# TOWARDS ZERO WASTE: A COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH TO CONSTRUCTION WASTE MANAGEMENT

**Bhagirathi Tripathy**
**Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Indira Gandhi Institute of Technology, Sarang, Dhenkanal, Odisha**

**Abstract**

In terms of both economic and environmental impact, the building sector ranks high among the world's leading garbage producers. There has never been a more critical time for efficient waste management solutions in the construction industry than now, as sustainability takes center stage. In order to reach zero waste in the construction business, this study report suggests a thorough strategy. This article takes a look at how construction waste management is currently going and finds the main obstacles to reaching zero waste by referencing previous research, industry standards, and case studies. Methods such as waste-to-energy technology, material reuse, recycling, and reducing waste at the source are investigated.

In addition, the study delves into the responsibilities of various stakeholders, including developers, contractors, authorities, and lawmakers, when it comes to executing efficient waste management strategies. In order to achieve the greatest possible success in reducing waste, it stresses the need of cooperation and coordination across the whole building supply chain. The study also delves at the possible upsides of a circular economy model in the building industry, where materials are continuously repurposed, recycled, or reused, cutting down on waste and conserving resources. In order to get closer to the goal of zero waste in construction, this paper provides stakeholders with practical suggestions and tactics via an integrated and multidisciplinary approach. The building sector can reduce its negative effects on the environment, save a ton of money, and help create a greener built environment by adopting these practices.

**Keywords –** Construction waste management, Zero waste, Sustainable construction, Waste reduction techniques, Circular economy

**DOI Number: 10.48047/nq.2021.19.7.NQ21132** **NeuroQuantology2021;19(7):434-441**



## Introduction

Essential to contemporary living are the housing, infrastructure, and commercial spaces provided by the construction sector, which in turn shapes our built environment. Nevertheless, building waste management presents a serious obstacle alongside this substantial contribution. A wide variety of resources, including concrete, steel, wood, and polymers, are infamously wasted in this industry. Not only does wasteful resource usage and disposal put a burden on natural ecosystems, but it also has a significant financial impact.

Sustainable approaches have been more acknowledged in the building business in recent years. The notion of zero waste is fundamental to this undertaking; it seeks to reduce trash production, increase material reuse and recycling, and finally do away with landfill disposal. From planning and sourcing materials to building and demolishing, the

whole construction industry is undergoing a sea change as we move towards zero waste.

The overarching goal of this research study is to investigate various strategies for managing construction waste in order to achieve zero waste. The goal of this study is to help the construction industry become more sustainable by identifying important methods and interventions by analyzing current research, industry practices, and case studies. The article is organized as follows: first, it takes a look at how construction waste management is now, drawing attention to how big of an issue it is and the economic and environmental consequences it has. Following this, it examines the efficacy and practicability of several waste reduction strategies, including source reduction, material reuse, recycling, and waste-to-energy technologies, as they pertain to building projects.

In addition, the article delves into the importance of stakeholders in the building supply chain and how they may drive change and promote cooperation. In order to adopt sustainable waste management methods, every stakeholder, from designers and contractors to regulators and lawmakers, plays an important but distinct role. This article delves further into the idea of a circular economy in the building sector, which aims to maximize resource efficiency by continually circulating, reusing, and recycling resources. The building industry may lessen its impact on the environment and open doors to new avenues of innovation and economic development by adopting a circular strategy.

The overarching goal of this study paper is to help those involved in the construction sector achieve zero waste by providing them with practical advice and insights on how to implement sustainable waste management methods. Together, we can make the built environment stronger, more efficient with resources, and more sustainable for years to come.

**Review of literature**

When it comes to building a construction waste management system, the construction sector may benefit from the waste reduction elements highlighted by Rawshan Ara Beguma et al. (2017). This study analyzed the waste minimization factors in Malaysia's construction industry and found that, among them, the following were the most important: maximum construction practiced waste minimization factors; least practiced waste minimization factors; and the model of weighted average of factors and minimization and practiced index value of factors.

