination of conservative treatment and chemical sphincterotomies. Surgical treatment is associated with the highest likelihood of prompt healing and a low risk of recurrence.Surgery has long been the accepted treatment for chronic anal fissures; it is a common, efficient technique that heals 90–95% of the time. In over 90% of cases, lateral internal sphincterotomy heals chronic anal fissures, but it is associated with potential long-term complications. Incontinence to flatus and faecal soiling are distressing complications of sphincterotomy that may occur in up to 35% of patients. Surgical techniques that preserve the anal sphincter, such as manual anal dilatation with fissurectomy, may reduce the possibility of postoperative faecal incontinence.

In most acute cases, complete healing could be achieved by conservative or medical treatment.^[2,3]

The treatment of chronic cases though, is still a very contentious topic.^[4]

In the vast majority of chronic cases, though, surgical

intervention is invariably warranted due to its immediate benefit in terms of relief of symptoms and better healing rates.^[4] These cases are associated with significant morbidity and are difficult to manage. The objective of surgical treatment is to decrease lower anal tone, as a consequence of which there will be better healing. Surgical intervention could be in the form of manual dilatation (uncontrolled and controlled) or sphincterotomy.

Aims and Objectives

In this study we wanted to evaluate and compare the efficacy of manual anal dilatation with fissurectomy against lateral internal sphincterotomy in the treatment of chronic fissure-in-ano.

MATERIALS &METHODS

This was a prospective study conducted at Karpagam Faculty of Medical Sciences and Research, Coimbatore from 2017 to 2022 involving 120 patients with chronic anal fissures who were not responding to conservative management. Patients with tuberculosis, immunocompromised patients, those with multiple anal fissures, anorectal abscesses or anal malignancies were excluded from the study. Those with a previous history of fecal incontinence or anal stenosis, previous anal surgeries, a history of bleeding diathesis, urinary retention and patients with a history of diabetes or hypertension were also excluded. Patients underwent either manual dilatation of the anus (to a maximum of four fingers) with fissurectomy or lateral sphincterotomy, which involved a 1 to 2 cm circumferential incision over the free edge of the internal sphincter, based on random selection. Complications related to the procedures were recorded. Dressing was

removed on the first post-operative day and discharged on the second post-operative day. The first visit was scheduled within one week, followed by a second visit in the subsequent week. Further follow-up was scheduled at the end of six weeks followed by monthly visits. During each visit, enquiries were made regarding the expected complications. Patients were also examined to rule out anorectal sepsis. The results of the follow-up were tabulated and analyzed.

RESULTS

Table 1 displays the demographic profile of the study subjects. Of the total 120 patients, 88 (73.3%) underwent lateral sphincterotomy, while 32 (26.67%) underwent manual dilatation of the anus with fissurectomy. A majority of 82 patients (68.33%) were between 20 and 39 years old. 32 patients (26.67%) were over 40 years old. 52 patients (43.33%) were males. 68 patients (56.67%) were female.

Age/Operation	LIS	MAD+F	Total
<20years	4	2	6
20-39years	59	23	82
>40years	25	7	32
Total	88	32	120
Sex/Operation	LIS	MAD+F	Total
Male	36	16	52
Female	52	16	68
Total	88	32	120

Table1: Demographic Profile

32 patients out of 120 (26.67%) had associated hemorrhoids. Out of 120 patients, two had a fistula-in-ano. (Table 2)

Condition/Operation	LIS	MAD+F	Total
Haemorrhoids	23	9	32
Fistula-in-ano	2	0	2
Total	25	9	34

Table2: Distribution of Associated Diseases in Both the Groups

As shown in Table 3, 54 patients out of 120 complained of persistent pain. The remaining 66 had no pain. Of the 54 patients who had pain, 30 belonged to the lateral sphincterotomy group and 24 belonged to the fissurectomy group. 28 patients out of 120 complained of persistent bleeding. The remaining 92 had no bleeding. Of the 28 patients who had bleeding, 19 belonged to the sphincterotomy group and 9 belonged to the fissurectomy group.



