REVIEW ON STRUCTURAL DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF TUNNELS

Utsav Mani
Assistant Professor, Purnea College of Engineering, Purnea
Sumit Kumar
Assistant Professor, Purnea College of Engineering, Purnea
Shashi Ranjan
Assistant Professor, Shershah Engineering college, Sasaram

Abstract

The safety evaluation of the tunnel structure during earthquakes depends on seismic analysis of long tunnels. Practitioners frequently use simplified models of long tunnels in seismic design, in which the tunnel is typically envisioned as a beam supported by the ground. These models can be helpfully used to acquire the general reaction of the passage structure exposed to seismic stacking. In any case, improved on strategies are restricted because of the suppositions that should be made to arrive at the arrangement, for example safeguard burrows are gathered with portions and rushes to shape a coating ring and such underlying subtleties may not be remembered for the worked on model. As a rule, the plan will require a mathematical technique that doesn't have the weaknesses of the scientific arrangements, as it can think about the underlying subtleties, non-straight way of behaving, and so on. Besides, long passages have huge length and pass through various layers. These would require enormous scope seismic investigation of long passages with three-layered models, which is troublesome because of the absence of accessible processing power. This paper presents two sorts of strategies for seismic examination of long passages, in particular improved and bound together techniques. The simplified method is illustrated by a number of models, including the mass-spring-beam model, the beam-spring model, and its analytical solution. The bound together technique depends on a multiscale system for long passages, with coarse and refined limited component networks, or with the discrete component strategy and the limited contrast technique to register the generally speaking seismic reaction of the passage while including itemized dynamic reaction at places of possible harm or of interest. A spanning scale term is presented in the structure so similarity of dynamic conduct between the large scale and meso-scale subdomains is implemented. The simplified and unified methods' applicability is demonstrated by way of examples.

Keywords: Seismic tremor designing; Design of the tunnel; Seismic examination; Improved on technique; Multiscale strategy.

DOI Number: 10.48047/nq.2020.18.9.NQ20230 **NeuroQuantology 2020; 18(9): 163-168**

1 INTRODUCTION

Public transportation facilities, sanitation, irrigation utilities, and storage infrastructure are all provided by tunnels, which make up a significant portion of civil infrastructure (Yu, Yuan, Qiao, et al., 2013). In seismically dynamic regions, these passages are under quake actuated chances. Ongoing occasions like the Kobe Tremor in Japan (1995), the Duzce Quake in Turkey (1999), the Chi Quake in Taiwan (1999), the Bam Seismic tremor in Iran (2003) and the Wenchuan Seismic tremor in China (2008) have shown that passages are powerless to hopeless harm because of seismic stacking (Yu, Chen, Yuan, and Zhao, 2016; Yu, Yuan, Liu, Li, and Ji, 2013), some widely (Yu, Chen, Bobet, and Yuan, 2016). The damage that was observed is sufficient evidence to suggest that the safety of tunnels in seismically active areas is still a significant concern, but that it is still poorly understood or, at the very least, not taken into account when designing them.

Burrows are exposed to different types of disfigurement under seismic stacking (Wang, 1993), to be specific: (a) ovaling or racking shear twisting of the passage cross segment because of the shear waves proliferating typical or almost ordinary to the passage hub; (b) hub pressure and expansion created by the parts of seismic waves that produce movements lined up with the hub of the passage; and (c) seismic wave components bending the longitudinal axis, resulting in particle motions perpendicular to the longitudinal axis.

Shear disfigurement of passages instigated bythe in an upward direction spreading shear waves has been broadly concentrated on by various scientists (Amorosi and Boldini, 2009; Bobet, 2003; Kattis, Beskos, and Cheng, 2003), and being the basic method of distortion for burrows under seismic loading has been known. The passage lining is for the most part reproduced as a covered design exposed to ground distortions under a two-layered plane strain condition. Two fundamental methodologies are regularly used to gauge the reaction of passages under shear misshapening. Using finite element techniques, dynamic, nonlinear soil-structure interaction analysis is one option. The boundaries of a "soil island" are used to represent vertically propagating shear waves, and the input motions in these analyses are time histories that mimic design response spectra. The subsequent methodology expects that the seismic ground movements incite a pseudo-static stacking condition to the design. According to Huo, Bobet, Fernandez, & Ramrez (2006), this method enables the development of analytical relationships for assessing the magnitude of seismically induced strains in the tunnel structure. Penzien, 2000; (Wu and Penzien, 1998) These relationships are based on the idea that tunnel structures subjected to seismic loading will typically deform as a result of the demand from the surrounding ground. As a result, the structure is intended to withstand the imposed deformations without compromising its structural integrity.