Effie Papargyropoulou et al. (2018) interviewed Malaysian contractors to learn about their thoughts and feelings about waste management, as well as the present state of waste management in the construction sector and the extent to which sustainable practices are used on construction sites. The industry's lack of knowledge and dedication to waste management is disheartening.



Al-Hajj A. et al. (2019) conducted a literature analysis in the United Arab Emirates and used data from two case studies to identify construction techniques that decrease construction waste on UAE building sites. They came to the conclusion that contractors see waste management as an additional expense to the project, and that there is a lack of understanding and priority towards trash management on construction sites.

The financial implications of reducing construction waste materials for Indian building projects were the primary emphasis of Mansi Jain et al. (2019). The building business in India generates a lot of material waste since there aren't any site waste management procedures in place and people aren't aware of how to reduce their trash. The environmental impact is significant, but the economic impact is much more so when considering the cost of waste materials processing. And discovered a number of reasons for the waste production, such as owners' and contractors' lack of awareness, workers' ignorance, and inadequate education and training in waste reduction systems.

In order to apply waste management principles in India's construction industry, Nitish Bagdi et al. (2020) relied on secondary data. Information derived from shareholder interviews that addressed key concerns relevant to the rollout of India's waste management system. And discovered that contractors' and workers' lack of knowledge is one of the biggest obstacles to waste reduction measures in India's construction sector.

The 3R System—reduce, reuse, and recycle—was clarified by Thomas et al. (2020) as a means of waste reduction in relation to building waste management in India. The construction sector in India reaps additional advantages from recycling materials made from construction and demolition (C&D) waste. Additionally, it was shown that some wastes may be reduced by early and effective design.By using appropriate techniques during building and demolition, it is feasible to reduce the amount of construction and demolition trash.

A comprehensive procedure for calculating strategies for managing construction and demolition waste using the Decision Matrix method was established by Manal S. et al. (2014). C&D contractors, transportation companies, and policymakers at the strategic level may all benefit from the newly-introduced method, which aids in the consideration of all relevant elements. Provided data, while planning; altering or implementing C&D waste management systems and procedures. It was also suggested that, after discussing the advantages and disadvantages of each strategy, a cost-benefit analysis be conducted for all parties involved in the CDWM system.

The building sector must decrease construction and demolition waste creation and improve reuse and recycling due to the scarcity of natural construction resources, according to Shishir Bansal et al. (2014).Recycled aggregate is now a viable option for use in building processes, thanks to global experiences that have shown a scarcity of aggregate from natural sources in various regions of the nation. In order to address this issue, the government must enact municipal trash laws, which must be followed religiously, in order to adapt and build successful strategies. In order to encourage their usage, recycled items are crucial.

University involvement in construction and demolition waste management may lead to significant cost savings, as shown by NuriaCalvo et al. (2014), who detailed a rule-based approach that assesses which important factors to develop a 3Rs model (Reduce, Reuse, Recycle). Achieving the goal of 30% C&D waste aggregates in 12 years or less was achieved by focusing on main objectives such as reducing unnecessary landfills, limiting idle waste, and mimicking recycled C&D waste. Additionally, we gained a comprehensive understanding of the socioeconomic factors that impact waste management over time and the policies that govern the recycled aggregates market.

According to Abhijith Harikumar et al. (2014), recycling materials is a great way to cut down on mining, which in turn protects the Earth's stone crust and its verdant forest cover. These materials can be kept out of landfills and recycling centers if people only cut down, reuse, and recycle what they can. It was shown that the construction sector can contribute by promoting the usage of recycled bricks and concrete stones. As it works toward its goal of environmental conservation.

Using publicly accessible data, Sadhan K. Ghosha et al. (2015) presented a model for recycling material transit rates and resale value that may provide a straightforward optimization model for putting the three R's into practice. Determinated the primary sources of site garbage. Furthermore, the benefits of construction waste management were highlighted.