PersistenceofPain	LIS	MAD+F	Total
Present	30	24	54
Absent	58	8	66
Total	88	32	120
Persistence of Bleeding	LIS	MAD+F	Total
Present	19	9	28
Absent	69	23	92
Total	88	32	120

Table3:PersistencePain and BleedinginBoththeGroups

There were no reports of incontinence, flatus or motion among the two groups. There were no observations of infection (abscess or fistula) among the two groups.

There were no reports of anal stenosis among the two groups. 30 patients out of the 120 developed urinary retention. Of them, 18 belonged to the sphincterotomy group and 12 belonged to the fissurectomy group. (Table 4)

Complications	LIS	MAD+F	Total
Incontinenceoflatusorfaecal soiling	0	0	0
Infection	0	0	0
Anal stenosis	0	0	0
Retention of urine	18	12	30

Table4:Complications

There were no reports of recurrences among the two categories.

DISCUSSION

Boyer originally described sphincterotomy as the preferred surgical procedure for treating persistent anal fissures.^[5] As a result, numerous protocols have been created to deal with the problem. Among the suggested surgeries are advancement flap, posterior and lateral sphincterotomy, anal dilatation, and fissurectomy.^[6] At lateral internal sphincterotomy, the internal sphincter is split at the right or left lateral position, in its distal third, away from the fissure itself.^[7] The primary goal of LIS is to lower the maximum anal sphincter pressure by 18%–50% in order to enhance the anoderm's blood flow. The fissure healing rate ranged from 93% to 95% when utilizing the open technique, and from 90% to 97% when using the close technique. Even yet, fissurectomy with manual dilatation is recommended for young adults whose sphincter tone is extremely high. Anecdotal and published data, however, indicate that the pace of healing following a fissurectomy is similar to that following a LIS. According to a German study, chronic anal fissures can be successfully treated with

eISSN1303-5150

fissurectomy.^[8] Zubair et al.,^[9] stated that while several surgical techniques have been used to treat chronic anal fissures, SLIS (Subcutaneous Lateral Internal Sphincterotomy), which has a success rate of 90–95%, has been shown to produce the greatest results.

During the study period, 120 patients with chronic anal fissures underwent admission and surgery. They were followed for a maximum of 2 months. The majority of the patients underwent lateral sphincterotomies, accounting for almost 73.3% of them. The remaining 26.67% underwent manual anal dilatation and fissurectomy.

Most of the patients belonged to the age group between 20 and 39 years (68.33%). This was followed by people over 40 years old (26.27%). The age group less than 20 years accounted for a meager 5%. A vast majority were females (56.67%), differing from other studies. The condition affects both genders and all age groups. Kumar et al. reported that the mean age of patients was 49.5 years,^[10] while Ebinger and others reported that it



affects all age groups, especially youngsters.^[11,12] Because females' anal canals are shorter, there is a higher chance of sphincter injury during delivery.^[13] Numerous studies indicate that women undergo a longer LIS procedure than men do.^[14,15] This could potentially explain the higher frequency of complications observed in female patients.^[16] Associated diseases like haemorrhoids and anal fistulas were also treated along with the surgery for the anal fissure. Haemorrhoids accounted for the majority (26.67%). Only two patients presented with a low fistula-in-ano. For these two patients, a lateral sphincterotomy was done along with a fistulectomy.

During the study period, the patients were followed up to know whether they developed any complications. Pain persisted in 45% of the patients after surgery. Among these patients, the majority fell into the lateral sphincterotomy group. On closer observation, it was found that 75% of the patients who had undergone manual anal dilatation with fissurectomy developed pain whereas, only 34% of the 88 who had undergone lateral sphincterotomy developed pain. Hence, a chi-square test was resorted to check this discrepancy. The test revealed that there was a higher chance of pain developing in patients who underwent manual anal dilatation with fissurectomy as compared to those who underwent lateral sphincterotomy ($p < 0.001$).