2 LONG TUNNELS IN CHINA

Three instances of passages in China, specifically the Hongkong-Zhuhai-Macau (HZM) submerged burrow, the Qingcaosha water transport burrow, and the Longxi burrow, are utilized to exhibit the continuous flourishing development of long passages in China. Note that the three passages are assembled utilizing three distinct development techniques, the Drenched Burrowing Strategy, the Safeguard Burrowing Strategy and the New Austrian Burrowing Technique, separately, which are the most broadly utilized burrowing techniques in China. Considering that every one of the passages are in seismic regions, the quake interest on the passages ought to be assessed to guarantee the security of the designs.

3 THE HZM IMMERSED TUNNEL

The Hongkong-Zhuhai-Macau linkage (HZM linkage) is a significant framework project beginning development in China. Crossing the Lingding Ocean, the venture joins Hongkong, with its industry and vacation spots, to Zhuhai city and Macao. The principal designs of the HZM linkage are made out of a link remained span and an inundated passage by means of two fake islands. The complete length of the linkage is 35,600 m and the drenched passage is 5,664 m. The passage is made out of 33 substantial passage components, and every component comprises of 8 substantial passage sections, each 22.5m that gives two vehicle barrels, and one barrel for pipelines and ventilation. Portions are pre-assembled individually utilizing supported cement, and afterward gathered into a passage component in a dockyard prior to delivery and drenching them at the site. Above the bedrock, the site's marine sediments consist of silt, clay, and sand.

3.1 Mass-spring-beam model

The mass-spring-pillar model is broadly utilized in seismic plan and examination of long passages, particularly for drenched burrows (Kiyomiya, 1995). Based on tests of shaking table models and earthquake observations of an immersed tunnel in Japan, the mass-spring model was first proposed for the analysis of the earthquake response of immersed tunnels (Okamoto & Tamura, 1973). It depends on the suspicions that (1) the regular time of the ground isn't impacted by the presence of the passage, and (2) the movement of the ground is actuated by the shear vibration of soil layers and just the crucial mode is thought about.

The surface layer of the dirt along the passage pivot is partitioned into various soil cuts. Each cut is addressed by an identical mass-spring framework that comprises of a mass, a spring and a dashpot interfacing the mass to the base stone. The adjoining masses are then associated with one another along the passage hub by springs and dashpots to reenact the association between the nearby soil cuts. By tackling the unique balance condition of the model, the ground removals at the places of the dirt masses and passage can be assessed. A dynamic time-history analysis can be used to calculate the tunnel's seismic response to ground motions by assuming the tunnel is a beam supported by soil springs. Note that the mass-spring model is laid out with the presumption that the ground relocation is overwhelmed by the major shear vibration. Obviously, the ground reaction determined may not be exact when different soil layers are contained in a dirt cut.

4 MULTISCALE METHODS

Worked on seismic investigations will be unable to integrate an adequate number of subtleties of the design or the harm at the area of possible disappointment. To resolve this issue, two multiscale approaches, to be specific the continuum-based multiscale strategy and the discrete-continuum multiscale technique, are created to catch not just the seismic reaction along the whole passage length, yet additionally nitty gritty underlying reaction of the liner sections and their joints.

4.1 Continuum-based multiscale coupling method

The continuum-based multiscale approach proposed by Yu, Yuan, and Bobet (2013) couples FEM computations with coarse and fine networks. The coarse-scale network is utilized to catch seismic reaction qualities of the whole framework, while the fine-scale network is utilized to portray exhaustively the unique reaction at places of expected harm or interest. This multiscale strategy can altogether lessen the computational burden. It satisfactorily covers wide region of the whole passage soil framework, while remembering subtleties for key areas of the passage. Moreover, the all out number of limited components can be kept inside the scope of calculation limit of the machine utilized for the reenactments.