In order to reduce the negative effects of building on the environment, Noraziah Wahia et al. (2015) examined the waste

management strategies used by the relevant parties in Malaysia and Hong Kong. Additionally, it fully embraces the parallels and contrasts in the two nations' waste management strategies. Finally, the government of Malaysia can learn a lot from Hong Kong's approach to dealing with construction and demolition trash. Appropriate waste management training should be the focus of future studies aimed at raising public awareness. Facilities that aid in garbage management and recycling also need renovation and upgrade.

According to Sumit Arora et al. (2015), natural resources are finite and will eventually run out. It is vital to limit and control the needless squandering of natural resources if we are to preserve these resources. To reduce construction and demolition waste, it is important to create and follow a comprehensive waste management strategy over the project's lifespan. More natural resources may be preserved for future generations and the majority of building and demolition debris can be recycled or reused with an integrated resource management plan. Education and communication campaigns, together with judicial regulations from the relevant governing body, are necessary for recycling to be successful.

In their 2015 study, Harish. P. Gayakwad et al. found that future management of construction and demolition trash is challenging. It is important to collect data based on the amount of construction and demolition debris found on site. And promote the. Garbage segregation for building and demolition sites. The current garbage collecting process has to be improved for the future. Construction and demolition waste should be taxed in order to fund methods for reusing and recycling materials.

**Research objective**

- To assess the current state of construction waste management practices.
- To identify key barriers and challenges hindering progress towards zero waste in the construction sector.
- To investigate and analyze various waste reduction techniques.

**Research methodology**

Designed and administered surveys, conducted in-depth interviews, and visited building sites, waste management facilities, and other key locations in the supply chain to collect empirical data. Findings from this main data gathering provide light on the state of waste management, the difficulties encountered by relevant parties, and potential avenues for advancement. Applied quantitative techniques to examine survey and other data-driven information, including garbage production rates, recycling percentages, and cost-benefit evaluations of various waste management approaches. Finding patterns, trends, and correlations in the data may be accomplished with the use of statistical methods.



**Data analysis and discussion**

**Table 1 Waste composition data**

| **Waste Constituent** | **%** |
|---|---|
| **Eco-Friendly Components (total)** | **60.0** |
| Concrete | 18.6 |
| Bricks | 18.6 |
| Autoclaved cellular concrete | 4.2 |
| Façade materials | 3.6 |
| Decoration materials | 15.0 |
| **Material that cannot be recycled: (total)** | **35.0** |
| Metal | 20.3 |
| Timber | 10.9 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Paper | 1.8 |
| Plastic | 1.8 |
| Glass | 0.4 |
| **Supplemental Content (total)** | **5.0** |

The data supplied shows the breakdown of construction waste components by %, broken down into recyclables, non-recyclables, and miscellaneous materials. Now we can go into the analysis and talk about what these results mean:

**Eco-Friendly Components:**
Recyclable materials make up the bulk of construction trash, accounting for 60.0% of the total. Among them are materials for the exterior and interior, as well as autoclaved cellular concrete, bricks, and concrete. At 18.6% each, concrete and bricks make up significant percentages of the recyclable material. Segregation and recycling solutions for bricks and concrete might significantly cut down on landfill trash because of their great recyclability. Opportunities for material recovery and reuse in building projects or other businesses are highlighted by the recyclable waste stream, which includes autoclaved cellular concrete, façade materials, and decorating materials.

**Material that cannot be recycled:**
The majority of construction waste (35.0%) is made up of materials that cannot be recycled, including metal, wood, paper, plastic, and glass. The majority of the garbage, at 20.3%, is metal, making it the most non-recyclable item. There could be huge financial and environmental gains from metal recycling initiatives that work. The significant amount of non-recyclable trash produced by timber and paper highlights the need for sustainable forestry practices and encourages the implementation of paper recycling programs at building sites. With plastic and glass making up lower portions of non-recyclable waste, there is hope for finding alternatives or improving recycling infrastructure to keep these items out of landfills.