In his three-month research on 146 patients, Aziz discovered a cure rate of 97.5%, no long-term complications, and 100% patient compliance.^[17] 4.1% of individuals had flatus incontinence, although this was only temporary. In their LIS investigation, Schouten WR et al. discovered pain alleviation in 98% of the cases.^[18] In his research on chronic anal fissures, Daniel O. discovered that while LIS is a successful operation with a high symptom resolution rate, there is a chance of either temporary or permanent incontinence.^[19]

In a research by Khubchadani et al., problems occurred in up to 35% of patients after LIS.^[20] A study by Littlejohn et al. found that 35% of patients reported mild straining after LIS.^[21]

In the current study, 28 of the 120 patients who underwent surgery had detected bleeding. Nine patients underwent manual anal dilatation with fissurectomy, while 19 patients had undergone lateral sphincterotomy. In contrast to the previous group, which had a lower incidence (21.6% of 88), the latter group accounted for a higher percentage (28.13% out of 32). Once more, a chi-square test was performed to determine whether manual anal dilatation with fissurectomy resulted in increased bleeding. The likelihood of continuous bleeding and manual anal dilatation during fissurectomy did not significantly correlate ($p < 0.05$).

The following are risk factors for incontinence disturbance: female sex, age over 40, anterior fissure, history of vaginal delivery, synchronous anorectal surgery, and technique.^[22] At three months after surgery, Charua et al. discovered that 6.5% of patients who had undergone LIS experienced mild faecal incontinence.^[23] According to Nyam et al.'s study, the percentage of patients experiencing gross incontinence, minor soiling, and incontinence to flatus was 23%, 39%, and 31%, respectively.^[24] Hoffman and Goliger's investigation found that patients undergoing LIS occasionally experienced faecal and flatus incontinence.^[25] According to Garcia et al., the percentage of LIS patients experiencing incontinence was rising from 16.1% to 26.7%.^[26] In their investigation, Walker et al. discovered different findings from the studies mentioned above.^[27] After 100 patients underwent LIS, they carried out a long-term follow-up for 4.3 years and discovered a moderate level of soiling ranging from 3% to 5%. There was no occurrence of faecal soiling or incontinence in flatus, according to Mousavi.^[28] More patients in the fissurectomy group experienced incontinence to flatus in the Bara BK et al.^[16] study (p -value = 0.02). The group that underwent fissurectomy had a considerably greater incidence of incontinence between liquid and solid (p -values of 0.03 and 0.002, respectively). Adriano Tocchi's study on LIS revealed no long-term complications.^[29] In a similar vein, neither group of patients in our study experienced faecal or flatus-related

incontinence.

Lateral internal sphincterotomy is an effective technique, but it comes with a risk of temporary or permanent incontinence to the flatus and feces. Popat reported flatus in continence in 2% of the population, which is comparable with our study.^[12] Temporary incontinence to flatus occurred in 4 patients; 2 (4%) in lateral internal anal sphincterotomy and 2 (4%) in manual dilatation of the anus. Hareesh et al.^[12] in a comparative study described that in manual anal dilatation, 3 (10%) patients experienced nocturnal soiling, whereas in lateral anal sphincterotomy, only 1 (3.3%) patients had this complication. In another study,^[31] the authors did not observe any incidence of incontinence with manual dilatation of anus, but 1 (2%) from LIAS, which was in a female, probably with a weaker and shorter sphincter complex. Similarly, another study showed favorable results with manual dilatation of anus^[32] but Vaithianathan reported one patient with this complication.^[33]

Of the 120 patients operated on, 30 incidentally experienced urinary retention, the most common complication after such surgeries. This accounted for 25%. However, among those who developed such a complication, the majority fell into the lateral sphincterotomy group (around 18 patients) compared to the other group, which had only 12 patients. There seemed to be an increased incidence of urinary retention among the lateral sphincterotomy group. So the same data was subjected to a chi-square test to test the significance of the relationship between the incidence of urinary retention and the surgery performed. The test revealed that there was no significant relationship between urinary retention and the operation which that performed ($p < 0.05$). In a study by Bara BK et al.^[16] four patients in the LIS group showed retention of urine, whereas in the fissurectomy group it was twelve. Among them, 10 patients required postoperative catheterization.

Different studies report a recurrence rate ranging from 4 to 6%. In our study, no recurrences were reported from either of the two groups among the followed up patients.