Two steps make up the multiscale method. In the initial step, a standard, for example coarse, three dimensional Limited Component Model is assembled in light of geographical information, burrow calculation, development process, and so forth. The analysis includes contact interfaces as well as nonlinear soil and/or structure models. The target of this initial step is to decide the seismic reaction attributes of the framework and to recognize regions where point by point, for example refined, examination is required. In the second step, a refined mesh is used to replace the coarse mesh in the areas of interest that were identified in the first step, and a new simulation using the composite, or coarse and fine mesh, is carried out. This should be possible while the coarse cross section actually catches the seismic reaction attributes of the framework.

A trouble that emerges in multiscale couplings is that high recurrence waves might be misleadingly reflected at the fine/coarse connection point, as verified by various scientists like Holmes and Belytschko (1976). This is on the grounds that the time step utilized for the whole space relies upon the size of the littlest component, and thus one might notice fake impressions of high recurrence waves where there is a difference in network thickness. A covering space among coarse and refined networks is characterized to take care of the issue.

5 DISCRETE-CONTINUUM MULTISCALE COUPLING METHOD

Seismic investigations of long passages are by and large performed utilizing continuum-based techniques like FEM or FDM (limited contrast strategy) due to their reasonableness for a nonstop definition of the issue considered. Notwithstanding, to determine nearby peculiarities, for example, break spread and moderate disappointment at places of likely harm, an exceptionally refined model is wanted. Besides, current constitutive models lay out pressure strain connections at the plainly visible scale yet may lose the ability of catching reaction at the microscale. The discrete-based approach formulation, which is used to describe the mesomechanical or micromechanical response of materials and local failure processes, is an alternative to those methods. In any case, in view of the requesting computational expense of discrete techniques, it is difficult to show enormous designing issues with the ongoing limit everything being equal. Luckily, in numerous applications, the district of interest is confined, and complex or exceptionally nonlinear reaction is restricted to clear cut regions. In this way, multiscale strategies coupling discrete-based approaches and continuum-based approaches enjoy the benefit of the two procedures and give an elective answer for model issues productively.

6 CLOSING REMARKS

Two unique strategies, the rearranged and the bound together technique, are presented, which are utilized for seismic investigation of long passages. The worked on strategies, for example, the shaft model give powerful instruments to professionals. They permit promptly distinguishing proof of the factors controlling the size of the twists and hence give an understanding into the way of behaving of the construction. Besides, the improved on technique and its answers are priceless to get a superior comprehension of the exchange that exists between unique burdens, viscoelastic establishment and passage structure, to distinguish what are the most basic boundaries for the issue, and to give first gauges or even a primer plan. They can be used to conduct sensitivity analyses at a low cost, which is an additional benefit. Due to the simplified assumptions made to include the tunnel structure and the soil-tunnel interaction, the simplified method may not be able to capture response and damage in structural details, in elements, or at locations of potential failure.

Consequently, a novel and solid multiscale coupling technique is proposed to tackle the issue. The multiscale approach couples continuum-based FEM computations with coarse and fine networks. The integral system's seismic response characteristics are captured by the coarse-scale mesh, while the dynamic response at the locations of potential damage or interest is detailed by the fine-scale mesh. A covering subdomain is made between the refined and the coarse lattices, where Lagrange multipliers are utilized to uphold kinematic requirements. The coupling strategy crossing over space is carried out to limit, or if nothing else decrease, misleading wave reflections at the coarse fine lattice interface. For dynamic analysis, the multiscale approach is extended to combine discrete-based DEM and continuum-based FDM. The benefit of the multiscale continuum-discrete coupling approach is that it permits demonstrating of neighborhood actual peculiarities happening at the meso-or miniature sizes in exceptionally enormous frameworks.