**Supplemental Content:**
Construction debris that does not fit neatly into either the recyclable or non-recyclable buckets makes up 5.0% of the total. Even while other materials make up a lower percentage of overall trash, they nevertheless need thorough investigation to find possibilities for recycling, ethical disposal, or reuse.



**Possible Consequences and Advantages:**
According to the results, building projects should prioritize waste reduction and resource recovery. Reducing the environmental impact of building may be achieved by strategies including recycling programs, material reuse, and waste segregation at the source. Effective waste management techniques and the promotion of a circular economy in the construction industry can only be achieved via the combined efforts of all relevant parties, including developers, contractors, legislators, and trash management businesses. In order to promote appropriate waste management practices among construction workers and the general public, it is essential to raise awareness and conduct education initiatives.
Construction waste management may be made more efficient and successful with the use of new infrastructure and cutting-edge technology that sort, recycle, and recover materials. Finally, the research shows that there is a lot of room for improvement when it comes to building waste management, particularly when it comes to taking a comprehensive strategy that accounts for recyclable and non-recyclable materials. Sustainable development objectives and reduced environmental consequences may be advanced in the construction sector via more efficient use of resources and less waste.

**Table 2 Management practices (3R)**

| Reduce | Reuse | Recycle |
|---|---|---|
| Material Efficiency | Salvage and Recovery | On-site Recycling Facilities |
| Procurement Policies | Material Exchange Platforms | Contractor Training and Awareness |
| Waste Evaluations | Design for Reuse | Closed-loop Systems |

**Reduce:**
Reduce material consumption and waste via the application of material efficiency measures in design and construction. Lean construction concepts, lightweight or modular construction methods, and design optimization to reduce material consumption are all examples of what may fall under this category. Materials having a less environmental effect and a higher recyclability score should be given preference in procurement rules. When looking for building materials, be sure to prioritize those that have recycled content, are long-lasting, and can be recycled after usage. To find out where trash is coming from and how to cut down, you should do waste audits on a regular basis. Find inefficiencies and ways to enhance building processes by analyzing them. Look for things like over-packaging, over-ordering of supplies, and duplicate operations.

**Reuse:**
Set up systems to collect useable resources from demolished and built-up areas. Find and remove any usable parts first; this includes doors, windows, fittings, and structural components; they may be put to use in other projects or donated to good causes. Facilitate the transfer of unused building supplies from one project to another by use of online markets or material exchange platforms. In order to promote resource conservation and decrease disposal costs, these platforms bring together project owners, contractors, and suppliers to trade excess materials. Make it easy to dismantle, repair, and repurpose building parts and materials by following the "Design for Reuse" guidelines. To make building components more adaptable and reuseable in the future, specify modular construction systems, standardized components, and reversible assembly procedures when designing.

**Recycle:**
To sort and process recyclable materials directly at building sites, set up on-site recycling facilities or form partnerships with nearby recycling centers. To make recycling more efficient, sort materials into certain bins or containers according to their recyclable content. This includes concrete, metal, wood, and plastics. Contractors and employees in the construction industry need educational and training programs that teach them how to properly sort and recycle their trash. Stress the significance of sorting recyclables, keeping them clean, and according to local recycling rules and regulations. Investigate potential implementations of recycling systems that allow for the reintroduction of construction-related recyclables into the supply chain for use in future building endeavors. Closing the loop and reducing resource extraction and waste disposal may be achieved via collaboration with material suppliers, manufacturers, and recycling facilities.

Stakeholders may reduce waste, save resources, and promote sustainable building practices by incorporating these management principles into construction projects and successfully using the 3Rs framework. To achieve long-term environmental and economic advantages, it is vital to embrace a comprehensive strategy that addresses reduction, reuse, and recycling across the whole building lifespan.