Similarly, Nawaz Aet al.^[31] did not observe any recurrence. However, the authors attributed it to poor follow-up. Bara BK et al.^[16] also observed no recurrence in the fissurectomy group. However, two male patients developed a recurrence after undergoing LIS.

In either group, no patient suffered from anal stenosis or perianal infections. Similarly, no patient suffered from recurrence, anal stenosis, wound infection, or pain associated with defecation in a study by Nawaz A. et al.^[31]

Recent studies have shown that lateral internal sphincterotomy is detrimental to the continence mechanism. Therefore, they turned to an alternative procedure. However, we found no significant differences between the two procedures, except for the increased risk of pain persistence in patients undergoing manual anal dilatation with fissurectomy.

In the present study, statistical examinations reveal no major or significant differences

between the two groups of patients. This may be due to the smaller number of patients. We need a larger series to accurately compare these two different techniques.

CONCLUSION

Manual anal dilatation with fissurectomy might be considered as an alternative procedure in the surgical management of chronic anal fissures, given the lower rate of complications but the higher chances of pain. However, its long term results and efficacy need to be ascertained through more extensive and larger clinical trials.

REFERENCES

- [1] Salih AM. Chronic anal fissure: open lateral internal sphincterotomy results: a case series study. *Annals Medicine and Surgery* 2017;15:56-8.
- [2] Canero A, Consalvo V, Giglio F, D'Auria F, Rescigno C, Vincenzo S. Conservative Management of Chronic Anal Fissure. Results of a Case Series at 2-years Follow-up and Proposition of a New Classification. *Surg Technol Int* 2018;33:105-9.
- [3] Jensen SL. Treatment of first episodes of



- acute anal
fissure:prospectiverandomisedstudyoflig
nocaine
ointmentversushydrocortisoneointment
orwarm
sitzbathsplusbran.BrMedJ(ClinResEd)
1986;292(6529):1167-9.
- [4] SteeleSR, MadoffRD. Systematic review: the
treatment of anal fissure. *Aliment Pharmacol Therapeutic* 2006;24(2):247-57.
- [5] McNamara MJ, Percy JP, Fielding IR. A
manometric study of anal fissure treated
by subcutaneous lateral internal
sphincterotomy. *Ann Surg*
1990;211(2):235-8.
- [6] Nelson RL. Meta-analysis of operative
techniques for fissure-in-ano. *Dis Colon
Rectum* 1999;42(11):1424-8.
- [7] Notaras MJ. Lateral subcutaneous
sphincterotomy for anal fissure--a new
technique. *Proc R Soc Med* 1969;62:713.
- [8] Meier zuEissen J. Chronic anal fissure,
therapy. *Kongressbd Dtsch Ges Chir Kongr*
2001;118:654-6.
- [9] ZubairM, SadiqM, ShahGA. Efficacy and saf
ety of
subcutaneous lateral internal sphincteroto
my for chronic anal fissure.
J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2014;26(2):14
1-4.
- [10] KumarD, AnilN, NeerajK, AvinashP. Prospec
tive randomized study of comparison of
lateral internal
sphincterotomy versus Lord's anal dilatio
n in chronic anal fissure. *Int Sur J*
2018;5(3):1028-30.
- [11] EbingerSM, HardtJ, WarschKowR, Schmied
BM, HeroldA, PostS, et al. Operative and me
dical treatment of chronic anal fissure: a re
view and network meta-
analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J
Gastroenterol* 2017;52(6):663-76.
- [12] PopatA, PandeyCP, AgawalK, SrivastavaVP,
Sharma SM, Dixit A.
A comparative study of role of topical diltiaz
em 2% ointment and lateral internal sphinc
terotomy for the management of chronic
anal fissure in ano. *Int J contemp Med
Res* 2016;3:1363-5.
- [13] Kement M, Karabulut M, Gezen FC,
Demirbas S, Vural S, Oncel M. Mild and
severe anal incontinence after lateral
internal sphincterotomy: risk factors,
postoperative anatomical findings and
quality of life. *Eur Surg Res*
2011;47(1):26-31.
- [14] Casillas S, Hull TL, Zutshi M, Trzcinski R,
Bast JF, Xu M. Incontinence after a
lateral internal sphincterotomy: are we
underestimating it? *Dis Colon Rectum*
2005;48(6):1193-9.
- [15] Damon H, Bretones S, Henry L, Mellier G,
Mion F. Long-term consequences of first
vaginal delivery-induced anal sphincter
defect. *Dis Colon Rectum*
2005;48(9):1772-6.
- [16] Bara BK, Mohanty SK, Behera SN, Sahoo
AK, Swain SK. Fissurectomy versus lateral
internal sphincterotomy in the
treatment of chronic anal fissure: a
randomized control trial. *Cureus*
2021;13(9):e18363.
- [17] Aziz A, Sheikh I. Lateral subcutaneous
internal sphincterotomy in chronic anal
fissure. *Pakistan J Surg* 2009;26:93-6.
- [18] Schouten WR, BrielJW, AuwerdaJJ, De
GraafEJ. Ischaemic nature of anal fissure.
Br J Surg 1996;83(1):63-5.
- [19] Herzig DO, Lu KC. Anal fissure. *Surg Clin
North Am* 2010;90(1):33-44.
- [20] Khubchandani IT, Reed JF. Sequelae of
internal sphincterotomy for chronic
fissure in ano. *Br J Surg* 1989;76(5):431-
4.
- [21] Littlejohn DR, Newstead GL. Tailored
lateral sphincterotomy for anal fissure.
Dis Colon Rectum 1997;40(12):1439-42.
- [22] Meyer I, Richter HE. Impact of fecal
incontinence and its treatment on
quality of life in women. *Womens Health*
2015;11(2):225-38.
- [23] Charúa GL, Sánchez MJ, Avendaño EO.
Fecal incontinence secondary to partial
internal lateral sphincterotomy as part of
the surgical treatment of chronic anal
fissure. *Cir Gen* 2006;28(3):170-6.
- [24] Nyam DC, Pemberton JH. Long-term
results of lateral internal sphincterotomy
for chronic anal fissure with particular
reference to incidence of fecal
incontinence. *Dis Colon Rectum*