This multiscale demonstrating strategy can essentially diminish the calculation load. It sufficiently covers wide reproduction region of the whole passage soil framework and may likewise incorporate component subtleties in key areas of the passage. Additionally, the total number of elements can be kept within the range of the supercomputer's computation capacity during the simulations. Models are introduced to exhibit the pertinence of the multiscale strategy in huge scope seismic examination of long passages. The achievement involving the strategy in a long and complex passage supports the idea that full 3D recreations can be performed; Even with limited computational power, results can be obtained by selecting the areas that require a refined mesh effectively.

REFERENCES

1. Amorosi, A., & Boldini, D. (2009). Numerical modeling of the transverse dynamic behavior of circular tunnels in clayey soils. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 29, 1059–1072.
2. Anastasopoulos, I., Gerolymos, N., Drosos, V., Kourkoulis, R., Georgarakos, T., & Gazetas, G. (2007). Nonlinear response of deep immersed tunnel to strong seismic shaking. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 133(9), 1067–1090.
3. Bobet, A. (2003). Effect of pore water pressure on tunnel support during static and seismic loading. Tunneling and Underground Space Technology, 18, 377–393.
4. DGJ08-11-1999. Shanghai code for design of foundation, Management Office for Shanghai Technical Standard Project: Shanghai, 1999 (in Chinese).
5. Ding, J. H., Jin, X. L., Guo, Y. Z., & Li, G. G. (2006). Numerical simulation of large-scale seismic response analysis of immersed tunnel. Engineering Structures, 28, 1367–1377.
6. Hashash, Y. M. A., Tseng, W. S., & Krimotat, A. (1998). Seismic soilstructure interaction analysis for immersed tube tunnels retrofit. Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering Soil Mechanics III 2, ASCE Geotechnical Special Publication No.75 (pp. 1380-1391).
7. Hashash, Y. M. A., Hook, J. J., Schmidt, B., & Yao, J. I. (2001). Seismic design and analysis of underground structures. Tunneling and Underground Space Technology, 16, 247–293.
8. Hatzigeorgiou, G. D., & Beskos, D. E. (2010). Soil-structure interaction effects on seismic inelastic analysis of 3-D tunnels. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 30, 851–861.
9. Holmes, N., & Belytschko, T. (1976). Postprocessing of finite element transient response calculations by digital filters. Computer & Structures, 6, 211–216.
10. Huo, H., Bobet, A., Ferna´ndez, G., & Ramı´rez, J. (2006). Analytical solution for deep rectangular structures subjected to far-field shear stresses. Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology, 21, 613–625.
11. Hwang, R. N., & Lysmer, J. (1981). Response of buried structures to traveling waves. Journal of Geotechnical Engineering Division, 107(2), 183–200.
12. Kattis, S. E., Beskos, D. E., & Cheng, A. H. D. (2003). 2D dynamic response of unlined and lined tunnels in poroelastic soil to harmonic body waves. Earthquake Engineering and Structure Dynamics, 32, 97–110.
13. Kiyomiya, O. (1995). Earthquake-resistant design features of immersed tunnels in Japan. Tunneling and Underground Space Technology, 10(4), 463–475.
14. Li, C., Yuan, J. Y., Yu, H. T., & Yuan, Y. (2016). Mode-based equivalent ground model for longitudinal seismic analysis of long tunnels. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering (submitted for publication).
15. Li, P., & Song, E. X. (2015). Three-dimensional numerical analysis for the longitudinal seismic response of tunnels under an asynchronous wave input. Computers and Geotechnics, 63, 229–243.
16. Li, M. G., Yu, H. T., Wang, J. H., Xia, X. H., & Chen, J. J. (2015). A multiscale coupling approach between discrete element method and finite difference method for dynamic analysis. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 102, 1–21.
17. Okamoto, S., & Tamura, C. (1973). Behaviour of subaqueous tunnels during earthquakes. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 1(3), 253–266.
18. Park, D., Sagong, M., Kwak, D. Y., & Jeong, C. G. (2009). Simulation of tunnel response under spatially varying ground

motion. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 29, 1417–1424.
19. Penzien, J. (2000). Seismically induced raking of tunnel linings. Earthquake Engineering and Structure Dynamic, 29, 683–691.
20. Penzien, J., & Wu, C. L. (1998). Stresses in linings of bored tunnels. Earthquake Engineering and Structure Dynamics, 27, 283–300.