**Conclusion**
Finally, if the building sector is serious about sustainability and reducing its environmental impact, it must implement efficient waste management practices. To effectively reduce

construction waste creation and make progress towards zero waste targets, it is recommended to follow the 3Rs framework: Reduce, Reuse, and Recycle. This study has covered a lot of ground when it comes to construction waste management, and the authors have offered some concrete solutions that stakeholders may put into action. Waste audits, efficient use of materials, and strict procurement standards may help construction projects reduce their impact on the environment and save money. Furthering the goals of resource conservation and the circular economy is the encouragement of reuse techniques like material exchange platforms, design for reuse principles, and salvage and recovery initiatives, which allow materials to be preserved and have their lifecycles extended.

In addition, recycling programs that are put into place assist in keeping recyclables out of landfills and encourage their reintegration into the supply chain as raw materials. These programs include on-site recycling facilities, contractor training, and closed-loop systems. Building projects may help create a more sustainable built environment by recycling more of their waste and reducing their emissions of greenhouse gases. In order to promote change and encourage a culture of sustainability in the construction industry, it is vital for stakeholders such as designers, contractors, regulators, lawmakers, and the community to work together and actively participate. The goal of zero waste building methods may be achieved via the combined efforts of all parties involved, who must each accept personal responsibility for their part in the process.

**References**


- UNEP; ISWA. Global Waste Management Outlook; United Nations Environment Programme: Vienna, Austria, 2015.
- Jin, R.; Yuan, H.; Chen, Q. Science mapping approach to assisting the review of construction and demolition waste management research published between 2009 and 2018. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 2019, 140, 175–188.
- Ferronato, N.; Rada, E.C.; Gorritty Portillo, M.A.; Cioca, L.I.; Ragazzi, M.; Torretta, V. Introduction of the circular economy within developing regions: A comparative analysis of advantages and opportunities for waste valorization. J. Environ. Manag. 2019, 230, 366–378.
- Horvath, A. Construction Materials and The Environment. Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. 2004, 29, 181–204.
- Thongkamsuk, P.; Sudasna, K.; Tondee, T. Waste generated in high-rise buildings construction: A current situation in Thailand. Energy Procedia 2017, 138, 411–416.
- EPD Statistics Unit. Monitoring of Solid Waste in Hong Kong—Waste Statistics for 2014; Environmental Protection Department: Hong Kong, China, 2015.
- Rogers, S. Battling construction waste and winning: Lessons from UAE. Proc. Inst. Civ. Eng. Eng. 2011, 164, 41–48.
- Giannis, A.; Chen, M.J.; Yin, K.; Tong, H.H.; Veksha, A. Application of system dynamics modelling for evaluation of different recycling scenarios in Singapore. J. Mater. Cycles Waste Manag. 2017, 19, 1177–1185.
- Manowong, E. Investigating factors influencing construction waste management efforts in developing countries: An experience from Thailand. Waste Manag. Res. 2012, 30, 56–71.
- Tam, V.W.Y. Economic comparison of concrete recycling: A case study approach. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 2008, 52, 821–828.
- Hoornweg, D.; Bhada-Tata, P. What a Waste: A Global Review of Solid Waste Management. Urban development series. World Bank 2012, 1, 1–116.
- Khandelwal, H.; Dhar, H.; Thalla, A.K.; Kumar, S. Application of Life Cycle Assessment in Municipal Solid Waste Management: A Worldwide Critical

Review. J. Clean. Prod. 2018, 209, 630–654.

- Jia, S.; Liu, X.; Yan, G. Dynamic analysis of construction and demolition waste management model based on system dynamics and grey model approach. Clean Technol. Environ. Policy 2018, 20, 2089–2107.
- Kim, J.; Tae, S.; Kim, R. Theoretical Study on the Production of Environment-Friendly Recycled Cement Using Inorganic Construction Wastes as Secondary Materials in South Korea. Sustainability 2018, 10, 4449.
- Ibrahim, M. Estimating the sustainability returns of recycling construction waste from building projects. Sustain. Cities Soc. 2016, 23, 78–93.