- 1999;42:1306-10.
- [25] Hoffmann DC, GoligherJC. Lateral subcutaneous internal sphincterotomy in treatment of anal fissure. *Br Med J* 1970;3(5724):673-5.
- [26] Garcia-Aguilar J, Belmonte C, Wong WD, Lowry AC, Madoff RD. Open vs. closed sphincterotomy for chronic anal fissure: long-term results. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1996;39:440-3.
- [27] Walker WA, Rothenberger DA, Goldberg SM. Morbidity of internal sphincterotomy for anal fissure and stenosis. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1985;28:832-5.
- [28] Mousavi SR, Sharifi M, Mehdikhah Z. A comparison between the results of fissurectomy and lateral internal sphincterotomy in the surgical management of chronic anal fissure. *J GastrointestSurg* 2009;13:1279-82.
- [29] Tocchi A, Mazzoni G, Miccini M, Cassini D, Bettelli E, Brozzetti S. Total lateral sphincterotomy for anal fissure. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2004;19:245-9.
- [30] HareeshGS, Somangurthy P. Comparative study of manual anal dilatation and lateral internal anal sphincterotomy in the treatment of acute anal fissure. *Int Surg J* 2019;6(6):2022-7.
- [31] NawazA, MahmoodK, HayatN, KhanAH, RanaAR, FarooqR. A comparative study of the results of the lateral internal anal sphincterotomy VS manual dilatation of anus for chronic anal fissure. *Professional Med J* 2020;27(11):2295-9.
- [32] AnandaraviB, RamaswamiB. Closed versus open lateral internal sphincterotomy in the treatment of chronic anal fissure. *Inter Surg J* 2017;4(3):1055-8.
- [33] VaithianathanR, PaneerselramS. Randomized prospective controlled trial of topical 2% diltiazem versus lateral internal sphincterotomy for the treatment of chronic fissure in ano. *Indian J Surg* 2015;77(3):148-7.

